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## Outline I
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3. Learning regarded as an optimization problem
4. Predicting the learning performances and probabilistic framework
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6. Curse of dimensionality, regularization and sparsity
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## Outline II

8. Supplementary material regarding matrices

## Content

## Intent of the lessons

- Objective $\leftrightarrow$ Recognize similarities
- Mathematical framework
- Simple implementation
- Graphics

State-of-the-art •<br>Neural Networks •<br>Ensemble classifiers •

## Questions

Feel free to ask questions: chat, end of subsection, at any time...

## Figures and codes

## Figures

- DOI indicates a publication from which the photo is extracted.
- Obtained with Octave, see lecture_notes.pdf on HIP2.htm https://octave.org/
The packages being used are
- optim
- signal
- image
- statistics


## First level of understanding

## Technique

## Kind of data

- What is it used for?
- Number of dimensions?
- What is the objective?
- What is the input?
- What is the output?
- Why is it expected to work?


## Second level of understanding

## Formulas

- What is it computing?
- What parameters
it depends on?


## Pseudocode

- Letters on

Graphics notations can be misleading: $\widehat{y}_{n}$ is actually not depending on $y_{n}$.

- Notations are different depending on the context.


## Third level of understanding

Choose tools to make them yours.

- In a real project, techniques have to be adapted and they are not receive a new name.
- Try modify or create examples to see how it works.
- Test computations with numerical simulations.


## Table of Contents I

1. Classification of hyperspectral images
2. Image processing
3. Learning regarded as an optimization problem
4. Predicting the learning performances and probabilistic framework
5. More in depth with probabilities
6. Curse of dimensionality, regularization and sparsity

## 7. Spatial context

## Table of Contents II

## 8. Supplementary material regarding matrices

## Content of section 1, Classification of hyperspectral images

1.1 Hyperspectral images
1.2 Supervised and unsupervised classification of hyperspectral images
1.3 Simple predictors
1.4 Accuracy and loss functions
1.5 Training, testing and validation sets
1.6 Confusion matrix

## RGB-multispectral-hyperspectral



microimages.com

- RGB
- Collection of wavelengths
- A precise padding of wavelengths


## Hyperspectral imaging from space (airborne, drones)



AUG Signals' classified image of 7 materials


Ground truth image provided by the Nature Resource of Canada
-Red - quarzites $\quad$ - Green • psammites

- Magenta monzo - granites

Yellow - psammites

- Finding water?
- Assess forest damages from fire.
- What is being cultivated?
- Level of sea water?
- Collect information through clouds.


## Hyperspectral imaging from devices



Figure 2.9: Setup of the hyperspectral line-scan NIR camera system with light source and sample conveyor belt.

IMM-PHD-2011

- Food analysis (quality, non visible rot)
- Recycling: finding constituents


## What is a color image



$$
\left(I_{m_{1} m_{2}}^{R}, I_{m_{1} m_{2}}^{G}, I_{m_{1} m_{2}}^{B}\right)
$$

## Exercise 1

What image is this showing?

```
R=[1;1;0]; G=[0.5;1;1]; B=[0;1;0];
im=cat(3,R,G,B),
figure(1); imshow(im);
```


## Answer to exercise 1


$\mathrm{R}=[1 ; 1 ; 0] ; \mathrm{G}=[0.5 ; 1 ; 1] ; \mathrm{B}=[0 ; 1 ; 0]$;
im=cat (3, R, G, B) ,
figure(1); imshow(im);

## Wavelengths

 Increasing energy

Increasing wavelength


## Hyperspectral image

## Hyperspectral Data Cube



## IT implementation

Beware at the frame orientations!

## Content of a hyperspectral dataset

- Raw values (set of intensities)
- Corrected values (after registration)
- Ground Truth
- Calibration information



## Retrieving a hyperspectral image

## Exercise 2

(1) Find on the web the hyperspectral image Pine and retrieve it in Octave, using for instance
https://www.ehu.eus/ccwintco/index.php/
Hyperspectral_Remote_Sensing_Scenes
https://engineering.purdue.edu/~biehl/
MultiSpec/hyperspectral.html
(2) Find the size of each bandwidth image
(3) Find the number of bandwidths

## Answer to exercise 2

(1) I followed the following steps

- Retrieve Indian_pines_corrected.mat and Indian_pines_gt.mat
- $\mathrm{T}=$ load to retreive the image
- fieldnames ( $T$ ) to get the name of the variable
- size to get the answers.

```
ans =
```

\{

$$
[1,1]=\text { indian_pines_corrected }
$$

\}

```
ans =
```

$$
145 \quad 145 \quad 200
$$

(2) $145 \times 145$
(3) 200

## Wavelengths and frequencies

( $\lambda$ )

E. L.

Doi: 10.1016/B978-0-12-809254-5.00001-4

## Pixel spectrum

## Exercise 3

(1) Retrieve the calibration information
https://www.ehu.eus/ccwintco/index.php/
Hyperspectral_Remote_Sensing_Scenes
https://engineering.purdue.edu/~biehl/
MultiSpec/hyperspectral.html
(2) Considering a horizontal line located at the center of the image, find the coordinate of its left most point.
(3) Plot the spectral intensities as a function of the bandwidths number.
(9) Plot the spectral intensities in terms of radiance and as a function of the center wavelength.

## Keywords

Calibration, registration.

## Answer $1 / 6$ to exercise 3

(1) Using the second website, I went to the following websites

```
https://purr.purdue.edu/publications/
    1947/1
https://purr.purdue.edu/publications/
    1947/supportingdocs?v=1
and got the following text file named
Calibration_Information_for_220_Channel_Data_Band_Set.txt
Information on 220 Channel
AVIRIS Data Set
Location
```

This data is from the AVIRIS
(Airborne Visible/Infrared Imaging Spectrometer)

## Answer $2 / 6$ to exercise 3

These data are calibrated data. In other words the data values in the scene are proportional to radiance. 1000 has been added to the calibrated data so that all data values in this scene are positive. To convert the scene data values (SDV) to radiance values (RV), one must first subtract 1000 and then divide by the gain_factor that JPL used which is 500 .

RV = (SDV-1000) / 500.

The RV units are $\mathrm{W} * \mathrm{~cm}^{\wedge}-2$ * $\mathrm{nm}^{\wedge}-1$ * $\mathrm{sr}^{\wedge}-1$.

## Answer $3 / 6$ to exercise 3

| AVIRIS | Data | Center |  | Center | FWHM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Band | Channel | Wavelength | FWHM | Uncertainty | Uncertainty |
| $\#$ | $\#$ | $(\mathrm{~nm})$ | $(\mathrm{nm})$ | $(\mathrm{nm})$ | $(\mathrm{nm})$ |

1 (not used - the band was all 0's)
2
1
400.02
9.78
0.92
0.50

## Answer $4 / 6$ to exercise 3

(2) The center horizontal line is at the line number 73 :

$$
(72-1)+1=(145-74+1)=72 \text { and } 2 \times 72+1=145
$$

The left most point is at $m=73, n=1$.
(3) It is shown on the left of figure 1 .
figure(1); plot(im(73,1,:),'linewidth',2);
(9) It is shown on the right of figure 1. The following bands have been removed [104-108], [150-163], 220 as indicated in the dataset because of the water absorption. We get the horizontal scale using the following steps.

- Open the text file
- Convert each line into arrays of numbers (a line starting with a letter is converted into a void array).
- Check if the array is non-empty and if its second number is not included in the list of removed bandwidths.
- Stack in a vector the third component of each non-void array.


## Answer 5/6 to exercise 3

We get the vertical scale by making the following affine transform

$$
R V=\frac{S D V-1000}{500}
$$

## Bandwidths removed

These are indicated with black crosses.

## Answer $6 / 6$ to exercise 3




Figure 1: Left: spectral intensities as a function of the bandwidths number. Right: spectral intensities in terms of radiance and as a function of the center wavelength. Exercise 3

## What means $\mathrm{W}^{*} \mathrm{~cm}^{\wedge}-2 * \mathrm{~nm}^{\wedge}-1$ * sr^-1

- W: Watt (radiation) is $\mathrm{J} / \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{N} . \mathrm{m} . \mathrm{s}-1$ or $\mathrm{kg} \cdot \mathrm{m}^{2} . \mathrm{s}^{-3}$.
- $\mathrm{cm}^{-2}$ : surface of $1 \mathrm{~cm} \times 1 \mathrm{~cm}$
- $n m^{-1}$ : size of bandwith in $1 \mathrm{~nm}=10^{-9} \mathrm{~m}$.
- $s r^{-1}$ : measure of angle in 3D: $\in[0,4 \pi]$.

(a) Radian defined (b) Steradian defined

DOI: 10.1117/12.883572

## Water absorption

## Exercise 4



(1) Explain the reason for removing the bandwidths indicated with black crosses on the right.

## Answer to exercise 4



- lowest wavelength: 400 nm
- first set of wavelengths: 1362 - 1402 nm
- second set of wavelengths:

1819 - 1893nm

- third set of wavelenghts: 2500nm



## Water absorbing frequency




## microimages.com



Symmetrical Stretching


Asymmetrical Stretching


Figure 2.2: The three different vibrational modes of a $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ molecule. The gray $H$ atom in the background represent the stationary state.

IMM-PHD-2011

## Profile line

## Exercise 5

We consider again the central horizontal line. For each questions, use appropriate notations to express the computed quantities.
(1) Plot the profile line considering the spectral intensity of the bandwidth number 50 and the bandwidth number 100.
(2) Center both lines. We here consider that centering assume that pixels at a given bandwidth should be processed in a similar manner.
(3) Normalize them so that their variance is equal to one.

## Answer $1 / 3$ to exercise 5





## Answer $2 / 3$ to exercise 5

(1) Let us call

$$
m_{1 c}=73 \quad k_{1}=50 \quad k_{2}=100
$$

The two profile lines are

$$
m_{2} \mapsto I\left(m_{1 c}, m_{2}, k_{1}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad m_{2} \mapsto I\left(m_{1 c}, m_{2}, k_{2}\right)
$$

(2) The two average intensities are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mu_{1}=\frac{1}{M_{1} M_{2}} \sum_{m_{1}=0}^{M_{1}-1} \sum_{m_{2}=0}^{M_{2}-1} I\left(m_{1}, m_{2}, k_{1}\right) \\
& \mu_{2}=\frac{1}{M_{1} M_{2}} \sum_{m_{1}=0}^{M_{1}-1} \sum_{m_{2}=0}^{M_{2}-1} I\left(m_{1}, m_{2}, k_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The transformed profile lines are

$$
m_{2} \mapsto I\left(m_{1 c}, m_{2}, k_{1}\right)-\mu_{1} \quad \text { and } \quad m_{2} \mapsto I\left(m_{1 c}, m_{2}, k_{2}\right)-\mu_{2}
$$

## Proof.

$$
\left.\sum_{m_{1}=0}^{M_{1}-1} \sum_{m_{2}=0}^{M_{2}-1}\left(I\left(m_{1}, m_{2}, k_{1}\right)-\mu_{1}\right)\right)=\sum_{m_{1}=0}^{M_{1}-1} \sum_{m_{2}=0}^{M_{2}-1} I\left(m_{1}, m_{2}, k_{1}\right)-M_{1} M_{2} \mu_{1}=0
$$

## Answer $3 / 3$ to exercise 5

(3) The two standard deviations are

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{1} & =\sqrt{\frac{1}{M_{1} M_{2}-1} \sum_{m_{1}=0}^{M_{1}-1} \sum_{m_{2}=0}^{M_{2}-1}\left(I\left(m_{1}, m_{2}, k_{1}\right)-\mu_{1}\right)^{2}} \\
\sigma_{2} & =\sqrt{\frac{1}{M_{1} M_{2}-1} \sum_{m_{1}=0}^{M_{1}-1} \sum_{m_{2}=0}^{M_{2}-1}\left(I\left(m_{1}, m_{2}, k_{2}\right)-\mu_{2}\right)^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

The transformed profile lines are

$$
m_{2} \mapsto \frac{I\left(m_{1 c}, m_{2}, k_{1}\right)-\mu_{1}}{\sigma_{1}} \quad \text { and } \quad m_{2} \mapsto \frac{I\left(m_{1 c}, m_{2}, k_{1}\right)-\mu_{2}}{\sigma_{2}}
$$

## Proof.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sqrt{\frac{1}{M_{1} M_{2}} \sum_{m_{1}=0}^{M_{1}-1} \sum_{m_{2}=0}^{M_{2}-1}\left(\frac{I\left(m_{1}, m_{2}, k_{1}\right)-\mu_{1}}{\sigma_{1}}\right)^{2}} \\
& \quad=\frac{1}{\sigma_{1}} \sqrt{\frac{1}{M_{1} M_{2}} \sum_{m_{1}=0}^{M_{1}-1} \sum_{m_{2}=0}^{M_{2}-1}\left(I\left(m_{1}, m_{2}, k_{2}\right)-\mu_{1}\right)^{2}}=1
\end{aligned}
$$

## Notations

- $\mathcal{I}$ is a hyperspectral image, it is a rank 3 tensor, $I\left(m_{1}, m_{2}, k\right)$ is a component.
- $m_{1}, m_{2}, k$ are the row, column and bandwidth indexes.
- $M_{1}, M_{2}, K$ are the number of columns, rows and bandwidths.
- $\mu$ is the mean of a set of numbers.
- $\sigma$ is the standard deviation of a set of numbers.


## Conclusion of section 1, Classification of hyperspectral images

- rank 3 tensors
- radiance, wavelengths, spectrum
- registration, calibration
- spatial displacement and wavelength shift


## What are classifiers

In the next section, we discuss how to make these images informative.

## Content of section 1, Classification of hyperspectral images I

### 1.1 Hyperspectral images

1.2 Supervised and unsupervised classification of hyperspectral images
1.3 Simple predictors
1.4 Accuracy and loss functions
1.5 Training, testing and validation sets
1.6 Confusion matrix

## Raster scanning order

- Feature space $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbf{F}}$
- Input matrix

$$
\mathbf{X}=\left[x_{n f}\right]_{n, f}
$$

- Sample, instance or record $\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{n}}$
- Set of samples

$$
\mathbf{X}=\left[\begin{array}{l}
\mathbf{x}_{0}  \tag{1}\\
\vdots \\
\mathbf{x}_{N-1}
\end{array}\right]
$$

## Beware

Often the raster scanning order reads along columns.

## Labels <br> supervised classification

- Classes $y_{n} \in\{0 \ldots C-1\}$.

- Binary classification problem

$$
C=2, y_{n} \in\{0,1\} .
$$

- Label column vector.

$$
Y=\left[y_{n}\right]_{n}
$$

Proximity in the feature space means
Labels are more likely to be the same

## Keywords

Classification is sometimes refered to as labelling

## Feature space

## Exercise 6

Draw and code with Octave the scatter plot of the following dataset

$$
X=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 \\
0 & 2 \\
1 & 0 \\
1 & 1 \\
1 & 2 \\
2 & 0 \\
2 & 1 \\
2 & 2
\end{array}\right] \quad Y=\left[\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
0 \\
0 \\
1 \\
1 \\
0 \\
1 \\
1 \\
1
\end{array}\right]
$$

## Answer to exercise 6

```
X=zeros(9,2);
X(:,1)=[0}0000 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 2]';
X(:,2)=[0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2]';
Y=[1 0 0 1 1 0 1 1 1]';
ind1=find(Y==1);
ind0=find(Y==0);
figure(1); plot(X(ind1,1),...
X(ind1,2),'+',...
    'LineWidth',3,...
    X(ind0,1),...
X(ind0,2),'o',...
'LineWidth',3);
legend('y=1','y=0');
axis([-0.1 2.1 -0.1 2.1]);
```



## What is classification?



- Query sample: blue square
- Given the training set, is it more likely to be green $(y=1)$ or red $(y=0)$ ?


## Training and testing set



## Parameter estimation

## Ground truth: a challenging issue

- It is a hard work to go every where to collect the information.
- Some locations can be difficult to access.
- Definitions of labels may not be appropriate to what is actually going on.
- The time at which the hyperspectral image is recorded may not match that of the ground truth.


## True applications

It is acknowledged that many datasets have up $20 \%$ mislabeled samples.

## Number of samples per class in training set and testing set

| year | min training | max <br> training | min testing | max testing | DOI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2018 | 10 | 113 | 906 | 11158 | 10.1109/TCYB 2019 . 2905793 |
| 2010 | 15 | 50 | 5 | 2418 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 10.1109/LGRS . } 2010 \\ & .2047711 \end{aligned}$ |
| 2008 | $\frac{N}{3} \frac{1}{6}$ | $\frac{N}{3} \frac{1}{6}$ | $\frac{2 N}{3} \frac{1}{6}$ | $\frac{2 N}{3} \frac{1}{6}$ | ${ }_{85657-5-52}^{10.1007 / 978-3-540-}$ |
| 2000 | $\frac{N}{5} \frac{1}{16}$ | $\frac{N}{5} \frac{1}{16}$ | $\frac{4 N}{5} \frac{1}{16}$ | ${ }^{4 N} 5 \frac{1}{16}$ | 10.1109/IGARSS 2000.861712 |

## Challenging issue

Imbalance dataset (a.k.a variations in class abundance)

## Keywords

- Supervised classification
- Semi-supervised classification
- Unsupervised classification a.k.a. clustering


## Ground truth

## Exercise 7



Figure 2: Classification map indicating in white the soybean.
(1) Denoting $\mathcal{C}$ the collection of classes that are soybean, $0 \ldots C-1$ the total set of classes, write the pseudo-code of an algorithm yielding

## Answer to exercise 7

(1) $\bullet \mathcal{C}$ is the set of requested labels.

- $I_{g d}$ is groundtruth map.
- $I_{c}$ is the yielded classification map.

Require: $\mathcal{C}, I_{\text {gd }}$

## Ensure: $I_{c}$

1: Set $I_{c}$ to the size of $I_{g d}$ with null values.
2: for $n \in \mathcal{I}$ do
3: $\quad$ if $I_{\mathrm{gd}}(n) \in \mathcal{C}$ then
4: $\quad I_{c}(n)=1$

## New notations

- lower case indicates scalars: $f_{m_{1} m_{2}}$, except $I_{m_{1} m_{2}}$.
- Bold lower case indicates row vectors: $\mathbf{x}$.
- Capital letters indicate column vectors: $Y$.
- Bold capital letters indicate matrices: X, I.
- Sets are in calligraphic fonts: $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{N}$.
- $n \in\{0 \ldots N-1\}$ is the index of sample $\mathbf{x}_{n}$.
- Image intensities are here considered as a data set $x_{n}$
- Bandwidths are now considered as features $\mathbf{x}=\left[x_{0} \ldots x_{F-1}\right]$.
- Land use and land covers are indicated with $y n \in\{0 \ldots C-1\}$.
- Ground truth map and classification map: $\mathbf{I}_{\mathrm{gd}}, \mathbf{I}_{\mathrm{C}}$.


## Conclusion of subsection 2, Supervised and unsupervised classification of hyperspectral images

- The classification of hyperspectral images yields a classification map and hence an interpretation.
- Need of ground truth data to learn information
- Need of some belief
- Numerical complexity is an issue, here out of the scope of this lecture
- Choice of a technique should take into account what the technique is meant for.


## What are classifiers

In the next section, we discuss of two simple classifiers.

## Content of section 1, Classification of hyperspectral images

```
1.1 Hyperspectral images
1.2 Supervised and unsupervised classification of hyperspectral images
```

1.3 Simple predictors
1.4 Accuracy and loss functions
1.5 Training, testing and validation sets
1.6 Confusion matrix

## Predictor function

- Predictor function

$$
\widehat{y}=f(\mathbf{x})
$$

- Iverson bracket

$$
1(\Pi)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
1 \text { if } \Pi \text { is true } \\
0 \text { if not }
\end{array}\right.
$$

- Sample $\mathbf{x}$ is row-vector.
- $y$ is the label 0 or 1 .


## Decision stumps: example

## Exercise 8



We are considering the following predictor which is an example of decision stump.

$$
f_{a, b}(x)=(2 a-1) 1(x \leq b)+1-a
$$

with $a$ and $b$ as parameters.
(1) Compute $f_{1,2}(0.5), f_{1,0.5}(2)$.
(2) Prove that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{x, y}(z)=f_{x, z}(y) 1(y=z) \\
& \quad+\left(1-f_{x, z}(y)\right) 1(y \neq z)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Integers representing binaries

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Logic } \\
& -1,+1 \\
& y=+1 \\
& y=-1 \\
& y=1 \\
& y=0 \\
& y=\text { POSITIVE } \\
& y=\text { NEGATIVE } \\
& y_{1}=y_{2} \\
& y_{1} y_{2} \\
& 1\left(y_{1}=y_{2}\right) \\
& =y_{1} y_{2}+\left(1-y_{1}\right)\left(1-y_{2}\right) \\
& =\left(2 y_{1}-1\right) y_{2}+\left(1-y_{1}\right) \\
& =0.5 \widetilde{y_{1}} \widetilde{y_{2}}+0.5 \\
& \widetilde{y}=2 y-1 \text { and } y=0.5 \widetilde{y}+0.5
\end{aligned}
$$

## Answer to exercise 8

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{a, b}(x)=(2 a-1) 1(x \leq b)+1-a \\
& f_{x, y}(z)=f_{x, z}(y) 1(y=z) \\
& \quad+\left(1-f_{x, z}(y)\right) 1(y \neq z)
\end{aligned}
$$

(1) $f_{1,2}(0.5)=(2 \times 1-1) 1(0.5 \leq 2)+1-1=1$
$f_{1,0.5}(2)=(2 \times 1-1) 1(2 \leq 0.5)+1-1=0$
(2) Assuming $y=z, f_{x, y}(z)=f_{x, z}(z)=f_{x, z}(y)$

Assuming $y \neq z, f_{x, y}(z)=(2 x-1) 1(z \leq y)+1-x$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =(2 x-1)(1-1(y<z))+1-x=(1-2 x) 1(y \leq z)+x \\
& =-(2 x-1) 1(y \leq z)+1-(1-x)=1-f_{x, z}(y)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Decision stumps: definition

A decision stump makes a decision based on the value of a feature.

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{\theta_{F}, \theta_{x}, \theta_{y}}(\mathbf{x})=\left(2 \theta_{y}-1\right) 1\left(x_{\theta_{F}} \leq \theta_{x}\right)+1-\theta_{y} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\theta_{y} \in\{0,1\}, \theta_{F} \in\{0 \ldots F-1\}$ and $\theta_{x} \in \mathbb{R}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{\theta_{F}, \theta_{x}, 0}(\mathbf{x})=1-1\left(x_{\theta_{F}} \leq \theta_{x}\right)=1\left(x_{\theta_{F}}>\theta_{x}\right) \\
& f_{\theta_{F}, \theta_{x}, 1}(\mathbf{x})=1\left(x_{\theta_{F}} \leq \theta_{x}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Scalar product

The feature space is the set comprising all possible values of $\mathbf{x}$. We define on it a scalar product

$$
\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{x}^{\prime}=\sum_{f=1}^{F} x_{f} x_{f}^{\prime} \text { and }\|\mathbf{x}\|^{2}=\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{x}
$$

This scalar product can be written with matrix operations.

$$
\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{x}^{\prime}=\mathbf{x x}^{\prime}
$$

Note that the transpose operation would apply on the first element if $\mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{x}^{\prime}$ were column vectors.

## Euclidean distance

$$
\mathrm{d}\left(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right)=\left\|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right\|=\sqrt{\left(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right)\left(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right)^{T}}=\sqrt{\sum_{f=1}^{F}\left(x_{f}-x_{f}^{\prime}\right)^{2}}
$$

## Example of linear predictor

## Exercise 9

We consider a predictor $f$ defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(\mathbf{x})=1\left(2 x_{1}+x_{2} \leq 2\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

(1) Rewrite $f$ using the scalar product.
(2) Rewrite $f$ using matrix operations.
(3) Plot $x_{1} \mapsto f\left(\left[x_{1}, 0\right]\right)$.
(9) Plot $x_{2} \mapsto f\left(\left[0, x_{2}\right]\right)$.

We are considering two sets

$$
\mathcal{X}_{0}=\{\mathbf{x} \mid f(\mathbf{x})=0\} \text { and } \mathcal{X}_{1}=\{\mathbf{x} \mid f(\mathbf{x})=1\}
$$

(0) Plot a line separating the two sets and indicate which set is where?

## Linear predictors

$$
f_{a, b}(x)=1(a \cdot x \leq b)
$$



## Remark

When $b>0$, for any $\lambda>0, f_{\mathbf{a}, b}(\mathbf{x})=f_{\lambda \mathbf{a}, \lambda b}(\mathbf{x})$ This property shows that the proposed model is not non-identifiable. Note that if we use only a to define this predictor, then we need some extra information.

## Answer to exercise 9

$$
f(\mathbf{x})=1\left(2 x_{1}+x_{2} \leq 2\right)
$$

(1) Let $\mathbf{u}=[21]$,

$$
f(\mathbf{x})=1(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{u} \leq 2) .
$$

(2) $f(\mathbf{x})=1\left(\mathbf{x u}^{T} \leq 2\right)$.
(0) $f\left(\left[x_{1}, 0\right]\right)=1\left(x_{1} \leq 1\right)$
(- $f\left(\left[0, x_{2}\right]\right)=1\left(x_{2} \leq 2\right)$
(-) Let $x_{2}=g\left(x_{1}\right)$ be the edge.

$$
g\left(x_{1}\right)=2-2 x_{1} .
$$




## Notations

- Predicted output: $\widehat{y}$ (it depends on $\mathbf{x}$ ).
- 1: Iversion bracket $(1(0=1)=0$ and $1(2+2=4)=1)$.
- $\Theta$ : the whole set of parameters.
- parameters: $\theta_{F}, \theta_{x}, \theta_{y}$.
- Threshold on intensity $\theta_{x}$.
- . scalar product.
- || || norm of the scalar product.
- $\mathbf{x}^{T}$ is a column vector and ${ }^{T}$ is the transpose.


## Conclusion of subsection 3, Simple predictors

- Binary context: 2 classes
- Decision stumps and linear classifiers are predictor functions
- They act on the feature space
- They are defined by a parameter here $\theta_{F}, \theta_{x}, \theta_{y}$ or $b, \mathbf{a}$
- Given a query sample $\mathbf{x}$, they give a prediction $\widehat{y}$

How can we compute the parameters defining the predictor functions?
In the next subsection, we discuss metrics designed for assessing predictor functions.
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## Accuracy

- OA: Overall Accuracy

$$
\mathrm{OA}=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} 1\left(y_{n}=\widehat{y}_{n}\right)
$$

- AA: Average Accuracy

$$
\mathrm{AA}=\frac{1}{C} \sum_{c=0}^{C-1} \frac{\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} 1\left(y_{n}=c\right) 1\left(y_{n}=\widehat{y}_{n}\right)}{\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} 1\left(y_{n}=c\right)}
$$

## Notations

Here, accuracies are denoted as A.

## Accuracy vs loss functions

Accuracy (overall accuracy) what is at stake?

$$
\mathrm{A}(Y, \widehat{Y})=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} 1\left(\widehat{y}_{n}=y_{n}\right)
$$

Example of loss function

$$
\mathrm{L}(Y, \widehat{Y})=-\mathrm{A}(Y, \widehat{Y})
$$

In terms of notations, $Y$ and $\widehat{Y}$ are column vectors stacking $y_{n}$ and $\widehat{y}_{n} . y_{n}$ is the true label and $\widehat{y}_{n}$ is the label predicted using $\mathbf{x}_{n}$.

## This is actually a simplification.

Note that in $\mathrm{L}(Y, \widehat{Y}), \widehat{y}_{n}$ could be a real number and not a boolean in $\{0,1\}$. This is up to the choice of the technique. Now it is not depending on $\mathbf{x}$.

## max, min, argmax, argmin

- Value of the global maximum: $\max _{x} f(x)$
- Value of the global minimum: $\min _{x} f(x)$
- Input points of the global maximum: $\underset{x}{\operatorname{argmax}} f(x)$
- Input points of the global minimum: $\underset{x}{\operatorname{argmin}} f(x)$


## Exercise 10

We are considering the predictor $f_{a, b}(x)$ defined as

$$
f_{a, b}(x)=(2 a-1) 1(x \leq b)+1-a
$$

with $a$ and $b$ as parameters. and the following database $\mathscr{S}_{1}$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
x_{1}=1 & y_{1}=1 \\
x_{2}=1.5 & y_{2}=0 \\
x_{3}=6 & y_{3}=1 \\
x_{4}=3 & y_{4}=1 \\
x_{5}=0.5 & y_{5}=0
\end{array}
$$

(1) Plot the function defined by $b \mapsto A\left(\mathscr{S}_{1}, f_{1, b}\right)$.
(2) Plot the function defined by $b \mapsto A\left(\mathscr{S}_{1}, f_{0, b}\right)$.
(3) Select values for $a$ and $b$ maximizing $A\left(\mathscr{S}_{1}, f_{a, b}\right)$.
(9) Find the corresponding maximum value of $A\left(\mathscr{S}_{1}, f_{a, b}\right)$.
(0) Use argmax and max to write the answers to the two last questions.

## Answer to exercise 10

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
f_{a, b}(x)=(2 a-1) 1(x \leq b)+1-a \\
x_{1}=1 & y_{1}=1 \\
x_{2}=1.5 & y_{2}=0 \\
x_{3}=6 & y_{3}=1 \\
x_{4}=3 & y_{4}=1 \\
x_{5}=0.5 & y_{5}=0
\end{array}
$$


(1) $a=1$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1\left(y_{1}=\widehat{y}_{1, b}\right)=1(b \geq 1) \\
& 1\left(y_{2}=\widehat{y}_{2, b}\right)=1(b<1.5) \\
& 1\left(y_{3}=\widehat{y}_{3, b}\right)=1(b \geq 6) \\
& 1\left(y_{4}=\widehat{y}_{4}, b\right)=1(b \geq 3) \\
& 1\left(y_{5}=\widehat{y}_{5, b}\right)=1(b<0.5)
\end{aligned}
$$

(2) $a=0$
$1\left(y_{n}=\widehat{y}_{n, 0, b}\right)=1-1\left(y_{n}=\widehat{y}_{n, 1, b}\right)$
(3) $a_{\mathrm{opt}}=0$ and $b_{\mathrm{opt}}=2.2$
(9) $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{opt}}=0.8$.
(5)
$\left(a_{\text {opt }}, b_{\text {opt }}\right) \in \underset{a, b}{\operatorname{argmax}} \mathrm{~A}\left(\mathscr{S}_{1}, f_{a, b}\right)$
$\mathrm{A}_{\text {opt }}=\max _{a, b} \mathrm{~A}\left(\mathscr{S}_{1}, f_{a, b}\right)$

## Loss-functions used for learning

- Supervised classification: $L$ depends on $Y$ and $\widehat{Y}$ or...
- Unsupervised classification: L depends on $\mathbf{X}$ and $\widehat{Y}$.


## Learning

Parameters are selected so as to minimize the loss function.

## New notations

- Accuracies: OA, AA and A.
- Output and inputs of global extrema: max, min, argmin, argmax.
- Loss function: L.
- Labels: $Y$ and $\widehat{Y}$ stacking $y_{n}$ and $\hat{y}_{n}$.


## Conclusion of subsection 4, Accuracy and loss functions

- Accuracy and loss functions tell us whether a predictor function is consistent with a dataset.
- A is the accuracy. It is expected to be the more appropriate metric (this depends on the application).
- Loss functions denoted $L$ are less appropriate. We will see examples.
- Here higher values of $A$ and lower values of $L$ indicate better performance.
- In the binary context $\widetilde{y} \in\{-1,1\}$ can be more appropriate than $y \in\{0,1\}$.

How these metrics are going to help us finding the parameters. $\theta_{F}, \theta_{x}, \theta_{y}$ or $b, \mathbf{a}$.
Parameters are chosen with respect to these metrics.
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## Training and testing set



- Training set
- Test set
- Supervised classification problem
- $\left[\mathcal{S}_{\text {TRAIN }}, \mathcal{S}_{\text {TEST }}\right]=\operatorname{SPLIT}\left(\mathcal{S},\left[\frac{3}{4}, \frac{1}{4}\right]\right)$
- $\Theta=\operatorname{LEARN}(\mathcal{S})$
- $\mathrm{A}=\operatorname{Test}(\mathcal{S}, \Theta)$


## Validation set

- Different from Training set and Test set.
- Differences caused by Randomization and/or Overfitting
- Size could be of $\frac{1}{3}$ of the labeled samples available.
- Trade-off between reliability and scarcity of labeled samples.
- Ground truth is costly and could be erroneous.
- Numerical complexity could be an issue.


## Cross-validation set

Use of validation sets to select among parameter values $\left\{\theta_{1} \ldots \theta_{P}\right\}$. Example with $K=5$.

| Iteration 1 | Test | Train | Train | Train | Train |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Iteration 2 | Train | Test | Train | Train | Train |
| Iteration 3 | Train | Train | Test | Train | Train |
| Iteration 4 | Train | Train | Train | Test | Train |
| Iteration 5 | Train | Train | Train | Train | Test |

## What for?

Cross validation can be used to make decisions based on the dataset, this amounts to using the validation set in the red boxes. It can be used to make a more accurate performance measurement, then the use of the test set in the red bloxes.

## Exercise 11

Given a certain data set $\mathscr{S}_{3} \bigcup \mathscr{S}_{4}$ with $\mathscr{S}_{3}$ as labeled and $\mathscr{S}_{4}$ not labeled.
(1) Improve the following algorithm using validation sets.

Require: $\mathscr{S}_{3}, \mathscr{S}_{4}$ : data sets
Ensure: a, b: linear classifier
1: $\mathscr{S}_{\text {opt }}=\mathscr{S}_{3}$.
2: $\left(\mathbf{a}_{o p t}, b_{o p t}\right)=\operatorname{LEARN}\left(\mathscr{S}_{o p t}\right)$
3: Compute $A_{\text {opt }}$ with $\left(\mathbf{a}_{\text {opt }}, b_{\text {opt }}\right)$ and $\mathscr{S}_{\text {opt }}$.
4: repeat
5: $\quad\left(\mathbf{x},\left(\mathbf{x}^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)\right)=\operatorname{argmin}_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathscr{S}_{4},\left(\mathbf{x}^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right) \in \mathscr{S}_{3}} d\left(\mathbf{x}^{\prime}, \mathbf{x}\right)$
6: $\quad$ Set $\mathscr{S}=\mathscr{S}_{\text {opt }} \bigcup\left(\mathbf{x}, y^{\prime}\right)$
7: $\quad(\mathbf{a}, b)=\operatorname{LEARN}(\mathscr{S})$
8: $\quad$ Compute $A=\operatorname{TEST}(\mathcal{S},(\mathbf{a}, b))$
9: if $A>A_{\text {opt }}$ then
10:

$$
\left(\mathbf{a}_{o p t}, b_{o p t}\right)=(\mathbf{a}, b), \mathscr{S}_{o p t}=\mathscr{S}, A_{o p t}=A
$$

11: until $A<=A_{\text {opt }}$

## Answer to exercise 11

Require: $\mathscr{S}_{3}, \mathscr{S}_{4}$, I
Ensure: $[(\mathbf{a}, b), \mathrm{A}]=\operatorname{LEARN}\left(\mathscr{S}_{3}, \mathscr{S}_{4}, l\right)$
1: Set $\mathscr{S}_{\mathrm{opt}},\left(\mathbf{a}_{\mathrm{opt}}, b_{\mathrm{opt}}\right), \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{opt}}$.
2: for $i=1$ : $/$ do
3: $\quad\left(\mathbf{x},\left(\mathbf{x}^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)\right)=\operatorname{argmin}_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathscr{S}_{4},\left(\mathbf{x}^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right) \in \mathscr{S}_{3}} d\left(\mathbf{x}^{\prime}, \mathbf{x}\right)$
4: $\quad$ Set $\mathscr{S}=\mathscr{S}_{\text {opt }} \bigcup\left(\mathbf{x}, y^{\prime}\right)$
5: $\quad(\mathbf{a}, b)=\operatorname{LEARN}(\mathscr{S})$
6: $\quad$ Compute A with $(\mathbf{a}, b)$ and $\mathscr{S}$
7: $\quad$ if $A>A_{\text {opt }}$ then
8:

$$
\left(\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{opt}}, b_{\mathrm{opt}}\right)=(\mathbf{a}, b), \mathscr{S}_{\mathrm{opt}}=\mathscr{S}, \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{opt}}=\mathrm{A} .
$$

## Continuation of answer to exercise 11

Require: $\mathscr{S}_{3}, \mathscr{S}_{4}$
Ensure: $(\mathbf{a}, b)$
1: $\mathscr{S}_{3 k}=\operatorname{SPLIT}\left(\mathscr{S}_{3}, K\right)$
2: for $i=1: /$ do
3: $\quad \mathrm{A}_{i}=0$
4: $\quad$ for $k=1: K$ do
5: $\quad \mathrm{A}_{i}=\mathrm{A}_{i}+\operatorname{LEARN}\left(\mathscr{S}_{3}, \mathscr{S}_{4}, i\right) / K$
6: $i_{\text {opt }}=\underset{i}{\operatorname{argmax}} \mathrm{~A}_{i}$
7: $[(\mathbf{a}, b), \mathrm{A}]=\operatorname{LEARN}\left(\mathscr{S}_{3}, \mathscr{S}_{4}, i_{\text {opt }}\right)$

## New notations

- Machine learning tools: SPLIT, LEARN, TEST
- optimal value of a parameter: opt.


## Conclusion of subsection 5, Training, testing and validation sets I

- The question of the training set, validation set and testing set, is generally studied in the context of supervised learning (labeled samples).
- We have seen the definitions of training, validation and test set and the cross validation technique.
- When we study a technique and want to assess its performance we need to now the true labels of the test samples.
- In a given application, we would be using the technique on samples for which we don't know the true label and we would give some confidence in the prediction yielded by the technique.
- The use of a validation set and of the cross validation technique are precisely tools that can tell us more specifically what confidence we may have.


## Conclusion of subsection 5, Training, testing and validation sets II

- Regarding the unsupervised learning, we could build similarly the same sets. We can also consider that samples from the test set can be used to increase or update the knowledge we have.


## Confusion matrix?

In the next section in order to study the reliability of a given technique based on its performance on a training set, we need a more precise indicator to describe the obtained performances, better than accuracy.
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## Confusion matrix

## Predicted Labels

$\longrightarrow$

True Labels

$$
\mathbf{C}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} 1\left(y_{n}=\widehat{y}_{n}=0\right) & \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} 1\left(y_{n}=0 \text { and } \widehat{y}_{n}=1\right) \\
\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} 1\left(y_{n}=1 \text { and } \widehat{y}_{n}=0\right) & \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} 1\left(y_{n}=\widehat{y}_{n}=1\right)
\end{array}\right]
$$

## Confusion matrix

Components of the confusion matrix

$$
\mathbf{C}=\left[c_{i j}\right] \text { and } c_{i j}=\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} 1\left(y_{n}=i\right) 1\left(\widehat{y}_{n}=j\right)
$$

- Here $i, j$ are index of classes.
- $y_{n}$ true class of sample number $n$.
- $\hat{y}_{n}$ predicted class of sample $n$.
- $N$ total number of samples (here not the number of rows).


## Beware

Sometimes, rows and columns are swapped in this definition.

## Exercise 12

We consider the following confusion matrix.

$$
\mathbf{C}=\left[\begin{array}{l}
5,1 \\
1,5
\end{array}\right]
$$

(1) Give an example of $Y$ and $\widehat{Y}$ consistent with $\mathbf{C}$.
(2) Given $Y^{\top}=[0,0,0,0,0,0,1,1,1,1,1,1]$, how many different $\widehat{Y}$ are consistent with $\mathbf{C}$ ?

## Answer to exercise 12

(1)

$$
\widehat{Y}^{\top}=[1,0,0,0,0,0,1,1,1,1,1,0]
$$

(2) $6 \times 6$.

$$
\begin{gathered}
{[1,0,0,0,0,0,0,1,1,1,1,1]} \\
\vdots \\
{[1,0,0,0,0,0,1,1,1,1,1,0]} \\
{[0,1,0,0,0,0,1,1,1,1,1,0]} \\
\vdots \\
\vdots \\
{[0,0,0,0,0,1,1,1,1,1,1,0]}
\end{gathered}
$$

## confusion matrix and accuracy

## Exercise 13

We are considering the following matrix

$$
\mathbf{C}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
2 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 2 \\
0 & 2 & 4
\end{array}\right]
$$

(1) How many classes are there?
(2) How many samples have been tested?
(3) Up to some renumbering, what are the values of $y_{n}$ ?
(9) Using the same ordering, what are the values of $\widehat{y}_{n}$ ?
(5) Compute the OA?
(0) Compute the $A A$ ?
(1) Show that $O A=\frac{c_{00}+c_{11}+c_{22}}{N}$.
(8) Show that $A A=\frac{1}{3}\left(\frac{c_{00}}{c_{00}+c_{01}+c_{02}}+\frac{c_{11}}{c_{10}+c_{11}+c_{12}}+\frac{c_{22}}{c_{20}+c_{21}+c_{22}}\right)$

## Answer to exercise 13 I

(1) $C=3$ because the size of $\mathbf{C}$ is $3 \times 3$.
(2) $N=13$ because $N=\sum_{i j} C_{i j}$
(3) Let us reading the lines of $\mathbf{C}$.

- 4 samples are part of the class 0: $y_{0}=y_{1}=y_{2}=y_{3}=0$.
- 3 samples are part of the class 1: $y_{4}=y_{5}=y_{6}=1$.
- 6 samples are part of the class 2 :

$$
y_{7}=y_{8}=y_{9}=y_{10}=y_{11}=y_{12}=y_{13}=2 .
$$

(4) Let us read the columns of $\mathbf{C}$.

- 2 samples have been predicted as being part of the class 0 : $\widehat{y}_{0}=\widehat{y}_{1}=0$.
- 4 samples have been predicted as being part of the class 1 :

$$
\widehat{y}_{2}=\hat{y}_{4}=\widehat{y}_{7}=\widehat{y}_{8}=1
$$

- 7 samples have been predicted as being part of the class 2:

$$
\widehat{y}_{3}=\dot{y}_{5}=\widehat{y}_{6}=\widehat{y}_{9}=\widehat{y}_{10}=\widehat{y}_{11}=\widehat{y}_{12}=\widehat{y}_{13}=2 .
$$

(0) Let us consider the diagonal components of $\mathbf{C}$

## Answer to exercise 13 II

- Among the 4 samples part of class 0 , there are 2 correct predictions:

$$
y_{0}=\widehat{y}_{0} \text { and } y_{1}=\widehat{y}_{1} \text {. }
$$

- Among the 3 samples part of class 1 , there is 1 correct predictions:

$$
y_{4}=\widehat{y}_{4} .
$$

- Among the 6 samples part of class 2, there are 4 correct predictions:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
y_{10}=\widehat{y}_{10}, y_{11}=\hat{y}_{11}, y_{12}=\widehat{y}_{12} \text { and } y_{13}=\widehat{y}_{13} . \\
O A=\frac{2+1+4}{13}=\frac{6}{13}
\end{array}
$$

©

$$
\mathrm{AA}=\frac{1}{3}\left(\frac{2}{4}+\frac{1}{3}+\frac{4}{6}\right)=\frac{1}{2}
$$

0

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{OA}=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} 1\left(y_{n}=\widehat{y}_{n}\right) \\
& \quad=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{c=0}^{C-1} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} 1\left(y_{n}=c\right) 1\left(y_{n}=\widehat{y}_{n}\right)=\frac{c_{00}+C_{11}+c_{22}}{N}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Answer to exercise 13 III

(8)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{AA}=\frac{1}{C} \sum_{c=0}^{C-1} \frac{\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} 1\left(y_{n}=c\right) 1\left(y_{n}=\widehat{y}_{n}\right)}{\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} 1\left(y_{n}=c\right)} \\
& \quad=\frac{1}{C} \sum_{c=0}^{C-1} \frac{C_{c}}{C_{c 0}+C_{c 1}+C_{c 2}} \\
& =\frac{1}{3}\left(\frac{C_{00}}{C_{00}+C_{01}+C_{02}}+\frac{C_{11}}{C_{10}+C_{11}+C_{12}}+\frac{C_{22}}{C_{20}+C_{21}+C_{22}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## New notations

- Confusion matrix $\mathbf{C}=\left[c_{i j}\right]$.
- Column vector of predicted labels: $\widehat{Y}$.


## Conclusion of subsection 6, Confusion matrix

- We have seen the definition of the confusion matrix
- It should not be confused the transpose of this confusion matrix. When go down, scrolling down the different rows, we get information on samples having actually different labels. When going to the right, we get information on samples having different predicted labels.
- In non-binary classification problems, confusion matrix are not of size $2 \times 2$.

How are the confusion matrix going to be used in the next section?
We are considering different experiments for which techniques have parameters yielding a performance measured by a unique confusion matrix. So we are studying what we can see differences that are not measured by confusion matrices.
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## 7. Spatial context

## Table of Contents II
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## Content of section 2, Image processing I

2.1 Segmentation
2.2 Edges as a mean for segmentation
2.3 Detection of connected components
2.4 Use of iterated algorithms
2.5 Clustering regarded as an optimization problem

## Segmentation

Segmentation is a partition of the pixels in subsets.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{N}=\bigcup \mathcal{C}_{c} \text { s.t. } \mathcal{C}_{c} \bigcap \mathcal{C}_{c^{\prime}}=\emptyset \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Each set contains pixels that are homogeneous in some sense.

- Point-based techniques using only one bandwidth.


## Thresholding

A set of pixels can be defined by thresholding w.r. to T .

$$
\mathcal{C}=\left\{\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right) \in \mathcal{N} \mid I\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right) \geq \mathrm{T}\right\}
$$

## Superlevel set

$\mathrm{T} \mapsto \mathcal{C}$ is called the superlevel set. It is also related to the empirical distribution $F(T)=1-\frac{1}{N}|\mathcal{C}|$.

We are going to consider the cardinality of this set. How can we select T?

## Exercise 14

To investigate the choice of the threshold, we are investigating the properties of the following curves. Given an image $\mathbf{I}$, let $f_{\mathbf{l}}$ be defined as

$$
f_{1}(T)=|\{n \in \mathcal{N} \mid I(n) \geq T\}|
$$

(1) Is $f_{1}$ increasing, decreasing, or...?

## Thresholding II

## Exercise

(2) Compute $f_{l}(0), \lim _{+\infty} f_{l}$

Let $\mathbf{I}_{r}$ be the centered and normalized image $\mathbf{I}$ and $f_{\mathbf{I}_{r}}$ the corresponding function.

$$
I_{r}(n)=I(n)-\mu \quad \text { where } \quad \mu=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} I(n)
$$

(3) What is the relation between $f_{1}$ and $f_{1_{r}}$ ?

## Answer to exercise 14 I

(1) $f_{1}$ is decreasing: let $T_{1}<T_{2}$.

$$
\left\{n \mid I(n) \geq \mathrm{T}_{1}\right\} \subset\left\{n \mid I(n) \geq \mathrm{T}_{2}\right\} \quad \Rightarrow \quad f_{1}\left(\mathrm{~T}_{1}\right) \geq f_{1}\left(\mathrm{~T}_{2}\right)
$$

(2)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \{n \mid I(n) \geq 0\}=\mathcal{N} \Rightarrow f_{1}(0)=|\mathcal{N}|=N \\
& \forall T>\max _{n} I(n), \quad\{n \mid I(n) \geq T\}=\emptyset \Rightarrow \lim _{+\infty} f_{\mathbf{l}}=|\emptyset|=0
\end{aligned}
$$

3

$$
f_{\mathbf{I}_{r}}(\mathrm{~T})=\left|\left\{n \mid I_{r}(n) \geq \mathrm{T}\right\}\right|=|\{n \mid I(n)-\mu \geq \mathrm{T}\}|=f_{\mathbf{l}}(\mathrm{T}+\mu)
$$

The curve is moved too the left.

## Loss function

For unsupervised binary classification, a possible loss-function is

$$
L(\mathbf{X}, \widehat{Y})=\sum_{\widehat{y}_{n}=0, \widehat{y}_{n^{\prime}}=0}\left\|\mathbf{x}_{n}-\mathbf{x}_{n^{\prime}}\right\|^{2}+\sum_{\widehat{y_{n}}=1, \widehat{y}_{n^{\prime}}=1}\left\|\mathbf{x}_{n}-\mathbf{x}_{n^{\prime}}\right\|^{2}
$$

## Decision stump

## Exercise 15

Based on the definition of a decision stump in machine learning and using the L2-loss function applied to real valued predictors, how could a threshold be computed?

## Answer to exercise 15 I

- We consider two families of decision stumps: $f_{a}(\mathbf{X})=1\left(x_{n f} \leq T\right)$ and $f_{b}(\mathbf{X})=1\left(x_{n f}>\mathrm{T}\right)$.
- Accordingly, we define two loss-functions $L$ and $L^{\prime}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{L}(f, \mathrm{~T})=\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \sum_{n^{\prime}=0}^{N-1}\left\|\mathbf{x}_{n}-\mathbf{x}_{n^{\prime}}\right\|^{2} f_{a}\left(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{n}}\right) f_{a}\left(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{n}^{\prime}}\right) \\
& +\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \sum_{n^{\prime}=0}^{N-1}\left\|\mathbf{x}_{n}-\mathbf{x}_{n^{\prime}}\right\|^{2}\left(1-f_{a}\left(\mathbf{x}_{n}\right)\right)\left(1-f_{a}\left(\mathbf{x}_{n^{\prime}}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$L^{\prime}$ is defined using $f_{b}$ instead of $f_{a}$.

- For each $f$, we compute $\mathrm{T}_{f}$ and $\mathrm{T}_{f}^{\prime}$

$$
\mathrm{T}_{f} \in \underset{\mathrm{~T}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \mathrm{~L}(f, \mathrm{~T}) \text { and } \mathrm{T}_{f}^{\prime} \in \underset{\mathrm{T}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \mathrm{~L}^{\prime}(f, \mathrm{~T})
$$

- Finally if $\min _{f} \mathrm{~L}\left(f, \mathrm{~T}_{f}\right) \leq \min _{f} \mathrm{~L}^{\prime}\left(f, \mathrm{~T}_{f}^{\prime}\right)$, the proposed decision stump is

$$
1\left(x_{n \widehat{f}} \leq \mathrm{T}_{\widehat{f}}\right) \text { with } \widehat{f} \in \underset{f}{\operatorname{argmin}} \mathrm{~L}\left(f, \mathrm{~T}_{f}\right)
$$

If not, then it is

$$
1\left(x_{n \widehat{f}}>\mathrm{T}_{\widehat{f}}^{\prime}\right) \text { with } \widehat{f} \in \underset{f}{\operatorname{argmin}} \mathrm{~L}^{\prime}\left(f, \mathrm{~T}_{f}^{\prime}\right)
$$

## Median and quantiles

Given a set of values,

$$
\mathbf{x}=[15,6,13,8,8, \quad 10,7,3,16,20]^{T}
$$

we first reorder them

$$
\mathbf{x}_{\text {ord }}=[3,6,7,8,8, \quad 10,13,15,16,20]^{T}
$$

- Median is the average value between the fifth and the sixth value:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{8+10}{2}=9 \\
& \lceil 10 / 2\rceil=\lceil 5\rceil=5
\end{aligned}
$$

- First quartile is the third: 7

$$
\lceil 10 / 4\rceil=\lceil 2.5\rceil=3
$$

- Third quartile is the eighth: 15

$$
\lceil 3 \times 10 / 4\rceil=\lceil 7.5\rceil=8
$$

A rough approximation of the $k$-th $q$-quantile is

$$
x_{\text {ord }}[\lceil N p\rceil] \text { where } N=|\mathbf{x}| \text { and } p=k q
$$

## Use of $f_{1}$ to find an adequate threshold

$$
\mathrm{T} \in f_{1}^{-1}(p)=\left\{\mathrm{T} \mid \exists \mathcal{N}_{\mathrm{T}} \text { such that } \begin{array}{l}
I(n) \leq \mathrm{T} \Leftrightarrow n \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathrm{T}} \\
\left|\mathcal{N}_{\mathrm{T}}\right|=p
\end{array}\right\}
$$

## Rounding notations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 3=\lfloor 3.4\rfloor=\lfloor 3.4\rceil<\lceil 3.4\rceil=4 \\
& 3=\lfloor 3.6\rfloor<\lfloor 3.6\rceil=\lceil 3.6\rceil=4 \\
& -4=\lfloor-3.4\rfloor<\lfloor-3.4\rceil=\lceil-3.4\rceil=-3 \\
& -4=\lfloor-3.6\rfloor=\lfloor-3.6\rceil<\lceil 3.6\rceil=-3
\end{aligned}
$$

## Simulation result



Figure 3: Example of $f_{1}$-function as defined in exercise 14 for the Indian's Pine hyperspectral image using the bandwidth number 50 .

## Exercise 16

(1) Looking at figure 3, what does it tell us on the hyperspectral image?
(2) Show on figure 3, the first, second and third quartiles.

## Distance induced partitions

Euclidean distance in the spectral space $\mathbb{R}^{K}$

$$
\mathrm{d}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})=\sqrt{\sum_{f=0}^{F}\left(a_{f}-b_{f}\right)^{2}}=\|\mathbf{a}-\mathbf{b}\|
$$

With two points $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^{F}$, we get a segmentation of $\mathbf{I}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{a}}=\left\{n \in \mathcal{N} \mid \mathrm{d}\left(I_{n}, \mathbf{a}\right)<\mathrm{d}\left(I_{n}, \mathbf{b}\right)\right\} \\
& \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{b}}=\left\{n \in \mathcal{N} \mid \mathrm{d}\left(I_{n}, \mathbf{a}\right) \geq \mathrm{d}\left(I_{n}, \mathbf{b}\right)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

## This is a linear classifier

## Exercise 17

We consider two sets $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{a}}$ and $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{b}}$ defined as the set of pixels being closer to $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}$ than of $\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a}$.
(1) Show that $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{a}}$ and $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{b}}$ are segmentations of $\mathbf{I}$ in the sense of equation (4).
We denote $\mathbf{X}$ the dataset obtained using the intensities of $\mathcal{I}$ at the different bandwidths as defined in equation (1).
(2) Show that there exists $U$ and $b$ such that $1(\mathbf{X} U \leq b)$ is a binary column vector indicating the membership of each row to $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{a}}$. Show that $1(\mathbf{X} U>b)$ indicates that of $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{b}}$.

## Answer to exercise 17 |

(1) $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{a}}$ and $\mathcal{N}_{\mathrm{b}}$ are a partition of $\mathcal{N}$.

- $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{a}} \bigcap \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{b}}=\emptyset$ because we cannot have both $\mathrm{d}\left(I_{n}, \mathbf{a}\right)<\mathrm{d}\left(I_{n}, \mathbf{b}\right)$ and $\mathrm{d}\left(I_{n}, \mathbf{a}\right) \geq \mathrm{d}\left(I_{n}, \mathbf{b}\right)$
- $\mathcal{N}_{\mathrm{a}} \subset \mathcal{N}$ and $\mathcal{N}_{\mathrm{b}} \subset \mathcal{N}$.
- $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{a}} \cup \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{b}}$ because either $\mathrm{d}\left(I_{n}, \mathbf{a}\right)<\mathrm{d}\left(I_{n}, \mathbf{b}\right)$ is true or $\mathrm{d}\left(I_{n}, \mathbf{a}\right) \geq \mathrm{d}\left(I_{n}, \mathbf{b}\right)$ is true.
(2) Considering the scalar product -,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d^{2}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{a})-\mathrm{d}^{2}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{b})=\|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{a}\|^{2}-\|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{b}\|^{2} \\
& \quad=(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{b}) \cdot(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{a}-\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{b})=2\left(\mathbf{x} \cdot(\mathbf{b}-\mathbf{a})-\frac{\|\mathbf{b}\|^{2}-\|\mathbf{a}\|^{2}}{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore we set $U=(\mathbf{b}-\mathbf{a})^{T}$ and $b=\frac{\|\mathbf{b}\|^{2}-\|\mathbf{a}\|^{2}}{2} . \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{b}}$ is the complement of $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{a}}$.

## New notations

- Image, slices and components: $\mathcal{I}$, $\mathbf{I}$ instead of $\mathbf{I}_{k}, I(n)$ instead of $I(n, k)$
- $f_{\mathbf{I}}$ and $f_{\mathbf{I}}^{-1}$, using $\{\ldots \mid \ldots\}$ to define a set.
- Sets of pixels: $\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{a}}, \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{b}}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{c}$.
- U, $\bigcap, \emptyset$, partition, $\subset$.
- Cardinality of a set: $|\mathcal{S}|$
- Rounding notations: $\lfloor\ldots\rfloor,\lfloor\ldots\rceil,\lceil\ldots\rceil$.


## Conclusion of subsection 1, Segmentation

- We have seen common issues between unsupervised classification and segmentation.
- We have defined a loss function for binary unsupervised classification problems.
- We defined a function $f$ useful to select thresholds and it happens to be the superlevel set function.
- Thresholding can be seen as a decision stump.
- With two points, we define a linear classifier.


## What are the tools in image processing to consider the spatial context?

Nearby points tend to belong to similar classes.
Actually there are also links with probability through the empirical distribution and the empirical cumulative distribution.

## Content of section 2, Image processing I

```
2.1 Segmentation
2.2 Edges as a mean for segmentation
2.3 Detection of connected components
2.4 Use of iterated algorithms
2.5 Clustering regarded as an optimization problem
```


## Edge detection

Roberts operators
Convolution is here the sum-product along a sliding window.

$$
F_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & -1
\end{array}\right] \quad \text { and } \quad F_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
-1 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

The magnitude of the edge is

$$
\left|F_{1} * I\right|+\left|F_{2} * I\right|
$$

This is equivalent to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\partial I\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right)\right|=\left|I\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right)-I\left(m_{1}+1, m_{2}+1\right)\right| \\
& \quad+\left|I\left(m_{1}, m_{2}+1\right)-I\left(m_{1}+1, m_{2}\right)\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

## Detection of the edge angle
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## Detection of the edge angle

Two operators are added

$$
F_{3}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\boxed{1} & -1
\end{array}\right] \quad \text { and } \quad F_{4}=\left[\begin{array}{|c}
\hline 1 \\
-1
\end{array}\right]
$$

The angle of the steepest increase (or gradient) is $\psi \in\left\{0, \frac{\pi}{2}, \pi, \frac{3 \pi}{2}\right\}$, that of the edge angle is $\Phi$.

$$
\left[\Psi\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right), \Phi\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right)\right]=\left\{\begin{array}{ccl}
-\frac{3 \pi}{4} & \frac{3 \pi}{4} & \text { if }\left[\left(F_{2} * I\right)\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right)\right]_{-} \geq F_{\max } \\
-\frac{\pi}{2} & \pi & \text { if }\left[\left(F_{4} * I\right)\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right)\right]_{-} \geq F_{\max } \\
-\frac{\pi}{4} & -\frac{3 \pi}{4} & \text { if }\left[\left(F_{1} * I\right)\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right)\right]_{-} \geq F_{\max } \\
0 & -\frac{\pi}{2} & \text { if }\left[\left(F_{3} * I\right)\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right)\right]_{-} \geq F_{\max } \\
\frac{\pi}{4} & -\frac{\pi}{4} & \text { if }\left[\left(F_{2} * I\right)\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right)\right]_{+} \geq F_{\max } \\
\frac{\pi}{2} & 0 & \text { if }\left[\left(F_{4} * I\right)\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right)\right]_{+} \geq F_{\max } \\
\frac{3 \pi}{4} & \frac{\pi}{4} & \text { if }\left[\left(F_{1} * I\right)\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right)\right]_{+} \geq F_{\max } \\
\pi & \frac{\pi}{2} & \text { if }\left[\left(F_{3} * I\right)\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right)\right]_{+} \geq F_{\max }
\end{array}\right.
$$

## Edge detector

where

$$
x=x_{+}-x_{-} \text {where } x_{+}=x 1(x \geq 0) \text { and } x_{-}=|x| 1(x \leq 0)
$$

and

$$
F_{\max }=\max _{c}\left|\left(F_{c} * I\right)\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right)\right|
$$

## Exercise 18

We consider the following image

$$
I=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
1 & 6 & 3 & 3 \\
2 & 6 & 2 & 4 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 5 \\
5 & 6 & 4 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

(1) Compute the resulting edge-image obtained with the magnitude of the gradient obtained using the Roberts operators.
(2) Compute the angle of the edge detector.

## Answer to exercise 18 I

(1)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\left.\begin{array}{|cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & -1
\end{array}\right] *\left[\begin{array}{llll}
1 & 6 & 3 & 3 \\
2 & 6 & 2 & 4 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 5 \\
5 & 6 & 4 & 1
\end{array}\right]==\text { }
\end{array}\right]} \\
& {[(1 \times 1+0 \times 6 \quad(1 \times 6+0 \times 3 \quad(1 \times 3+0 \times 3} \\
& +0 \times 2+-1 \times 6)+0 \times 6+-1 \times 2)+0 \times 2+-1 \times 4) \\
& \text {... } \\
& \text {... } \\
& F_{1} * I=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
-5 & 4 & -1 & 3 \\
1 & 5 & -3 & 4 \\
-5 & -3 & 0 & 5 \\
5 & 6 & 4 & 1
\end{array}\right] \text { and } F_{2} * I=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
4 & -3 & 2 & -4 \\
5 & 1 & 3 & -5 \\
-4 & -5 & 1 & -1 \\
6 & 4 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right] \\
& F_{3} * I=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
-5 & 3 & -1 & 4 \\
-4 & 4 & -2 & 4 \\
0 & 0 & -4 & 5 \\
-1 & 2 & -3 & 1
\end{array}\right] \text { and } F_{4} * I=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
-1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 5 & 1 & -1 \\
-4 & -5 & -3 & 4 \\
5 & 6 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

## Answer to exercise 18 II

The resulting magnitude of the gradient is

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
15 & 10 & 5 & 11 \\
11 & 15 & 9 & 14 \\
13 & 13 & 8 & 15 \\
17 & 18 & 12 & 3
\end{array}\right]
$$

(2) The angles obtained are

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
\left\{-\frac{\pi}{4}, 0\right\} & \frac{3 \pi}{4} & \pi & \left\{0, \frac{3 \pi}{4}, \pi\right\} \\
\pi & \left\{\frac{3 \pi}{4}, \frac{\pi}{2}\right\} & \left\{-\frac{\pi}{4}, \pi\right\} & 0 \\
-\frac{\pi}{4} & -\frac{\pi}{2} & 0 & \left\{\frac{3 \pi}{4}, \pi\right\} \\
\pi & \left\{\frac{3 \pi}{4}, \frac{\pi}{2}\right\} & \left\{\frac{3 \pi}{4}, \frac{\pi}{2}\right\} & \left\{\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{3 \pi}{4}, \pi\right\}
\end{array}\right]
$$

## Smoothing filter

Binomial filter approximating a 2D-Gaussian.

$$
G=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 2 & 1 \\
2 & 4 & 2 \\
1 & 2 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

## Example of two edge maps I


fig13.m, fig12.m

## Example of two edge maps II

## Require: I

Ensure: I'
1: Compute $M$
2: Compute $\mathrm{T}=f_{M}^{-1}(\lfloor 0.75 N\rceil)$
3: Compute $I^{\prime}=1(M \geq \mathrm{T})$

$$
M=\left|F_{1} * I\right|+\left|F_{2} * I\right|+\left|F_{3} * I\right|+\left|F_{4} * I\right|
$$

$$
M^{\prime}=\left|F_{1} * G * I\right|+\left|F_{2} * G * I\right|+\left|F_{3} * G * I\right|+\left|F_{4} * G * I\right|
$$

## Main idea

- Smooth the image
- Compute Gradient
- Add absolute values
- Compute a threshold


## Smoothing=denoising

- Require I
- Normalize between 0 and 1
- Add white Gaussian noise $\Rightarrow \mathbf{I}_{1}$
- Smooth $\Rightarrow \mathbf{I}_{2}$


This

- Compare with I
$\operatorname{PSNR}_{\mathrm{dB}}\left(\mathbf{I}, \mathbf{I}^{\prime}\right)=10 \log _{10}\left(\frac{N}{\sum_{n=0}^{N-1}\left(I(n)-I^{\prime}(n)\right)^{2}}\right)$
proves $\mathbf{I}_{2}$ is closer to $\mathbf{I}$ than to $I_{1}$, thanks to smoothing.
$\log _{10}$

$$
\log _{10}(10)=1 \quad \log _{10}\left(10^{x}\right)=x \quad \log _{10}(x)=\frac{\ln (x)}{\ln (10)}
$$

## What smoothing removes contains information



Ground truth


$$
\begin{aligned}
& T=f_{l}^{-1}(0.75 N) \\
& 1(I \geq \mathrm{T})
\end{aligned}
$$



$$
\begin{aligned}
& I^{\prime}=\left|I-\frac{1}{16} G * I\right| \\
& T=f_{I}^{-1}(0.75 N) \\
& 1(I \geq \mathrm{T})
\end{aligned}
$$

## Texture

To classify texture, we can use nonlinear filters resembling to denoising and we can use entropy-based metrics.

## New notations

- $\partial \mathbf{I}$ is here a contour, that is a binary image.
- $\Psi$ and $\Phi$ are here images whose values are angles.
- $*$ is here the 2 D -convolution product. It is actually a sum-product of a sliding window. It uses here $\square$ to indicate how the sliding window is to be positioned.
- $|\ldots|$ means the absolute value when applied to a numerical value or function.
- Four examples of filtering operators to find edges: $F_{1}, F_{2}, F_{3}, F_{4}$.
- One example of a smoothing operator reducing noise: G.
- $P_{S N R}^{d B}$ is a metric used in image processing.
- $\log _{10}$.


## Conclusion of subsection 2, Edges as a mean for segmentation

- Filtering operators can smooth the image and reduce noise.
- We have defined a loss function for binary unsupervised classification problems.
- We defined a function $f_{\mathbf{I}}$ useful to select thresholds and it happens to be the superlevel set function.
- Thresholding can be seen as a decision stump.
- With two points, we define a linear classifier.

```
What are the tools in image processing to consider the spatial context?
```

Nearby points tend to belong to similar classes.
Actually there are also links with probability through the empirical distribution and the empirical cumulative distribution.

## Content of section 2, Image processing I

```
2.1 Segmentation
2.2 Edges as a mean for segmentation
2.3 Detection of connected components
2.4 Use of iterated algorithms
2.5 Clustering regarded as an optimization problem
```


## Connected spaces in mathematics

- $(1,3)$ is connected but $\{x \mid x \in(1,3)$ or $x=4\}$ is not connected.
- $\mathbb{R}$ is connected but $\mathbb{N}$ is not connected.
- $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ is connected but not

$$
\left\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2} \mid x+y \neq 0\right\}
$$

This is based on neighborhoods which contain balls.

$$
\forall \mathbf{x}, \exists \epsilon>0 \text { such that } \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{x}} \supset\left\{\mathbf{x}^{\prime} \mid \mathrm{d}\left(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right) \leq \epsilon\right\}
$$

## Connected sets in image processing

A set of pixels is binary image

$$
I_{\mathcal{P}}\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right)=1\left(\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right) \in \mathcal{P}\right)
$$

These two first are connected sets, not the last one

$$
\left[\begin{array}{llll}
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{llll}
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right] \quad\left[\begin{array}{llll}
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

We define a neighborhood system.

$$
\mathcal{N}_{\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right)}=\left\{\left(m_{1}^{\prime}, m_{2}^{\prime}\right) \in \mathcal{N} \| m_{1}^{\prime}-m_{1}\left|+\left|m_{2}^{\prime}-m_{2}\right| \leq 1\right\}\right.
$$

We may also consider as a neighborhood system.

$$
\mathcal{N}_{\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right)}^{\prime}=\left\{\left(m_{1}^{\prime}, m_{2}^{\prime}\right) \in \mathcal{N}| | m_{1}^{\prime}-m_{1} \mid \leq 1 \text { and }\left|m_{2}^{\prime}-m_{2}\right| \leq 1\right\}
$$

## Definition of connected spaces

## $\mathcal{P}$ is connected if

For all $\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right),\left(m_{1}^{\prime}, m_{2}^{\prime}\right) \in \mathcal{P}$, there exists a sequence $\gamma_{1} \ldots \gamma_{P}$ such that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\gamma_{1}=\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right) \\
\gamma_{P}=\left(m_{1}^{\prime}, m_{2}^{\prime}\right) \\
\forall p \in\{1 \ldots P\}, \gamma_{p} \in \mathcal{P} \\
\forall p \in\{1 \ldots P-1\}, \gamma_{p+1} \in \mathcal{N}_{\gamma_{p}}
\end{array}\right.
$$

$\mathcal{P}$ has $P$ connected components if
There exists $\mathcal{P}_{1}, \ldots \mathcal{P}_{P}$ sets that define a partition of $\mathcal{P}$ such that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\mathcal{P}=\bigcup_{p} \mathcal{P}_{p} \\
\forall p \neq p^{\prime}, \mathcal{P}_{p} \bigcap \mathcal{P}_{p^{\prime}}=\emptyset \\
\forall p, \mathcal{P}_{p} \text { is connected } \\
\forall p \neq p^{\prime}, \mathcal{P}_{p} \cup \mathcal{P}_{p^{\prime}} \text { is not connected }
\end{array}\right.
$$

## Finding the connected components

## Require: I

## Ensure: I'

1: Set $\mathbf{I}^{\prime}=0$ with the size of $\mathbf{I}$
2: Set highest $=0$
3: for $\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right) \in \mathcal{N}$ with raster scanning along lines do
4: if $I^{\prime}\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right)=0$ then
5: continue the for-loop
6: Collect the two labels above and left
7: if no labels are collected then
8: $\quad$ highest $+=1, I^{\prime}\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right)=$ highest and continue
9: if one label is collected or two equal labels then Set $I^{\prime}\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right)$ with the label nearby and continue
11: $\quad$ Set $I^{\prime}\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right)$ with lowest collected label
12: $\quad$ Change the highest collected label in $\mathbf{I}^{\prime}$ to the lowest.

## Testing that a set is connected

## Exercise 19

(1) What neighborhood system is the pseudocode using?
(2) How can we use the pseudocode to test is a given set is connected?
(3) Give the intermediate values of $\mathbf{I}^{\prime}$ when $\mathbf{I}$ is defined as

$$
\left[\begin{array}{llll}
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

## Answer to exercise 19 |

(1) The cross neighborhood, that is the first one.
(2) If the yielded image has at most one label, then the set is connected. If not it is not connected.
(3)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{I}=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right] \\
& \mathbf{I}_{1}^{\prime}=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 2 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 2 \\
0 & 3 & 3 & 0
\end{array}\right] \\
& \mathbf{I}_{2}^{\prime}=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 2 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 2 \\
0 & 2 & 2 & 2
\end{array}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

## Answer to exercise 19 II

## Experimental results



Connected components of $1(I(n, 50) \geq T)$ with the third quantile.
 components whose area is greater than a given area.

## Experimental results



## Edges can be transformed into segmentation

## Exercise 20

Give a pseudo transforming a binary image with edges into the corresponding regions.


## Answer to exercise 20 I

```
Require: IB
Ensure: I'
1: Compute \(\mathbf{I}=1-\mathbf{I}_{\mathrm{B}}\)
2: Find the connected components of \(\mathbf{I}\).
```


## New notations

- $\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{N}$
- $\forall$ and $\exists$


## Conclusion of subsection 3, Detection of connected components

- Neighborhood,
- Connected components
- Algorithm giving the connected components
- Edges can be used for region segmentation


## Experimental results

The results are not convincing. There is a need for reliable information.

## Content of section 2, Image processing I

2.1 Segmentation
2.2 Edges as a mean for segmentation
2.3 Detection of connected components
2.4 Use of iterated algorithms
2.5 Clustering regarded as an optimization problem

## Setting a thresholding value

## seg_thresholding1.m

Require: I
Ensure: T
1: select an initial value for $T$,
2: while T is modified do
3: $\quad \mu_{0}=\frac{\sum_{m_{1}, m_{2}} I\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right) 1\left(I\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right) \leq T\right)}{\sum_{m_{1}, m_{2}} 1\left(I\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right) \leq \mathrm{T}\right)}$
4: $\quad \mu_{1}=\frac{\sum_{m_{1}, m_{2}} I\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right) 1\left(I\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right) \geq \mathrm{T}\right)}{\sum_{m_{1}, m_{2}} 1\left(I\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right) \geq \mathrm{T}\right)}$
5: $\quad \mathrm{T}=\frac{\mu_{0}+\mu_{1}}{2}$
An initial value of $T$ could be the average between the corners and the center. The algorithm would remain the same if the pixel intensities were stacked in a column vector.

## Note

This is a crisp assignment.

## Numerical example

## Exercise 21

We consider the following image

$$
\mathcal{I}=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
1 & 6 & 3 & 3 \\
2 & 6 & 2 & 4 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 5 \\
5 & 6 & 4 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

(1) Give the segmented image using the thresholding algorithm.

## Answer to exercise 21 I

- $\mathrm{T}=\frac{1+3+5+1}{4}=2.5$
- $\mu_{0}=\frac{1+2+2+1+1+1+1}{7} \approx 1.3$
- $\mu_{1}=\frac{6+3+3+6+4+5+5+6+4}{9} \approx 4.6$
- $\mathrm{T}=\frac{\mu_{1}+\mu_{2}}{2} \approx 2.97<3$


## Minimizing the within-diversity



Figure 4: Left: loss function w.r. to T. Right: new threshold $\mathrm{T}^{\prime}$ w.r. to old threshold T .

$$
J\left(\mu_{0}, \mu_{1}, \mathcal{N}_{0}, \mathcal{N}_{1}\right)=\sqrt{\frac{\sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}_{0}}\left(I_{n}-\mu_{0}\right)^{2}+\sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}_{1}}\left(I_{n}-\mu_{1}\right)^{2}}{|\mathcal{N}|}}
$$

## Minimizing the within-diversity

## Exercise 22

We are going to prove formulas in steps 3 and 4 used in
algorithm seg_thresholding1. We assume a function to be minimized

$$
J=\sqrt{\frac{\sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}_{0}}\left(I_{n}-\mu_{0}\right)^{2}+\sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}_{1}}\left(I_{n}-\mu_{1}\right)^{2}}{N}}
$$

(1) Show that given $\mathcal{N}_{0}$ and $\mathcal{N}_{1}, J$ is minimal when

$$
\mu_{0}=\frac{\sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}_{0}} I_{n}}{\left|\mathcal{N}_{0}\right|} \text { and } \mu_{1}=\frac{\sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}_{1}} I_{n}}{\left|\mathcal{N}_{1}\right|}
$$

(2) Show that given $\mu_{0}$ and $\mu_{1}$, $J$ is minimal when

$$
\mathcal{N}_{0}=\left\{I_{n} \leq \frac{\mu_{0}+\mu_{1}}{2}\right\} \text { and } \mathcal{N}_{1}=\left\{I_{n}>\frac{\mu_{0}+\mu_{1}}{2}\right\}
$$

## Good news

We don't need to estimate here $\sigma$.

## Answer to exercise 22 I

(1) Because $\mathcal{N}_{0}$ and $\mathcal{N}_{1}$ are fixed, the minimization of $J$ is the same than that of $J^{2}\left(\left|\mathcal{N}_{0}\right|^{2}+\left|\mathcal{N}_{1}\right|^{2}\right)$

$$
J^{2}\left(\left|\mathcal{N}_{0}\right|^{2}+\left|\mathcal{N}_{1}\right|^{2}\right)=\left|\mathcal{N}_{0}\right| \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}_{0}}\left(I_{n}-\mu_{0}\right)^{2}+\left|\mathcal{N}_{1}\right| \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}_{1}}\left(I_{n}-\mu_{1}\right)^{2}
$$

Both quantities are second order polynomials with $\mu_{0}$ and $\mu_{1}$ as variables. Considering the left part of the $J^{2}\left(\left|\mathcal{N}_{0}\right|^{2}+\left|\mathcal{N}_{1}\right|^{2}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\mathcal{N}_{0}\right| \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}_{0}}\left(I_{n}-\mu_{0}\right)^{2}=\left|\mathcal{N}_{0}\right| \sum I_{n}^{2}-2 \mu_{0}\left|\mathcal{N}_{0}\right| \sum I_{n}+\mu_{0}^{2}\left|\mathcal{N}_{0}\right|^{2} \\
& =\left|\mathcal{N}_{0}\right|^{2}\left(\mu_{0}-\frac{1}{\left|\mathcal{N}_{0}\right|} \sum I_{n}\right)^{2}+\left|\mathcal{N}_{0}\right| \sum I_{n}^{2}-\left|\mathcal{N}_{0}\right|^{2}\left(\frac{1}{\left|\mathcal{N}_{0}\right|} \sum{ }_{n} x_{n}\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Looking at this equation, the right part is not depending on $\mu_{0}$, and the left part is minimized when $\mu_{0}=\frac{1}{\left|\mathcal{N}_{0}\right|} \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}_{0}} I_{n}$. Applied on the right part of $\mathrm{J}^{2}\left(\left|\mathcal{N}_{0}\right|^{2}+\left|\mathcal{N}_{1}\right|^{2}\right)$, the same technique shows $\mu_{1}=\frac{1}{\left|\mathcal{N}_{1}\right|} \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}_{1}} I_{n}$.

## Answer to exercise 22 II

## General technique

The minimization of J w.r. to $\mu_{0}, \mu_{1}$ is usually solved using

$$
\frac{\partial \mathrm{J}}{\partial \mu_{0}}=0 \text { and } \frac{\partial \mathrm{J}}{\partial \mu_{1}}=0
$$

or actually here $\frac{\partial J}{\partial \mu_{0}}$
(2) J can be written in a sample-by-sample formula.

$$
\mathrm{J}^{2}=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1}\left(I_{n}-\mu_{0}\right)^{2} 1\left(n \in \mathcal{N}_{0}\right)+\left(I_{n}-\mu_{1}\right)^{2} 1\left(n \in \mathcal{N}_{1}\right)
$$

We assume here that $\mu_{0}<\mu_{1}$. Given $\mu_{0}, \mu_{1}$ and $N, J^{2}$ is minimal when for all $n \in \mathcal{N}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& n \in \mathcal{N}_{0} \text { if }\left|I_{n}-\mu_{0}\right|<\left|I_{n}-\mu_{1}\right| \\
& n \in \mathcal{N}_{1} \text { if }\left|I_{n}-\mu_{0}\right| \geq\left|I_{n}-\mu_{1}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

## Answer to exercise 22 III

which is equivalent to

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
n \in \mathcal{N}_{0} \text { if } x_{n} \leq \mu_{0} \\
n \in \mathcal{N}_{0} \text { if } \mu_{0}<x_{n} \leq\left(\mu_{0}+\mu_{1}\right) / 2 \\
n \in \mathcal{N}_{1} \text { if }\left(\mu_{0}+\mu_{1}\right) / 2<x_{n} \leq \mu_{1} \\
n \in \mathcal{N}_{1} \text { if } x_{n}>\mu_{1}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Finally we get

$$
\mathcal{N}_{0}=\left\{n \left\lvert\, I_{n} \leq \frac{\mu_{0}+\mu_{1}}{2}\right.\right\} \text { and } \mathcal{N}_{1}=\left\{n \left\lvert\, I_{n}>\frac{\mu_{0}+\mu_{1}}{2}\right.\right\}
$$

## Using soft assignments

seg_thresholding2.m
Require: I(n)
Ensure: $\mu_{0}, \mu_{1}$
1: select an initial value for T ,
2: $\mu_{0}=\frac{\sum_{n} I(n) 1(I(n) \leq T)}{\sum_{n} 1(I(n) \leq T)}$
3: $\mu_{1}=\frac{\sum_{n} I(n) 1(I(n)>T)}{\sum_{n} 1(I(n)>T)}$
4: while $\mu_{0}$ or $\mu_{1}$ are modified do
5: $\quad q_{n}=\frac{\left|I(n)-\mu_{0}\right|}{\left|I(n)-\mu_{1}\right|+\left|I(n)-\mu_{1}\right|}$
6: $\quad \mu_{0}=\frac{\sum_{n} I(n) q_{n}}{\sum_{n} q_{n}}$
7: $\quad \mu_{1}=\frac{\sum_{n} I(n)\left(1-q_{n}\right)}{\sum_{n} 1-q_{n}}$

## Doing crisp assignments

## Exercise 23

Find a formula for $q_{n}$ such that the second algorithm behaves like the first one.

## Answer to exercise 23 I

$$
\begin{gathered}
q_{n}=1\left(I(n) \leq \frac{\mu_{0}+\mu_{1}}{2}\right) \\
\frac{\sum_{n} I(n) q_{n}}{\sum_{n} q_{n}}=\frac{\sum_{n} I(n) 1\left(I(n) \leq \frac{\mu_{0}+\mu_{1}}{2}\right)}{\sum_{n} 1\left(I(n) \leq \frac{\mu_{0}+\mu_{1}}{2}\right)} \\
\frac{\sum_{n} I(n)\left(1-q_{n}\right)}{\sum_{n}\left(1-q_{n}\right)}=\frac{\sum_{n} I(n) 1\left(I(n)>\frac{\mu_{0}+\mu_{1}}{2}\right)}{\sum_{n} 1\left(I(n)>\frac{\mu_{0}+\mu_{1}}{2}\right)}
\end{gathered}
$$



Figure 5: Left: Original bandwidth intensity image. Center: Thresholding with crisp assignments. Right: Thresholding with soft assignment.

## Is the extra information reliable?

How should $I(n) \mapsto q_{n}$ be chosen.

$$
q_{n}=\frac{\left|I(n)-\mu_{0}\right|}{\left|I(n)-\mu_{0}\right|+\left|I(n)-\mu_{1}\right|}
$$

## New notations

- $\sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}_{0}} I(n)=\sum_{n} I(n) 1\left(n \in \mathcal{N}_{0}\right)$
- The average is $\mu_{0}=\frac{1}{\left|\mathcal{N}_{0}\right|} \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}_{0}} I(n)$


## Conclusion of subsection 4, Use of iterated algorithms

- Thresholding with crisp assignments
- Thresholding with soft assignments
- Graph of a loss function and of a sequence $u_{n+1}=f\left(u_{n}\right)$
- Convexity
- $\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}$ to the extrema of a function.


## What are the tools in image processing to consider the spatial context?

Nearby points tend to belong to similar classes.
Actually there are also links with probability through the empirical distribution and the empirical cumulative distribution.

## Content of section 2, Image processing I

```
2.1 Segmentation
2.2 Edges as a mean for segmentation
2.3 Detection of connected components
2.4 Use of iterated algorithms
2.5 Clustering regarded as an optimization problem
```


## Unsupervised classification

## Definition

Of the dataset $(\mathbf{X}, Y)$, only $\mathbf{x}$ is used. ( $\mathbf{X}^{\top}=\left[\mathbf{x}_{1}^{T}, \ldots, \mathbf{x}_{N}^{\top}\right]$ )


## Clusters

Instead of classes, we consider clusters.


## kmeans



## Algorithm of kmeans

## Exercise 24

We consider a set of points $\mathbf{X}$ and two clusters. Two points are first randomly selected. Then the two following iterations are repeated.

- Each point is assigned to the closest point.
- Each geometric center is updated with its new and removed members.
(1) Give the algorithm


## Answer to exercise 24

## Require: $X$

Ensure: $\widehat{Y}$
1: Select randomly two rows of $\mathbf{x}: \mu_{0}$ and $\mu_{1}$.
2: Set $\widehat{Y}$ with zeros.
3: repeat
4: $\quad \widehat{Y}_{\text {old }}=\widehat{Y}$
5: $\quad$ for $n=1: N$ do
6: $\quad \widehat{Y}_{n}=1\left(d\left(\mathbf{x}_{n}, \boldsymbol{\mu}_{0}\right)>d\left(\mathbf{x}_{n}, \boldsymbol{\mu}_{1}\right)\right)$
7: $\quad \widehat{Y}=\left[\widehat{Y}_{1} \ldots \widehat{Y}_{N}\right]^{T}$
8: $\quad \mu_{0}=\frac{1}{\left|\left\{n \mid \hat{Y}_{n}=0\right\}\right|} \sum_{\widehat{Y}_{n}=0} \mathbf{x}_{n}$
9: $\quad \boldsymbol{\mu}_{1}=\frac{1}{\left|\left\{n \mid \widehat{Y}_{n}=1\right\}\right|} \sum_{\widehat{Y}_{n}=1} \mathbf{x}_{n}$
10: until $\widehat{Y}=\widehat{Y}_{\text {old }}$

## An ad hoc loss function

The number of samples assigned to each cluster is

$$
\left|\mathcal{N}_{0}\right|=\sum_{n=1}^{N} 1\left(y_{n}=0\right)=\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} 1-y_{n} \text { and }\left|\mathcal{N}_{1}\right|=\sum_{n=1}^{N} 1\left(y_{n}=1\right)=\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} y_{n}
$$

Given a set of assignments indicated with $Y$, we define the geometric center of the two clusters in the feature space

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \boldsymbol{\mu}_{0}(\mathbf{X}, Y)=\frac{1}{\left|\mathcal{N}_{0}\right|} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1}\left(1-y_{n}\right) \mathbf{x}_{n} \\
& \boldsymbol{\mu}_{1}(\mathbf{X}, Y)=\frac{1}{\left|\mathcal{N}_{1}\right|} \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} y_{n} \mathbf{x}_{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

We derive a norm from the scalar product

$$
\|\mathbf{x}\|^{2}=\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{x}
$$

We define a modified kind of within point scatter

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{J}(\mathbf{X}, Y)=\sum_{n=0}^{N-1}\left(1-y_{n}\right)\left\|\mathbf{x}_{n}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_{0}(\mathbf{X}, Y)\right\|^{2} \\
& \quad+\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} y_{n}\left\|\mathbf{x}_{n}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_{1}(\mathbf{X}, Y)\right\|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

This is the loss function that is non-increasing when $Y$ is modified along kmeans.

## Conclusion of subsection 5, Clustering regarded as an optimization problem

- Description of a very popular algorithm: kmeans
- It is an unsupervised algorithm
- There exists a loss function for which this algorithm is non-increasing
- In terms of algorithm efficiency, this property is an appealing characteristic, but it is far from explaining the generally good performance and its popularity.
- Knowing the equation of this loss function can be used to adapt this algorithm to other contexts.

We have seen algorithms that seem to have good performance in terms of accuracy or at least with a loss function, can we say something about the reliability of a prediction regarding a new sample. In the next section, we are measuring the reliability of such predictions?

## Table of Contents I

## 1. Classification of hyperspectral images

2. Image processing
3. Learning regarded as an optimization problem
4. Predicting the learning performances and probabilistic framework
5. More in depth with probabilities
6. Curse of dimensionality, regularization and sparsity

## 7. Spatial context

## Table of Contents II

## 8. Supplementary material regarding matrices

## Content of section 3, Learning regarded as an optimization problem I

### 3.1 Optimization problem

3.2 Simulated annealing
3.3 Method of least squares

## Optimization problem

The loss function is a proxy indicating how to approach the goal.

- Parameters are selected so that

$$
\Theta^{*}=\operatorname{argmin} \mathrm{L}\left(Y,\left[f_{\Theta}^{\vee}\left(\mathbf{x}_{n}\right)\right]_{n}\right)
$$

where $f_{\Theta}^{\nu}(\mathbf{x})$ is a real-valued function.

- Real-valued predictor

$$
f^{\nu}(\mathbf{x}) \in \mathbb{R}
$$

(the dependency w.r. to $\Theta$ is often omitted for the sake of clarity)

- Linear real-valued predictor

$$
f^{\vee}(\mathbf{x})=b-\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{x}
$$

- A new L2-loss function

$$
\mathrm{L}\left(\mathscr{S}, f^{\vee}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1}\left(f^{\vee}\left(\mathbf{x}_{n}\right)-\widetilde{y}_{n}\right)^{2}
$$

## Exercise 25

We are considering the following 2-feature data set denoted $\mathscr{S}_{2}$.

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
x_{11}=2 & x_{12}=0.5 & y_{1}=1 \\
x_{21}=1 & x_{22}=2 & y_{2}=0 \\
x_{31}=0 & x_{32}=0 & y_{3}=1
\end{array}
$$

We consider a family of predictors $f_{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}}$ defined as

$$
f_{\mathrm{a}, b}(\mathbf{x})=1(\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{x} \leq b)
$$

with $\mathbf{a}=\left[a_{1}, a_{2}\right]$.
We define $J\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, b\right)=L\left(\mathscr{L}_{2}, f_{\mathrm{a}, b}\right)$
(1) Compute $J\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, b\right)$ as the sum of three quadratic expressions. And explain why 0 an obvious lower bound of $J$ is likely to be reached.
(2) Show that $J\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, b\right)=0$ if this system is solved.

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
2 a_{1}+0.5 a_{2}-b=-1 \\
a_{1}+2 a_{2}-b=1 \\
b=1
\end{array}\right.
$$

(3) Solve the system and show that $a_{1}=-\frac{2}{7}, a_{2}=\frac{8}{7}$ and $b=1$.

## Answer to exercise 25 I

(1)

$$
\mathrm{J}(b, \mathbf{a})=\mathrm{L}\left(\mathscr{S}, f^{v}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{3}\left(b-\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{x}-\widetilde{y}_{n}\right)^{2}
$$

Square values are necessarily non-negative so $J(b, \mathbf{a}) \geq 0$. This lower bound is the actual minimum value if these square values are zeroed, that is if three constrained equations are met by three free variables $b, a_{1}, a_{2}$.
(2)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2 \mathrm{~J}(b, \mathbf{a})=\left(b-2 a_{1}-0.5 a_{2}-1\right)^{2}+\left(b-a_{1}-2 a_{2}+1\right)^{2}+(b-1)^{2} \\
& \mathrm{~J}(b, \mathbf{a})=0 \text { iff }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
2 a_{1}+0.5 * a_{2}-b=-1 \\
a_{1}+2 a_{2}-b=1 \\
b=1
\end{array}\right.
$$

## Answer to exercise 25 II

3

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{J}(1,[-2 / 7,8 / 7])=(1-2 *(-2 / 7)-0.5 * 8 / 7-1)^{2} \\
& \quad+(1-(-2 / 7)-2(8 / 7)+1)^{2}+(1-1)^{2}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

## Need of a more general technique

In the example shown in exercise 25 , we have three samples and three free variables

$$
\min _{\mathbf{a}, b} J(\mathbf{a}, b)=0 \text { and } \mathbf{a}, b=\underset{\mathbf{a}, b}{\operatorname{argmin}} J(\mathbf{a}, b)
$$

In general this is not true.

- Finding a solution using an algorithm
- Using linear algebra.


## New notations

- $L$ is here the L 2 -loss function.
- $f^{v}(\mathbf{x}) \in \mathbb{R}$ whereas $f(\mathbf{x}) \in\{0,1\}$.


## Conclusion of subsection 1, Optimization problem

- Parameters of a predictor function are chosen so as to minimize or maximize a loss function or the accuracy for a given dataset.
- An L2-loss function is an example.
- It works like a regression, as if we wanted to predict a real value for $\widetilde{y}$.

Even a simple example seems to require complex computations, how are we going to deal with more complex examples?
In the next section, we will see an example of algorithm. And after, we will see examples of image processing without optimization.

## Content of section 3, Learning regarded as an optimization problem I

### 3.1 Optimization problem

3.2 Simulated annealing
3.3 Method of least squares

## Simulated annealing (a more complex kind)



## Simplified simulated annealing

Require: Loss function
Ensure: $\Theta$ parameters minimizing the loss function.
1: Select randomly $\Theta$ and set $L:=+\infty$.
2: for $k=1: 10000$ do
3: $\quad$ Select randomly $r$, a real in $[0,6]$ and set $\sigma:=10^{-r}$.
4: $\quad$ Select randomly $\Delta \Theta$ along a centered Gaussian distribution with $\sigma$ as standard deviation.
5: if $L(\Theta+\Delta \Theta)<L$ then
6: $\quad$ Set $\Theta:=\Theta+\Delta \Theta$ and $L:=L(\Theta)$.
7: Display $\Theta$.

## Using simulated_annealing.m

```
cost_function=@(theta)(theta(1)-2)^2+(theta(2)-3)^2;
dim=2;
theta=simulated_annealing(cost_function,dim);
The code displays
\(\mathrm{L}=28.2762\)
\(\mathrm{L}=25.1406\)
\(\mathrm{L}=23.7017\)
\(\mathrm{L}=15.3473\)
```

We have the best parameter found with
octave:24> theta
theta $=$
1.9994
3.0029

## Exercise 26

Give the Octave code that uses simulated_annealing to find an approximation of a and a of exercise 25 which tells

We are considering the following 2-feature data set denoted $\mathscr{S}_{2}$.

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
x_{11}=2 & x_{12}=0.5 & y_{1}=1 \\
x_{21}=1 & x_{22}=2 & y_{2}=0 \\
x_{31}=0 & x_{32}=0 & y_{3}=1
\end{array}
$$

We consider a family of predictors $f_{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}}$ defined as

$$
f_{\mathrm{a}, b}(\mathbf{x})=1(\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{x} \leq b)
$$

with $\mathbf{a}=\left[a_{1}, a_{2}\right]$.
We define

$$
J\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, b\right)=L\left(\mathscr{S}_{2}, f_{\mathbf{a}, b}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1}\left(f^{\vee}\left(\mathbf{x}_{n}\right)-\widetilde{y}_{n}\right)^{2}
$$

## Costly performances obtained with simulated annealing




## Answer to exercise 26 I

```
function J=J2(theta)
    x1=[2 0.5]; y1=1;
    x2=[11 2]; y2=0;
    x3=[00 0]; y3=1;
    tilde=@(y) 2*y-1;
    b=theta(1); a1=theta(2); a2=theta(3);
    J=(b-a1*x1(1)-a2*x1(2)-tilde(y1)) ^2;
    J=J+(b-a1*x2(1)-a2*x2(2)-tilde(y2)) ^2;
    J=J+(b-a1*x3(1)-a2*x3(2)-tilde(y3))^2;
end
theta=simulated_annealing(@(theta)J2(theta), 3);
```

- $L$ is different from $L$. It is the last best value obtained.
- $\Delta \Theta$ : modification of the parameter values.


## Conclusion of subsection 2, Simulated annealing

- Simulated annealing is quicker than a uniform random search.
- It refines the search after some iterations.
- The choice of the proposed algorithm is to make it easy to use at the expense of a high numerical complexity.

An other technique to select parameters with respect to a loss function and a dataset?
In the next subsection, we discuss the minimization of the L2-loss function for linear classifiers.

## Content of section 3, Learning regarded as an optimization problem I

3.1 Optimization problem
3.2 Simulated annealing
3.3 Method of least squares

## Product of two matrices



$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{A} \cdot \mathrm{~B}=\mathrm{C} \\
& c_{i j}=\sum_{k=1}^{m} a_{i k} b_{k j}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\mathbf{C}=\mathbf{A B}
$$

## biais considered as a supplementary feature

## Predicting function

$$
f^{\vee}(\mathbf{x})=b-\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{x}=\mathbf{w} \cdot \stackrel{\Delta}{\mathbf{x}}
$$

We use the following definition

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{w}=\left[-a_{1}-a_{2} \ldots-a_{F} b\right]=[-\mathbf{a} b] \\
& \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathbf{x}}=\left[x_{1} x_{2} \ldots x_{F} 1\right]=[\mathbf{x} 1]
\end{aligned}
$$

The matrix definition of $\mathbf{X}$ is modified into

$$
\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathbf{X}}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\mathbf{x}_{1} & 1 \\
\vdots & 1 \\
\mathbf{x}_{N} & 1
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
\stackrel{\Delta}{x}_{1} \\
\vdots \\
\stackrel{\mathbf{x}}{N}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
\mathbf{X} \vdots \\
1
\end{array}\right]
$$

## Expressing the loss function with matrices I

$$
\left[\begin{array}{lll}
{[\ldots \ldots]}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
\vdots \\
\vdots \\
\vdots
\end{array}\right]
$$

## Scalar product as vector multiplication

$$
\mathbf{w} \cdot \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathbf{x}}=\mathbf{w} \Delta^{T}
$$

$$
\mathrm{L}\left(\mathscr{S}, f^{\vee}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N}\left(\mathbf{w}_{n}^{T}-\widetilde{y}_{n}\right)^{2}
$$

## Expressing the loss function with matrices II

## Sum of square values as vector multiplication

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{N} \widetilde{y}_{n}=\widetilde{Y}^{T} \widetilde{Y}
$$

In the same way,

$$
\mathrm{L}\left(\mathscr{S}, f^{\vee}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{w}}{ }^{T}-\widetilde{Y}\right)^{T}\left(\stackrel{\Delta}{\mathbf{X}}^{T}-\widetilde{Y}\right)
$$

Expanding follows classical rules

$$
2 \mathrm{~L}\left(\mathscr{S}, f^{v}\right)=\left(\stackrel{\Delta}{\mathbf{X}}^{T}\right)^{T}\left(\stackrel{\Delta}{\mathbf{X}}^{T}\right)-\left(\stackrel{\Delta}{\mathbf{X}} \mathbf{w}^{T}\right)^{T} \widetilde{Y}-\widetilde{Y}^{T}\left(\stackrel{\Delta}{\mathbf{X}}^{T}\right)+\widetilde{Y}^{T} \widetilde{Y}
$$

## Transpose of the product of two matrices

## Rules

$(\mathbf{A B})^{T}=\mathbf{B}^{T} \mathbf{A}^{T}$ and if $\mathbf{A B}$ is a scalar $\mathbf{A B}=(\mathbf{A B})^{T}=\mathbf{B}^{T} \mathbf{A}^{T}$

- $(A B) C=A(B C)$
$2 \mathrm{~L}\left(\mathscr{S}, f^{v}\right)=\left(\stackrel{\Delta}{\mathbf{X}}_{\mathbf{w}}{ }^{T}\right)^{T}\left(\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{w}}{ }^{T}\right)-\left(\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{w}^{T}\right)^{T} \widetilde{Y}-\widetilde{Y}^{T}\left(\stackrel{\Delta}{\mathbf{X}}^{\mathbf{w}}\right)+\widetilde{Y}^{T} \widetilde{Y}$ becomes

$$
2 \mathrm{~L}\left(\mathscr{S}, f^{v}\right)=\mathbf{w} \stackrel{\Delta}{\mathbf{X}}^{T} \stackrel{\Delta}{\mathbf{X}}_{\mathbf{w}}{ }^{T}-2 \mathbf{w} \stackrel{\Delta}{\mathbf{X}}^{T} \widetilde{Y}+\widetilde{Y}^{T} \widetilde{Y}
$$

We are now considering $\mathrm{J}(\mathbf{w})=\mathrm{L}\left(\mathscr{S}, f^{\vee}\right)$

## Finding a local minimum

- $\mathbf{w}_{0}$ is local minimum iff for all $\mathbf{w}$ in a neighborhood of $\mathbf{w}_{0}, \mathrm{~J}\left(\mathbf{w}_{0}\right) \leq \mathrm{J}(\mathbf{w})$

- If $\mathbf{w}$ is a local minimum then

$$
\frac{\partial J(\mathbf{w})}{\partial \mathbf{w}}=0
$$

- $\mathbf{w}^{*}$ is a global minimum iff $\forall \mathbf{w}, \mathrm{J}\left(\mathbf{w}^{*}\right) \leq \mathrm{J}(\mathbf{w})$
- Under some more involved conditions, a unique local minimum that bounds from below all values at the domain's edges is a global minimum.


## Partial derivative: definition

## Rule

The derivative of a scalar function with respect to a row or a column vector is a column or a row vector.

$$
\frac{\partial J(\mathbf{w})}{\partial\left[w_{1}, w_{2}, \ldots, w_{F+1}\right]}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\frac{\partial J(\mathbf{w})}{\partial \mathbf{w}_{1}} \\
\frac{\partial J(\mathbf{w})}{\partial \mathbf{w}_{2}} \\
\vdots \\
\frac{\partial J(\mathbf{w})}{\partial \mathbf{w}_{F+1}}
\end{array}\right] \frac{\partial J(\mathbf{w})}{\partial\left[\begin{array}{c}
\mathbf{w}_{1} \\
\mathbf{w}_{2} \\
\vdots \\
\mathbf{w}_{F+1}
\end{array}\right]}=\left[\frac{\partial J(\mathbf{w})}{\partial \mathbf{w}_{1}}, \ldots \frac{\partial J(\mathbf{w})}{\partial \mathbf{w}_{F+1}}\right]
$$

## Partial derivative: formulas

## Notations

$\mathbf{w}$ is a row vector and $V$ is a column vector. $\mathbf{w} V$ is a scalar and $\mathbf{w} V=V^{T} \mathbf{w}^{T} . A$ is a square matrix.
if $A$ is symmetric:

$$
A^{\dot{T}}=A
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial \mathbf{w} V}{\partial \mathbf{w}}=\frac{\partial V^{T} \mathbf{w}^{T}}{\partial \mathbf{w}}=V \\
& \frac{\partial \mathbf{w} V}{\partial \mathbf{w}^{T}}=\frac{\partial V^{T} \mathbf{w}^{T}}{\partial \mathbf{w}^{T}}=V^{T}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\frac{\partial \mathbf{w} A \mathbf{w}^{T}}{\partial \mathbf{w}}=A \mathbf{w}^{T}+A^{T} \mathbf{w}^{T}=\left(A+A^{T}\right) \mathbf{w}^{T} \quad=2 A \mathbf{w}^{T}
$$

$$
\frac{\partial \mathbf{w} A \mathbf{w}^{T}}{\partial \mathbf{w}^{T}}=\mathbf{w} A+\mathbf{w} A^{T}=\mathbf{w}\left(A+A^{T}\right)
$$

$$
=2 \mathbf{w} A
$$

## Derivative of J

## Cost function

$$
2 \mathrm{~J}(\mathbf{w})=\mathbf{w} \stackrel{\Delta}{\mathbf{X}}^{T} \stackrel{\mathrm{X}}{\mathbf{w}}^{T}-2 \mathbf{w} \stackrel{\Delta}{\mathbf{X}}^{T} \widetilde{Y}+\widetilde{Y}^{T} \widetilde{Y}
$$

Applying the rules and because $\stackrel{\Delta}{\mathbf{X}}^{T} \stackrel{\Delta}{\mathbf{X}}$ is symmetric $\left(\left(\stackrel{\Delta}{\mathbf{X}}^{T} \stackrel{\Delta}{\mathbf{X}}\right)^{T}=\stackrel{\Delta}{\mathbf{X}}^{T} \stackrel{\Delta}{\mathbf{X}}\right)$

$$
\frac{\partial \mathrm{J}(\mathbf{w})}{\partial \mathbf{w}}=\stackrel{\Delta}{\mathbf{X}}^{T} \mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{w}}{ }^{T}-\stackrel{\Delta}{\mathbf{X}}^{T} \widetilde{Y}
$$

Cancellation of the derivative

$$
\mathbf{w}^{T}=\left(\stackrel{\Delta}{\mathbf{X}}^{T} \stackrel{\Delta}{\mathbf{X}}\right)^{-1} \stackrel{\Delta}{\mathbf{X}}^{T} \widetilde{Y}
$$

Instead of an optimization algorithm, we need to inverse a matrix (or solve a linear system).

## Solving exercise 25 ।

## Exercise 27

We consider once again exercise 25 to solve without using the trick of zeroing $J$ which usually does not work.

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
x_{11}=2 & x_{12}=0.5 & y_{1}=1 \\
x_{21}=1 & x_{22}=2 & y_{2}=0 \\
x_{31}=0 & x_{32}=0 & y_{3}=1
\end{array}
$$

We consider a linear family of predictors $f_{\mathrm{a}, b}$ defined as

$$
f_{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{~b}}(\mathbf{x})=1(\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{x} \leq b)
$$

with $\mathbf{a}=\left[a_{1}, a_{2}\right]$. We consider an L2-loss function $J\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, b\right)=L\left(\mathscr{S}_{2}, f_{\mathbf{a}, b}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N}\left(f^{\vee}\left(\mathbf{x}_{n}\right)-\widetilde{y}_{n}\right)^{2}$
(1) Define $\mathbf{w}$ with respect to $\mathbf{a}$ and $b$ and $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$ with respect to $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$.
(2) Compute $\mathbf{X}, \stackrel{\Delta}{\mathbf{X}}$ and $\stackrel{\Delta}{\mathbf{X}}^{\top} \stackrel{\Delta}{\mathbf{X}}$.

## Solving exercise 25 II

## Exercise

(3) Compute $Y, \widetilde{Y}$ and $\stackrel{\Delta}{\mathbf{X}}^{T} \widetilde{Y}$
(9) Show that when $a_{1}=-\frac{2}{7}, a_{2}=\frac{8}{7}$ and $b=1$, we have indeed that $\frac{\partial J(w)}{\partial w}=0$.
(0) Let us suppose that we have an extra sample in $\mathscr{S}_{2}$. What are the sizes of the different vectors and matrices involved here.
(1) Assuming that $\mathbf{w}^{*}$ that cancels the J-derivative is a global minimum, show that

$$
\min _{\mathbf{w}} J(\mathbf{w})=\widetilde{Y}^{T} \widetilde{Y}-\widetilde{Y}^{T} \mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{X}}\left(\stackrel{\Delta}{\mathbf{X}}^{T} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1} \stackrel{\Delta}{\mathbf{X}}^{T} \widetilde{Y}
$$

## Answer to exercise 27

(1) $\mathbf{w}=\left[-a_{1},-a_{2}, b\right]$ and $\stackrel{\Delta}{\mathbf{x}}=\left[x_{1}, x_{2}, 1\right]$ because

$$
f^{v}(\mathbf{w})=b-\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{x}=\mathbf{w} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{x}}
$$

(2)

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathbf{X}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
2 & 0.5 \\
1 & 2 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right] \text { and } \stackrel{\Delta}{\mathbf{X}}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
2 & 0.5 & 1 \\
1 & 2 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right] \\
\stackrel{\Delta}{\mathbf{X}}^{T}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
2 & 1 & 0 \\
0.5 & 2 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right] \text { and } \stackrel{\Delta}{\mathbf{X}}^{T} \stackrel{\Delta}{\mathbf{X}}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbf{5} & 3 & 3 \\
3 & \frac{17}{4} & \frac{5}{2} \\
3 & \frac{5}{2} & 3
\end{array}\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

(3)

$$
Y=\left[\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
0 \\
1
\end{array}\right] \text { and } \widetilde{Y}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
-1 \\
1
\end{array}\right] \text { and } \stackrel{\Delta}{\mathbf{X}}^{T} \widetilde{Y}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
-\frac{3}{2} \\
1
\end{array}\right]
$$

## Answer to exercise 27 II

(9) Knowing that

$$
\dot{\mathbf{X}}^{T} \stackrel{\Delta}{\mathbf{X}}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
5 & 3 & 3 \\
3 & \frac{17}{4} & \frac{5}{2} \\
3 & \frac{5}{2} & 3
\end{array}\right]
$$

and based on the solution found in exercise 25 , we select $\mathbf{w}^{*}=\left[\frac{2}{7},-\frac{8}{7}, 1\right]$.

$$
\left(\stackrel{\Delta}{\mathbf{X}}^{T} \mathbf{X}\right) \mathbf{w}^{* T}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
-\frac{3}{2} \\
1
\end{array}\right]=\stackrel{\Delta}{\mathbf{X}}^{T} \widetilde{Y}
$$

(5) We consider four samples.

- The size of $Y$ and $\widetilde{Y}$ is $4 \times 1$.
- The size of $\mathbf{X}$ is $4 \times 2$.
- The size of $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{X}}$ is $4 \times 3$.

The remaining sizes are unchanged.

- The size of $\stackrel{\Delta}{\mathbf{X}}^{T} \stackrel{\Delta}{\mathbf{X}}$ and $\left(\stackrel{\Delta}{\mathbf{X}}^{T} \stackrel{\Delta}{\mathbf{X}}\right)^{-1}$ is $3 \times 3$.


## Answer to exercise 27 III

- The size of $\stackrel{\Delta}{\mathbf{X}}^{T} \widetilde{Y}$ is $3 \times 1$.
- The size of $\mathbf{w}$ is $1 \times 3$.
(0) We assume that $\mathbf{w}^{*}$ is a global minimum.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\mathbf{w}^{*}\right)^{T}=\left(\stackrel{\Delta}{\mathbf{X}}^{T} \stackrel{\Delta}{\mathbf{X}}^{-1} \stackrel{\Delta}{\mathbf{X}}^{T} \widetilde{Y}\right. \\
& \mathbf{w}^{*}=\widetilde{Y}^{T} \stackrel{\Delta}{\mathbf{X}}\left(\stackrel{\Delta}{\mathbf{X}}^{T} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

We plug this in the definition of J .

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{J}\left(\mathbf{w}^{*}\right) & =\widetilde{Y}^{T} \stackrel{\Delta}{\mathbf{X}}\left(\stackrel{\Delta}{\mathbf{X}}^{T} \dot{\mathbf{X}}^{\Delta}\right)^{-1} \stackrel{\Delta}{\mathbf{X}}^{T} \stackrel{\Delta}{\mathbf{X}}\left(\stackrel{\Delta}{\mathbf{X}}^{T} \mathbf{X}^{\Delta}\right)^{-1} \stackrel{\Delta}{\mathbf{X}}^{T} \widetilde{Y} \\
& -2 \widetilde{Y}^{T} \stackrel{\Delta}{\mathbf{X}}\left(\stackrel{\Delta}{\mathbf{X}}^{T} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1} \stackrel{\Delta}{\mathbf{X}}^{T} \widetilde{Y}+\widetilde{Y}^{T} \widetilde{Y}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Answer to exercise 27 IV

After simplification we get the expected result.

$$
\mathrm{J}\left(\mathbf{w}^{*}\right)=\widetilde{Y}^{T} \widetilde{Y}-\widetilde{Y}^{T} \mathbf{X}\left(\dot{\mathbf{X}}^{T} \stackrel{\Delta}{\mathbf{X}}\right)^{-1} \stackrel{\Delta}{\mathbf{X}}^{T} \widetilde{Y}
$$

## Comment on exercise 27

## Remark 1

This least square technique is good for regression, not so much for classification as we will see later on.

## Remark 2

Techniques that can be defined with matrices are generally easier to implement. It is easier to check the implementation.

## New notations

- Extended vector $\stackrel{\Delta}{\mathbf{x}}$
- Extended matrix $\stackrel{\Delta}{\mathbf{X}}$
- Unique vector $\mathbf{w}$ for linear classifier instead of $[-\mathbf{a}, b]$.
- Derivation w.r. to a row vector $\frac{\partial}{\mathbf{w}}$ or a column vector $\frac{\partial}{\mathbf{w}^{T}}$.
- $\mathbf{w}^{*}$ global minimum of the loss function.


## Conclusion of subsection 3, Method of least squares

- Matrix formulas: product, transposition, expanding rules.
- Derivative of a scalar function with respect to a vector.
- First use of $\mathbf{X}^{T} \mathbf{X}$ also called covariance matrix.
- Definition of $\stackrel{\Delta}{\mathbf{X}}$.
- Parameter values are obtained by minimizing $\stackrel{\Delta}{\mathbf{X}}^{T} \stackrel{\Delta}{\mathbf{X}}$.

These are techniques requiring the knowledge of $Y$
In the next section we discuss technique not needing $Y$.
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4.4 Prior and Bayes formula

## A linear classifier separating gaussians

## Exercise 28

Let $\mathcal{Y}$ be a uniform binary random variable and $X$ when conditioned to $\mathcal{Y}$ be a $2 D$-gaussian variable with mean $\mu_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$ or $\mu_{1} \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$ and standard deviation $\sigma_{0}>0$ or $\sigma_{1}>0$.
(1) What is the probability that $\mathcal{Y}=0$ on a given experiment?
(2) What is the probability density function that $X=\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right]$ given $\mathcal{Y}=0$ and then given $\mathcal{Y}=1$ ?
(3) We now assume that $\sigma_{0}=\sigma_{1}=\sigma$, show that a straight line separates points that are more likely when $\mathcal{Y}=1$ from the more likely points when $\mathcal{Y}=0$.

$$
f_{X \mid y=1}(\mathbf{x}) \geq f_{X \mid y=0}(\mathbf{x}) \Leftrightarrow\left(\boldsymbol{\mu}_{1}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_{0}\right) \mathbf{x}^{T} \geq\left(\boldsymbol{\mu}_{1}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_{0}\right)\left(\frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{1}+\frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{0}\right)^{T}
$$

The last question refers to an example of linear discriminant analysis that we will discuss at the end of this section.

## Answer to exercise 28

(1)

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
P(\mathcal{y}=0)=P(y=1) \\
P(y=0)+P(y=1)=1
\end{array} \Rightarrow P(y=0)=0.5\right.
$$

(2)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{X \mid y=0}(\mathbf{x})=\frac{1}{2 \pi \sigma_{0}^{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2 \sigma_{0}^{2}}\left(\mathbf{x}-\mu_{0}\right)\left(\mathbf{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_{0}\right)^{\top}} \\
& f_{X \mid y=1}(\mathbf{x})=\frac{1}{2 \pi \sigma_{1}^{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2 \sigma_{1}^{2}}\left(\mathbf{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_{1}\right)\left(\mathbf{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_{1}\right)^{\top}}
\end{aligned}
$$

3

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{f_{x \mid y=1}(\mathbf{x})}{f_{x \mid y=0}(\mathbf{x})}=e^{\frac{1}{2 \pi \sigma^{2}}\left(\mathbf{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_{0}\right)\left(\mathbf{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_{0}\right)^{\top}-\frac{1}{2 \pi \sigma^{2}}\left(\mathbf{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_{1}\right)\left(\mathbf{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_{1}\right)^{\top} \geq 1} \\
& \quad \Leftrightarrow\left(\mathbf{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_{0}\right)\left(\mathbf{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_{0}\right)^{\top} \geq\left(\mathbf{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_{1}\right)\left(\mathbf{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_{1}\right)^{\top} \\
& \quad \Leftrightarrow-2 \boldsymbol{\mu}_{0} \mathbf{x}^{\top}+\boldsymbol{\mu}_{0} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{0}^{\top} \geq-2 \boldsymbol{\mu}_{1} \mathbf{x}^{\top}+\boldsymbol{\mu}_{1} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{1}^{\top} \\
& \quad \Leftrightarrow\left(\boldsymbol{\mu}_{1}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_{0}\right) \mathbf{x}^{\top} \geq\left(\boldsymbol{\mu}_{1}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_{0}\right)\left(\frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{0}+\frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{1}\right)^{\top}
\end{aligned}
$$

## An experiment

a, $b$ are randomly chosen according to $\mathscr{R}$.
x are drawn according to a distribution $\mathscr{D}$.
Training set:12 samples

$$
\begin{aligned}
Y_{1}^{T} & =[0,0,0,0,0,0,1,1,1,1,1,1] \\
\hat{Y}_{I}^{T} & =[1,0,0,0,0,0,1,1,1,1,1,0]
\end{aligned}
$$

Confusion matrix

$$
\mathbf{C}=\left[\begin{array}{l}
5,1 \\
1,5
\end{array}\right]
$$

Testing set: 2 samples

$$
Y_{q}^{T}=[0,1]
$$

Accuracy: 3 possible values

$$
A=\frac{1}{2} 1\left(y_{q 0}=\widehat{y}_{q 0}\right)+\frac{1}{2} 1\left(y_{q 1}=\widehat{y}_{q 1}\right)
$$

## Algorithm of a random classifier

## Require: C

Ensure: $P(\mathrm{~A})$
1: Set $P(A)=[0,0,0]$.

2: for $i=1$ : $/$ do
3: repeat
4: $\quad$ Draw $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{0}, \boldsymbol{\mu}_{1}, \sigma_{0}, \sigma_{1}$, a and b.
5: $\quad$ Set $Y_{I}^{T}=[0 \ldots 0,1 \ldots 1]$.
6: $\quad$ Draw $\mathbf{X}_{\text {/ }}$.
$\mathrm{C}_{11}=\mathrm{C}_{22}=5 ; \mathrm{C}_{12}=\mathrm{C}_{21}=1 ; \mathrm{n}=92655 \mathrm{fig}$ testing interences


7: $\quad$ Compute $\widehat{Y}_{I}$ with $\mathbf{X}_{I}$ and $\widehat{C}$ with $Y_{I}, \widehat{Y}_{I}$.
8: $\quad$ until $\widehat{\mathbf{C}}=\mathbf{C}$
9: $\quad$ Set $Y_{q}^{T}=[0,1]$.
10: $\quad$ Draw $\mathbf{X}_{q}$.
11: Compute $\widehat{Y}$
12: $\quad$ Compute $A=\frac{1}{2} 1\left(\widehat{y}_{q 0}=0\right)+\frac{1}{2} 1\left(\widehat{y}_{q 1}=1\right)$
Conditional probabilities

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P(A=0 \mid \widehat{C}=C) \\
& P(\mathrm{~A}=0.5 \mid \widehat{C}=C) \\
& P(\mathrm{~A}=1 \mid \widehat{C}=C)
\end{aligned}
$$

13: $\quad$ Adapt $P(\mathrm{~A})$ with A
14: Normalize $P(\mathrm{~A})$

## Joint probabilities <br> conditional probabilities

We assume here it is very unlikely that $\widehat{C}=C$

- $P(\mathrm{~A}=1$ and $\widehat{C}=C)$ means the probability of having $A=1$ and that $\widehat{C}=C$
- The assumption implies $P(\mathrm{~A}=1$ and $\widehat{C}=C)$ is small.
- If each time $\widehat{C}=C$, we also have $A=1$ then the assumption makes it invisible in $P(\mathrm{~A}=1$ and $\widehat{C}=C)$
- $P(\mathrm{~A}=1 \mid \widehat{C}=C)$ means the probability of having $A=1$ given that $\widehat{C}=C$
- The assumption does not imply anything on $P(\mathrm{~A}=1 \mid \widehat{C}=C)$
- If each time $\widehat{C}=C$, we also have $A=1$ then $P(\mathrm{~A}=1 \mid \widehat{C}=C)=1$ is high.


## Example on the computation of conditional probabilities

Concerning a dice, we consider an event $E$ dice equal 1 and a side information $S$ dice is odd.

Two theoretical formulas
First definition
Second definition
$P(E \mid S)=\frac{P(E \& S)}{P(S)}$
dice $=\operatorname{ceil}(\operatorname{rand}(1,1000) * 6)$;

| dice | $E$ | $S$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | 0 | 1 |
| 4 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 | 0 | 1 |
| 6 | 0 | 0 |

odd=@(n) $\bmod (n, 2)==1$;
dice2=dice(odd(dice));
proba_EGS_1=sum(dice2==1)/length(dice2),

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { proba_E=sum }(\bmod (\text { dice }, 2)==1) / \text { length }(\text { dice }), \\
& \text { proba_S=sum }(\text { dice } 2==1) / \text { length }(\text { dice }), \\
& \text { proba_EGS_2=proba_E/proba_S, }
\end{aligned}
$$

## New notations

- $X$ is here a random vector.
- $\mathcal{P}(\ldots \& \ldots)$ joint probability
- $\mathcal{P}(\ldots \mid \ldots)$ conditional probability


## Conclusion of subsection 1, Inference on an example

- By repeating a random experiment, we can measure inference.
- Probability distributions is a interesting framework to describe experiments.


## As a side effect

From this probabilistic framework we get a new classifier.

## Content of section 4, Predicting the learning performances and probabilistic framework I

```
4.1 Inference on an example
4.2 Linear discriminant analysis
4.3 Predicting the true probabilities
4.4 Prior and Bayes formula
```


## LDA on a simplified example

## Exercise 29

We consider here a data set defined by a probability distribution.
$P(y=0)=P(y=1)=0.5$ and $\left\{\begin{array}{l}f_{\mathbf{x} \mid y=0}(\mathbf{x})=\frac{1}{2 \pi \sigma^{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2 \sigma^{2}}\left(\mathbf{x}-\mu_{0}\right)\left(\mathbf{x}-\mu_{0}\right)^{T}} \\ f_{\mathbf{x} \mid y=1}(\mathbf{x})=\frac{1}{2 \pi \sigma^{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2 \sigma^{2}}\left(\mathbf{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_{1}\right)\left(\mathbf{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_{1}\right)^{T}}\end{array}\right.$ with $\mu_{0}=[1,0], \mu_{1}=[0,1]$ and $\sigma=2$.
(1) Write an algorithm to check that these expressions are probability distributions. Use the independence between the two components to reduce the numerical complexity.
$\int_{x_{1}} \int_{x_{2}} f\left(x_{1}\right) f\left(x_{2}\right) d x_{1} d x_{2}=\int_{x_{1}} f\left(x_{1}\right) d x_{1} \int_{x_{2}} f\left(x_{2}\right) d x_{2}$
(2) Show that with this model, $y=1$ is more likely than $y=0$ iff

$$
\boldsymbol{\mu}_{0} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{0}^{T}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_{1} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{1}^{T}-\left(\mu_{0}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_{1}\right) \mathbf{x}^{T} \geq 0
$$

(3) Draw in the feature space the domains for which $y=1$ or $y=0$ is more likely.

## Answer to exercise 29 I

(1) We need to check


Require: $\sigma, y$
Ensure: $s$ value of the integral
1: Set $s=0, Q=1 e-2$
2: for $q_{1}=-\frac{1}{Q^{2}}: \frac{1}{Q^{2}}$ do
3: $\quad$ Set $x_{1}=q_{1} Q$
4: for $q_{2}=-\frac{1}{Q^{2}}: \frac{1}{Q^{2}}$ do
5: $\quad$ Set $x_{2}=q_{2} Q$
6: $\quad$ Add to $s, f_{x \mid y}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) Q^{2}$
7: Display $s$ that should be close to 1

## Answer to exercise 29 II

However this is actually quite complex. So we separate what happens to each component.

$$
\begin{gathered}
f_{\mathbf{x} \mid y=0}(\mathbf{x})=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi} \sigma} e^{-\frac{1}{2 \sigma^{2}}\left(x_{1}-\mu_{01}\right)^{2}} \times \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi} \sigma} e^{-\frac{1}{2 \sigma^{2}}\left(x_{2}-\mu_{02}\right)^{2}} \\
f_{\mathbf{x} \mid y=1}(\mathbf{x})=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi} \sigma} e^{-\frac{1}{2 \sigma^{2}}\left(x_{1}-\mu_{11}\right)^{2}} \times \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi} \sigma} e^{-\frac{1}{2 \sigma^{2}}\left(x_{2}-\mu_{12}\right)^{2}} \\
\int_{\substack{x_{1}}}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi} \sigma} e^{-\frac{1}{2 \sigma^{2}}\left(x_{1}-\mu_{01}\right)^{2} \int_{x_{2}=-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi} \sigma} e^{-\frac{1}{2 \sigma^{2}}\left(x_{2}-\mu_{02}\right)^{2}}=} \\
\int_{x_{1}=-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi} \sigma} e^{-\frac{1}{2 \sigma^{2}}\left(x_{1}-\mu_{11}\right)^{2}} \int_{\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi} \sigma} e^{-\frac{1}{2 \sigma^{2}}\left(x_{2}-\mu_{12}\right)^{2}}=1
\end{gathered}
$$

Require: $\sigma, y$
Ensure: $s$ value of the integral
1: Set $s_{1}=s_{2}=0, Q=1 e-2$
2: for $q_{1}=-\frac{1}{Q^{2}}: \frac{1}{Q^{2}}$ do
3: $\quad$ Set $x_{1}=q_{1} Q$
4: $\quad$ Add to $s_{1}, f_{x_{1} \mid y}\left(x_{1}\right) Q$

## Answer to exercise 29 III

5: for $q_{2}=-\frac{1}{Q^{2}}: \frac{1}{Q^{2}}$ do
6: $\quad$ Set $x_{2}=q_{2} Q$
7: $\quad$ Add to $s_{2}, f_{x_{2} \mid y}\left(x_{2}\right) Q$
8: Compute $s=s_{1} s_{2}$.
9: Display $s$ that should be close to 1
(2) The goal is to find where in the feature space $f_{x \mid y=1}(\mathbf{x})>f_{\mathbf{x} \mid y=0}(\mathbf{x})$.

$$
\left.\left.\begin{array}{l}
\sigma^{2} \ln \left(\frac{f_{x \mid y=1}(\mathbf{x})}{f_{x} \mid y=0}(\mathbf{x})\right.
\end{array}\right)=\left(\mathbf{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_{0}\right)\left(\mathbf{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_{0}\right)^{T}-\left(\mathbf{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_{1}\right)\left(\mathbf{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_{1}\right)^{T}\right)
$$

This proves $y=1$ is more likely when

$$
\boldsymbol{\mu}_{0} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{0}^{T}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_{1} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{1}^{T}-2\left(\boldsymbol{\mu}_{0}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_{1}\right) \mathbf{x}^{T} \geq 0
$$

## Answer to exercise 29 IV

(3) $y=1$ is more likely when $x_{2} \geq x_{1}$. Indeed

$$
\left(\boldsymbol{\mu}_{0}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_{1}\right) \mathbf{x}^{T}=x_{1}-x_{2} \text { and } \boldsymbol{\mu}_{0} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{0}^{T}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_{1} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{1}^{T}=0
$$

fig ex21


## LDA in a more general context

## Probabilistic assumption

$\mathcal{P}(\mathrm{Y}=1)=p=1-\mathcal{P}(\mathrm{Y}=0)$ and $\mathcal{P}\left(\mathbf{x}^{r} \mid \mathrm{Y}=1\right)$ and $\mathcal{P}(\underset{\mathbf{x}}{r} \mid \mathrm{Y}=0)$ are two independent multivariate normal distribution with an unknown common covariance matrix $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{\mathbf{x} \mid \mathrm{Y}=1}(\mathbf{x})=\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{\frac{F}{2}}|\operatorname{det}(\Sigma)|^{\frac{F}{2}}} e^{-\left(\mathbf{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_{1}\right) \Sigma^{-1}\left(\mathbf{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_{1}\right)^{T}} \\
& f_{\mathbf{x} \mid y=0}(\mathbf{x})=\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{\frac{F}{2}}|\operatorname{det}(\Sigma)|^{\frac{F}{2}}} e^{-\left(\mathbf{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_{0}\right) \Sigma^{-1}\left(\mathbf{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_{0}\right)^{T}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\Sigma$ is defined as the covariance matrix

$$
\boldsymbol{\Sigma}=\mathcal{E}\left[\left(\mathbf{x}^{r}\right)^{T} \mathbf{x}\right]
$$

where $\mathcal{E}$ is the expectation and here $\dot{\boldsymbol{x}}$ is a random row vector.

## Covariance matrix

It is estimated with $\mathbf{X}$ from the training set.

$$
\widehat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}=\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \mathbf{x}_{n}^{T} \mathbf{x}_{n}=\mathbf{X}^{T} \mathbf{X}
$$

Note the striking similarity of this covariance matrix with $\stackrel{\Delta}{\mathbf{X}}^{T} \stackrel{\Delta}{\mathbf{X}}$ used in the least square methodology.

## Is it appropriate to assume a common covariance matrix?

This assumption yields a linear classifier. Besides it is generally difficult to estimate precisely $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ using all the samples in the training set, sometimes some regularization is needed to help the estimation. So it would be even more difficult to estimate two different covariance matrices.

## Derived linear classifier

Similarly to exercise 29, we compute the logarithm of the ratio of

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \ln f_{\dot{\mathbf{x}} \mid \mathrm{Y}=1}(\mathbf{x})-\ln f_{\mathbf{X} \mid \mathrm{Y}=0}(\mathbf{x}) \\
& \quad=\left(\mathbf{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_{0}\right) \Sigma^{-1}\left(\mathbf{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_{0}\right)^{T}-\left(\mathbf{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_{1}\right) \Sigma^{-1}\left(\mathbf{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_{1}\right)^{T} \\
& \quad=2\left(\boldsymbol{\mu}_{1}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_{0}\right) \Sigma^{-1} \mathbf{x}^{T}-\quad\left(\boldsymbol{\mu}_{1} \Sigma^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{1}^{T}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_{0} \Sigma^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{0}^{T}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

We get a linear classifier $f(\mathbf{x})=\delta(b-\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{x} \geq 0)$ with

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\mathbf{a}=2\left(\boldsymbol{\mu}_{0}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_{1}\right) \Sigma^{-1} \\
b=\boldsymbol{\mu}_{0} \Sigma^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{0}^{T}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_{1} \Sigma^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{1}^{T}
\end{array}\right.
$$

## Supervised feature extraction

We could use $x^{\prime}=b-\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{x}$ as an extracted feature. This is basically the idea behind some LDA-derived feature-extraction techniques. It is limited to the number of classes.

## New notations

- $\stackrel{r}{x}$ is a random vector.
- $f_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{x})$ is the probability density of $\mathscr{P}(\underset{\mathbf{x}}{r})$.
- $\mu_{0}$ and $\mu_{1}$ are the mean of the probability distributions of classes 0 and 1. They are estimated using averaging operators on the training set. Their estimates is $\widehat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_{0}$ and $\widehat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_{1}$.
- $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ is the common covariance matrix of both Gaussian probability distributions. It is estimated using the whole training set. Its estimation is denoted $\widehat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}$.
- $\operatorname{det}(\mathbf{A})$ is the determinant of $\mathbf{A}$, it is a scalar.


## Conclusion of subsection 2, Linear discriminant analysis

When comparing with the $L_{2}$-linear classifier.
(1) We also have to inverse the covariance matrix.
(2) Instead of considering the cross-covariance matrix $\mathbf{X}^{T} Y$, we consider here distorted means, of 1 -samples and 0 -samples.
(3) Just like $L_{2}$-linear classifier, it is prone to numerical instabilities when the covariance matrix is badly conditioned.

## Question?

When applying this probabilistic framework to inference, can we make reliable predictions?

## Content of section 4, Predicting the learning performances and probabilistic framework I

4.1 Inference on an example
4.2 Linear discriminant analysis
4.3 Predicting the true probabilities
4.4 Prior and Bayes formula

## Making inference on hidden parameters based on some evidence

It is common to compute the probability of having a confusion matrix given a certain probabilistic model.
Here we do the opposite, get some probability on some parameters of a probabilistic model given that the observed confusion matrix meets some constraint.

- Given a dataset drawn from a unique probability distribution
- Given a classifier drawn from a unique probability distribution
- What is the likely accuracy given the confusion matrix computed on a small example of 12 samples.


## Beware

This section is meant only to better understand the Bayes formula

## Modeling the statistical inference

Here we do not consider the classification problem.



## Exercise 30

We assume here an experiment of 12 samples, 6 labeled positively and 6 negatively. We observed for each label, that 5 of them are correctly predicted.
(1) Write an algorithm computing an approximation of the probability distributions that could best explain this experiment: the probability of a negative label to be correctly labeled $f_{0}(p)$ and that of a positive to be correctly labeled $f_{1}(p)$.
(2) Given $p_{0}$ and $p_{1}$, and a column vector $Y^{T}=[0,0,0,0,0,0,1,1,1,1,1,1]$, show that the probability to have $\widehat{Y}$ consistent with the confusion matrix is

$$
\binom{6}{1} p_{0}^{5}\left(1-p_{0}\right) \times\binom{ 6}{1} p_{1}^{5}\left(1-p_{1}\right)
$$

## Answer to exercise 30

(1) Require: $\mathbf{C}, Q, I$

Ensure: $\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{f}_{0}, \mathbf{f}_{1}$
1: Set $Y=[0,0,0,0,0,0,1,1,1,1,1,1]$
2: for $i=1$ : $/$ do
3: Draw $p_{0}, p_{1}$ as uniform variable on $[0,1]$.
4: $\quad$ Draw $\widehat{Y}$ along $p_{0}$ and $p_{1}$.
5: $\quad$ Compute $\widehat{\mathbf{C}}$ according to $\widehat{Y}$ and $Y$.
6: $\quad$ if $\widehat{\mathbf{C}}=\mathbf{C}$ then
7: $\quad$ Adapt $f_{0}$ and $f_{1}$ with $p_{0}$ and $p_{1}$.
8: Normalize $f_{0}$ and $f_{1}$.
(2) What happens to the six first component is independent of the remaining. There are $\binom{6}{1}=6$ ways of selecting a component in an array of 6 components. There is a probability of respectively $p_{0}, p_{1}$ to predict the correct value 0,1 , and $1-p_{0}, 1-p_{1}$ to predict the incorrect values 1,0 .

## $P(\mathrm{~A} \mid \widehat{C}=C)$ are measured with two different techniques.



## Comment on the figure

The second technique is a model of the first technique as any probabilistic model can be regarded as a random decision with some probability distribution for $p_{0}$ and $p_{1}$. Both distributions appear similar but they are not equal. Could we explain the difference?

- The technique shown in purple, draws randomly random datasets and classification predictors, it measures $\mathcal{P}(\mathrm{A} \mid \widehat{C}=C)$ by selecting only the instances where $C$ is as expected.
- The technique shown in yellow, draws randomly some probabilities $p_{0}$ and $p_{1}$ of binary decisions and again only the accuracies corresponding to the expected $C$ matrix are taken into account to compute $P(\mathrm{~A} \mid \widehat{C}=C)$.


## New notations

- $\mathrm{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{B}$ : means that

$$
\mathcal{P}(\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{~B})=\mathcal{P}(\mathrm{B} \mid \mathrm{A}) \mathcal{P}(\mathrm{A})
$$

- $\binom{n}{p}$ means the number of different subsets of size $p$ that can be drawn out of a set of size $n$.

$$
\binom{n}{p}=\frac{n!}{p!(n-p)!}
$$

## Conclusion of subsection 3, Predicting the true probabilities

(1) We have modeled classifying samples as a binomial trial.
(2) The confusion matrix measured during training yields the parameters of the binomial trial.
(3) Our model yields a prediction accuracy.
(9) Unfortunately it is not accurate.

How could we be more precise
We are going to consider the Bayesian framework with which the parameters of the binomial trial are regarded as random variables.

## Content of section 4, Predicting the learning performances and probabilistic framework I

```
4.1 Inference on an example
4.2 Linear discriminant analysis
4.3 Predicting the true probabilities
4.4 Prior and Bayes formula
```


## Modeling a prior

- Prior is opposed to the posterior probability distribution.
- Prior refers to the assumed probability distribution before some evidence is given. Often the chosen probability distribution is the most general given some constraints.
- Here we know the experimental setup and we can test it without applying to data to read a probability distribution.


## Require:

Ensure: Probability distribution of $p_{0}$ and $p_{1}$
1: for $i=1$ : $/$ do
2:
Draw $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{0}, \boldsymbol{\mu}_{1}, \sigma_{0}, \sigma_{1}$, a and b.

3: $\quad$ Set $Y_{I}^{T}=[0 \ldots 0,1 \ldots 1]$.
4: Draw $\mathbf{X}_{\text {/ }}$.
5: Compute $\widehat{Y}_{l}$ with $\mathbf{X}_{I}$
6: $\quad$ Compute $p_{0}$ and $p_{1}$ by comparing $\widehat{Y}_{I}$ and $Y_{l}$.

## Do we need a prior to compute a conditional probability?

## No

Computing $\mathcal{P}\left(\mathbf{C} \mid p_{0}, p_{1}\right)$ does not require any prior. A specific value $p_{0}, p_{1}$ with the statistical model tells us the whole knowledge.

## Yes

To compute $\mathcal{P}\left(p_{0}, p_{1} \mid \mathbf{C}\right)$ we consider all possible values of $p_{0}$ and $p_{1}$ and for each compute a probability of $Q\left(\mathbf{C} \mid p_{0}, p_{1}\right)$ and by counting the number of draws for which $C$ has the appropriate value we get a probability of $p_{0}, p_{1}$. But the relative importance of $p_{0}, p_{1}$ is precisely a prior. In exercise $30, p_{0}$ and $p_{1}$ are drawn according to a uniform distribution.

## We may not care

To what extent the choice of the prior is significant and appropriate are difficult questions. Not using it and considering that $\mathcal{P}\left(p_{0}, p_{1} \mid \mathbf{C}\right)$ and $\mathcal{P}\left(\mathbf{C} \mid p_{0}, p_{1}\right)$ are proportionate is actually a choice of prior that might be a not too bad choice.

## Measured prior for this very specific problem

Prior $\mathrm{n}=148223$ fig modeling prior


## Using Bayes formula to compute a posterior probability

## Bayes formula

$$
\mathcal{P}(A \mid B)=\frac{\mathcal{P}(B \mid A) \mathcal{P}(A)}{\mathcal{P}(B \mid A) \mathcal{P}(A)+\mathcal{P}(B \mid \neg A) \mathcal{P}(\neg A)}
$$

Applying this formula in our context

$$
f_{\mathrm{A} \mid \widehat{\mathbf{C}}}(a, \mathbf{C})=\int_{p_{0}, p_{1}} f_{\mathrm{A} \mid \widehat{\mathbf{C}}, p_{0}, p_{1}}\left(a, \mathbf{C}, p_{0}, p_{1}\right) f_{0}\left(p_{0}\right) f_{1}\left(p_{1}\right) d p_{0} d p_{1}
$$

And we use for $f_{0}\left(p_{0}\right)$ and $f_{1}\left(p_{1}\right)$ the probability distribution measured without considering the C-constraints.
This posterior probability distribution of $A$ is shown in green in the following figure.

## Modeling with a prior



Because the green distribution is closer to the purple distribution, it seems that the prior is here useful.

## Posterior probability vs maximizing the likelihood

The two viewpoints exist in the literature.

- Unknown parameters are estimated taking into account the data.
- It makes computation easier and is often a good approximation.


## Experiment using the maximum likelihood

Here we consider the most likely value of $p_{0}$ and $p_{1}$ that yield the expected $C$-matrix.
$\underset{p}{\operatorname{argmax}} f_{\mathbf{C}} \mid p(p)=\underset{p}{\operatorname{argmax}} p^{5}(1-p)=$ Since $\frac{d}{d p} p^{5}(1-p)=0 \Rightarrow 5-6 p=$ $0 \Rightarrow p=\frac{5}{6}$
We then get the distribution of $A$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{P}(\mathrm{A} \mid \widehat{\mathbf{C}}=\mathbf{C})= \\
& \quad \mathcal{P}\left(\mathrm{A} \mid \widehat{\mathbf{C}}=\mathbf{C}, p_{0}=p_{1}=\frac{5}{6}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$



This new distribution of A is shown in blue.

## Conclusion

Drawing adequate conclusions based on a certain success rate on the training set is definitely a hard issue.

## New notations

- $\neg \mathrm{A}$ is the alternative event to A .


## Conclusion of section 4, Predicting the learning performances and probabilistic framework

(1) In our attempt to have more precise predictions in terms of inference, we investigated the Bayesian framework.
(2) Regarding an estimated parameter, rather than finding its best value, we assume it has an unknown value that follows a probability distribution.
(3) This yields more precise predictions if the probability distribution is appropriate.

## Conclusion

In my opinion, this framework is often relevant, it often increases accuracy sometimes by a very little amount, at the expense of an increased complexity.

## Table of Contents I

## 1. Classification of hyperspectral images

2. Image processing
3. Learning regarded as an optimization problem
4. Predicting the learning performances and probabilistic framework
5. More in depth with probabilities
6. Curse of dimensionality, regularization and sparsity

## 7. Spatial context

## Table of Contents II

## 8. Supplementary material regarding matrices

## Content of section 5, More in depth with probabilities I

### 5.1 Probabilities

5.2 Using Gaussians
5.3 Probabilities as a loss function designer

## Histograms are empirical approximation of probability distributions I

- Let $I(x, y)$ be a continuous image $I(x, y)$ (i.e. an image defined with real-valued coordinates)
- Let $X$ and $Y$ be independent uniform random variables
- The histogram of $I$ is an approximation of the $Z$-probability distribution

$$
\mathrm{Z}=I(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y})
$$

- The probability distribution is the derivative of the cumulative distribution (for sufficiently regular random variables)

$$
f_{\mathrm{Z}}(z)=\frac{d}{d z} \mathcal{P}(\mathrm{Z} \leq z)
$$

## Example of a continuous image

## Exercise 31

We consider here a continuous image.

$$
I(x, y)=x^{2} 1(x \in[-1,1]) 1(y \in[-1,1])
$$

Let $Z$ be the random variable yielding the value of $I(x, y)$ when a point is selected randomly in the image.
(1) Prove that

$$
\mathcal{P}(\mathrm{Z} \leq z)=\frac{1}{4} \int_{x=-1}^{1} \int_{y=-1}^{1} 1\left(x^{2} \leq z\right) d x d y
$$

(2) Show that

$$
\mathcal{P}(\mathrm{Z} \leq z)=\sqrt{z} 1(z \in[0,1])+1(z>1)
$$

(3) Show that

$$
f_{z}(z)=\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{z}} 1(z \in[0,1])
$$

(9) Write the code to check this last statement.

## Answer to exercise 31 I

(1) The probability distribution of the uniform law on $[-1,1] \times[-1,1]$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{U}(x, y)=1(x \in[-1,1]) 1(y \in[-1,1]) \frac{1}{4} \\
& \mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{Z}}(\mathrm{Z} \leq z)=\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y}}(\mathcal{I}(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y}) \leq z)=\int_{x} \int_{y} 1(\mathcal{I}(x, y) \leq z) f_{U}(x, y) d x d y \\
& =\frac{1}{4} \int_{x=-1}^{1} \int_{y=-1}^{1} 1\left(x^{2} \leq z\right) d x d y
\end{aligned}
$$

(2) Let us assume $z \in[0,1]$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{Z}}(\mathrm{Z} \leq z)=\frac{2}{4} \int_{-1}^{1} 1\left(x^{2} \leq z\right) d x \int_{0}^{1} 1\left(x^{2} \leq z\right) d x \\
& =\int_{0}^{1} 1\left(x \leq \sqrt{z} d x=\int_{0}^{\sqrt{z}} d x=\sqrt{z}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Let us assume $z<0, P(\mathrm{Z} \leq z)=P(\mathrm{Z}<0)=0$
Let us assume $z>1, \mathcal{P}(\mathrm{Z} \leq z) \geq \mathcal{P}(\mathrm{Z} \geq 1)=1$
Therefore

$$
\mathcal{P}(\mathrm{Z} \leq z)=\sqrt{z} 1(z \in[0,1])+1(z>1)
$$

## Answer to exercise 31 II

(3)

$$
f_{z}(z)=\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \mathcal{P}(\mathrm{Z} \leq z)=\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{z}} 1(z \in[0,1])
$$

(9) The following algorithm approximates $f_{\mathrm{z}}(z)(\mathcal{U}(-1,1)$ is the uniform law on $[-1,1]$ ).
Require: $z$
Ensure: $f_{z}(z)$
1: Set $N=10^{7}, h=0.01$
2: Draw randomly a vector $X$ of size $N \times 1$ whose components sample $\mathcal{U}(-1,1)$
3: Count $n$ the number of components of $X$ that fulfill

$$
x_{n}^{2} \in[z, z+h)
$$

4: Yield $\frac{n}{h N}$
This algorithm can be tested several times by drawing randomly $z$ and checking that the difference with $f_{z}(z)$ remains small.

## Mean, median, quantile

- The mean value of an image is

$$
\mathcal{E}[\mathrm{Z}]=\frac{\int_{x} \int_{y} I(x, y) d x d y}{\int_{x} \int_{y} 1(x, y \in \mathcal{I}) d x d y}
$$

- The $k$-th $q$-quantile is

$$
\mathscr{P}\left[\mathrm{Z} \leq z_{\text {quantile }}\right]=k q \quad \Rightarrow \quad z_{\text {quantile }}=\left(z \mapsto \mathcal{P}\left[\mathrm{Z} \leq z_{\text {quantile }}\right]\right)^{-1}(k q)
$$

## Example on the continuous image

## Exercise 32

We consider again the following continuous image.

$$
I(x, y)=x^{2} 1(x \in[-1,1]) 1(y \in[-1,1])
$$

Let $Z$ be the random variable yielding the value of $I(x, y)$ when a point is selected randomly in the image.
(1) Using Z , show that $\mathrm{EZ}=\frac{1}{3}$. And show that the mean value of I is also $\frac{1}{3}$.
(2) Compute the first and third quartile using the two techniques. The result is $\frac{1}{16}$ and $\frac{9}{16}$.

## Answer to exercise 32

(1)

$$
\mathcal{E Z}=\int_{z=0}^{1} z \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{z}} d z=\frac{1}{2} \int_{z=0}^{1} \sqrt{z} d z=\frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{2}{3} z^{3 / 2}\right]_{0}^{1}=\frac{1}{3}
$$

The mean of the image is

$$
\frac{1}{4} \int_{x=-1}^{1} \int_{y=-1}^{1} x^{2} d x d y=\frac{1}{2} \int_{x=-1}^{1} x^{2} d x=\frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{1}{3} x^{3}\right]_{-1}^{1}=\frac{1}{3}
$$

## Chebychev Inequality

For X a Gaussian random variable

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{P}(|X-E X| \geq c)=1-\operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{c}{\sqrt{2 \operatorname{Var} \mathrm{X}}}\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\operatorname{erf}(x)$ is defined as

$$
\operatorname{erf}(x)=\frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{0}^{x} e^{-t^{2}} d t
$$

When X is not necessarily a Gaussian random variable,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{P}(|\mathrm{X}-\mathcal{E} \mathrm{X}| \geq c) \leq \frac{\operatorname{Var} \mathrm{X}}{c^{2}} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

For sufficiently regular random variables, the probability distribution is related to the cumulative distribution

$$
f_{Z}(z)=\frac{d}{d z} \mathcal{P}(Z \leq z)
$$

## Checking the erf function I

## Exercise 33

Software generally include the erf function, however to save time, it can be useful to have a quick way to approximate it.
(1) Using its integral formula, write a formula to approximate it.
(2) Consider a Gaussian random variable on mean 0 and standard deviation 1, and check equation (5) so as to give a high level of confidence in this equation.

## Answer $1 / 2$ to exercise 33

(1) The integral is approximated with $N$ rectangles of width $\frac{|x|}{N}$ and height $e^{-\frac{t^{2}}{2}}$ paving the $[0,|x|]$. Note that the erf function is odd.

$$
y=\operatorname{erf}(x)=\frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{0}^{x} e^{-t^{2}} d t \approx \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{x}{N} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} e^{-\frac{k^{2} x^{2}}{N^{2}}}
$$

Require: $x, N$,
Ensure: $y$
1: $y=0$
2: for $k=0: N-1$ do
3: Add to $y, e^{-\frac{k^{2} x^{2}}{N^{2}}}$
4: Multiply $y$ with $\frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{x}{N}$
>> c = -7.7189
>> y_app $=-1.0044$
>> y_th = -1
>> y_app-y_th= -4.3549e-03

## Answer 2/2 to exercise 33

(2) Generate 1000 random numbers for X , denoted $x_{i}$ for $i \in\{1 \ldots l\}$. An approximation of the left part of $(5)$ is

$$
\frac{1}{l} \sum_{i=1}^{l} 1\left(\left|x_{i}\right| \leq c\right)
$$

xi=randn $(1,1000)$;
$\mathrm{c}=2 * \mathrm{rand}$ (1);
p_app=mean (abs (xi) >=c) ;
p_th=1-erf(c/sqrt(2));
c,p_app,p_th,
>>c = 1.1490
>> p_app $=0.2510$
>> p_th $=0.2505$

## Transforming a graph into an empirical distribution

Require: $\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)$ and $K$
Ensure: $\left(x_{k}^{\prime}, n_{k}\right)$
1: Compute the ranging interval $a=\min _{n} x_{n}$ and $b=\max _{n} x_{n}$
2: for $k \stackrel{n}{=} 0: K-1$ do
3: $\quad x_{k}^{\prime}=a+k \frac{b-a}{K-1}$


Generally, $K$ is chosen

$$
K \approx \sqrt{N}
$$

## Normalizing empirical distributions

## Classical technique <br> $$
n_{k}^{\prime}=\frac{n_{k}}{\sum_{k=0}^{K-1} n_{k}}
$$



Technique required to compare with parametric distributions

$$
n_{k}^{\prime}=\frac{n_{k}}{\sum_{k=0}^{K-1} n_{k}\left(x_{k+1}-x_{k}\right)}
$$



## Empirical distributions

## Exercise 34




Figure 6: Empirical distributions of the bandwidth number 50 considering all pixels in blue and only pixels showing soybean in red. The dotted curves are the approximate Gaussian distributions.
(1) Write the pseudo-code of an algorithm yielding figure 6, empirical distributions are such that their sums equal 1.
(2) Write the pseudo-code of an algorithm yielding figure 6, empirical distributions are such that their approximate integral equal 1.

## Chebychov inequality and empirical distributions

## Exercise 35

Let us call X and Y two empirical distributions obtained using the intensity values at bandwidth number 50 and conditionally to being actually soybean (i.e. labels 10, 11, 12 of the groundtruth map).
(1) Transform X and Y into centered and normalized random variables denoted $\mathrm{X}_{r}$ and $\mathrm{Y}_{r}$.
(2) Plot as a function of $c \in[0,2]$ the left side of equation (6) for $\mathrm{X}_{r}$ and $\mathrm{Y}_{r}$. Plot the right side of equation (6) and that of equation (5).

## Answer $1 / 2$ to exercise 35



- The lower curve is for Gaussian random variable.
- The blue curve is obtained using the hyperspectral image at bandwidth number 50 .
- The red curve is obtained using the hyperspectral image at bandwidth number 50 considering only the pixels where land is covered with soybeans.
- The upper black curve is the Chebychev upperbound.


## Answer 2/2 to exercise 35

(1) First stack in $\mathbf{x}$ a column vector the intensities at the bandwidth number 50.

$$
\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{r}}=\frac{1}{\sigma}(\mathbf{x}-\mu 1) \quad \text { where } \quad\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\sigma=\sqrt{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N}\left(x_{n}-\mu\right)^{2}} \\
\mu=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} x_{n}
\end{array}\right.
$$

(2) Require: $\mathbf{x}, K$

Ensure: $(C, P)$
1: $y=0$,
2: for $k=0: K$ do
3: $\quad C_{k}=\frac{k}{k}$
4: $\quad P_{k}=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} 1\left(\left|x_{n}\right| \geq C_{k}\right)$

## Proving the Chebychev inequality

## Exercise 36

A simple proof of the Chebychev arises from the following steps.
(1) Prove the Markov inequality which states

$$
\mathcal{P}[|\mathrm{X}| \geq c] \leq \frac{\mathcal{E}|\mathrm{X}|}{c}
$$

To do so, introduce a new random variable $\mathrm{Y}=c 1(|\mathrm{X}| \geq c)$ and show that it is upper bounded by X and compute its expectancy.
(2) Apply the Markov inequality to $\mathrm{Z}=(\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{EX})^{2}$.

## Answer $1 / 2$ to exercise 36

(1) Let $Y$ be a new random variable

$$
\mathrm{Y}=c 1(|\mathrm{X}| \geq c)
$$

We first prove that $\mathrm{Y} \leq|\mathrm{X}|$

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{Y} \leq c \leq|\mathrm{X}| & \text { if } \\
\mathrm{Y} \leq 0 \leq|\mathrm{X}| \geq c \\
\mathrm{X} \mid & \text { if }
\end{array} \quad|\mathrm{X}|<c \mathrm{c}\right.
$$

This proves that $\mathcal{E}[\mathrm{Y}] \geq|\mathrm{X}|$
We then compute $\mathscr{E}[\mathrm{Y}]$

$$
\mathcal{E}[\mathrm{Y}]=c \mathcal{P}(\mathrm{Y}=c)+0 \mathcal{P}(\mathrm{Y}=0)=c \mathcal{P}(|\mathrm{X}| \geq c)
$$

(2) To prove equation (6), $\mathcal{P}(|\mathrm{X}-\mathcal{E X}| \geq c) \leq \frac{V_{\text {Var }}}{c^{2}}$ we apply the Markov inequality

$$
\mathcal{P}\left(\mathrm{Z} \geq c^{2}\right) \leq \frac{\mathcal{E}[\mathrm{Z}]}{c^{2}}=\frac{\operatorname{Var}(\mathrm{X})}{c^{2}}
$$

## New notations

- erf is the error function (a.k.a. Gauss error function).


## Conclusion of subsection 1, Probabilities

## Content of section 5, More in depth with probabilities I

### 5.1 Probabilities

### 5.2 Using Gaussians

5.3 Probabilities as a loss function designer

## Thresholding using Gaussian probability distributions I

Given a set of intensities $I_{n}$, we model the membership with each cluster as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{\mathrm{I}_{n} \mid n \in \mathcal{N}_{0}, \mu_{0}, \sigma_{0}}\left(I_{n}\right)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi} \sigma_{0}} e^{-\frac{\left(I_{n}-\mu_{0}\right)^{2}}{2 \sigma_{0}^{2}}}=\mathrm{g}_{\mu_{0}, \sigma_{0}}\left(I_{n}\right) \\
& f_{\mathrm{I}_{n} \mid n \in \mathcal{N}_{1}, \mu_{1}, \sigma_{1}}\left(I_{n}\right)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi} \sigma_{1}} e^{-\frac{\left(I_{n}-\mu_{1}\right)^{2}}{2 \sigma_{1}^{2}}}=\mathrm{g}_{\mu_{1}, \sigma_{1}}\left(I_{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

seg_thresholding3.m
Require: I
Ensure: T
1: select an initial value for $T$,
2: while T is modified do
3: $\quad \mathcal{N}_{0}=\left\{n \in \mathcal{N} \mid f_{\mathrm{I}_{n} \mid n \in \mathcal{N}_{0}, \mu_{0}, \sigma_{0}}\left(I_{n}\right)>f_{\mathrm{I}_{n} \mid n \in \mathcal{N}_{1}, \mu_{1}, \sigma_{1}}\left(I_{n}\right)\right\}$
4: $\quad \mathcal{N}_{1}=\mathcal{N} \backslash \mathcal{N}_{0}$
5: $\quad \mu_{0}, \sigma_{0} \in \operatorname{argmax}_{\mu, \sigma} f_{I_{n} \mid n \in \mathcal{N}_{0}, \mu_{0}, \sigma_{0}}\left(I_{n}\right)$
6: $\quad \mu_{1}, \sigma_{1} \in \operatorname{argmax}_{\mu, \sigma} f_{\mathrm{I}_{n} \mid n \in \mathcal{N}_{1}, \mu_{1}, \sigma_{1}}\left(I_{n}\right)$

## Thresholding using Gaussian probability distributions II

This another iterated algorithm maximizing the likelihood using crisp assignments.

## Normalizing the probability density

Note that to answer the third question, one needs a correct normalization $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi} \sigma}$. This can be an issue.

## Exercise 37

(1) Prove that step 3 in seg_thresholding3.m is

$$
\mathrm{T}=\frac{\sigma_{1} \mu_{0}+\sigma_{0} \mu_{1}}{\sigma_{0}+\sigma_{1}} \text { and } \mathcal{N}_{0}=\left\{n \mid I_{n} \leq \mathrm{T}\right\}
$$

(2) Prove that in steps $5,6, \mu_{0}$ and $\mu_{1}$ should the average of samples in $\mathcal{N}_{0}$ and $\mathcal{N}_{1}$.
(3) Prove that in steps $5,6, \sigma_{0}$ and $\sigma_{1}$ should the standard deviation of samples in $\mathcal{N}_{0}$ and $\mathcal{N}_{1}$.

## Answer to exercise 37 I

(1) State 3 is

$$
\mathcal{N}_{0}=\left\{n \in \mathcal{N} \mid f_{\mathrm{I}_{n} \mid n \in \mathcal{N}_{0}, \mu_{0}, \sigma_{0}}\left(I_{n}\right)>f_{\mathrm{I}_{n} \mid n \in \mathcal{N}_{1}, \mu_{1}, \sigma_{1}}\left(I_{n}\right)\right\}
$$

where $f_{I_{n} \mid n \in \mathcal{N}_{0}, \mu, \sigma}\left(I_{n}\right)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi} \sigma} e^{-\frac{(x-\mu)^{2}}{\sigma^{2}}}$
Let us assume $\mu_{0}<\mu 1$ and let us define $\mathrm{T}=\frac{\sigma_{1} \mu_{0}+\sigma_{0} \mu_{1}}{\sigma_{0}+\sigma_{1}}$ Because $\mathrm{T} \leq \mu_{1}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{n} \leq \mathrm{T} & \Leftrightarrow\left(\sigma_{0}+\sigma_{1}\right) I_{n}-\left(\sigma_{1} \mu_{0}+\sigma_{0} \mu_{1}\right) \\
& \Leftrightarrow \frac{I_{n}-\mu_{0}}{\sigma_{0}}+\frac{I_{n}-\mu_{1}}{\sigma_{1}}<0 \\
& \Leftrightarrow \frac{\left|I_{n}-\mu_{0}\right|}{\sigma_{0}}<\frac{\left|I_{n}-\mu_{1}\right|}{\sigma_{1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Answer to exercise 37 II

(2) To make it easier, $\mu_{0}, \mu_{1}$ and $\sigma_{0}, \sigma_{1}$ are here replaced with $\mu$ and $\sigma$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{I \mid \mu, \sigma}(I)=\prod_{n=0}^{N-1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi} \sigma} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\left(I_{n}-\mu\right)^{2}}{\sigma^{2}}} \\
& -\ln \left(f_{I \mid \mu, \sigma}(I)\right)=N \ln (\sqrt{2 \pi} \sigma)+\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \frac{1}{2} \frac{\left(I_{n}-\mu\right)^{2}}{\sigma^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

We are looking for $\mu \in \underset{\mu}{\operatorname{argmax}} f_{I \mid \mu, \sigma}(I)=\underset{\mu}{\operatorname{argmax}}-\ln \left(f_{I \mid \mu, \sigma}(I)\right)$
Such values cancel the partial derivative w.r. to $\mu$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial \mu}\left[-\ln \left(f_{I \mid \mu, \sigma}(I)\right)\right]=0 & \Leftrightarrow \sum_{n=0}^{N-1}\left(I_{n}-\mu\right)=0 \\
& \Leftrightarrow \mu=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} I_{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

From a mathematical viewpoint, we would need to make sure that this maximize the probability.

## Answer to exercise 37 III

(3) The only difference is that the partial derivative is with respect to $\sigma$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma}\left[-\ln \left(f_{I \mid \mu, \sigma}(I)\right)\right]=0 & \Leftrightarrow \frac{N}{\sigma}-\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \frac{\left(I_{n}-\mu\right)^{2}}{\sigma^{3}}=0 \\
& \Leftrightarrow \sigma^{2}=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1}\left(I_{n}-\mu\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Likelihood

## Definition

The likelihood is the probability that the observations fit with the model with its parameters.

$$
L(I, \Theta)
$$

where $\Theta$ is the set of parameters.
In exercise 37, we need new parameters $\mathcal{N}_{0}, \mathcal{N}_{1}$ to define the crisp assignments:

$$
\begin{gathered}
n \in \mathcal{N}_{0} \Leftrightarrow I_{n} \leq \mathrm{T} \quad \text { and } \quad \begin{array}{l}
n \in \mathcal{N}_{1} \Leftrightarrow I_{n}>\mathrm{T} \\
\mathrm{~L}\left(I, \mu_{0}, \mu_{1}, \sigma, \mathcal{N}_{0}, \mathcal{N}_{1}\right)=\prod_{n \in \mathcal{N}_{0}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi} \sigma_{0}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\left(l_{n}-\mu_{0}\right)^{2}}{\sigma_{0}^{2}}} \prod_{n \in \mathcal{N}_{1}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi} \sigma_{1}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\left(I_{n}-\mu_{1}\right)^{2}}{\sigma_{1}^{2}}}
\end{array} .
\end{gathered}
$$

## Maximizing the likelihood




Figure 7: Left: log-likelihood w.r. to T. Right: new threshold T' w.r. to old threshold T .

## Exercise 38

(1) Using right of figure 7, define the catchment areas (a.k.a. basins of attraction): the set of values of T such that the algorithm converges to a given value.

## New notations

- $g_{\mu, \sigma}(x)$ is the Gaussian deterministic function.
- $L$ is here the likelihood, it is used as the opposite of a loss function.


## Conclusion of subsection 2, Using Gaussians

- Catchment areas in algorithms.
- Likelihood. (discrete or dense probability distribution).


## Content of section 5, More in depth with probabilities I

```
5.1 Probabilities
5.2 Using Gaussians
5.3 Probabilities as a loss function designer
```


## About convexity

## theorem

$$
f^{\prime \prime}(x)>0 \Rightarrow f(\alpha x+(1-\alpha) y) \leq \alpha f(x)+(1-\alpha) f(y)
$$

when $\alpha \in[0,1]$
Recursively or using...

$$
f\left(\sum_{i} \alpha_{i} x_{i}\right) \leq \sum_{i} \alpha_{i} f\left(x_{i}\right) \text { when } \sum_{i} \alpha_{i}=1 \text { and } \forall i, \alpha_{i} \geq 0
$$

## First view-point on the Expectation-Minimization algorithm I

- $\Theta$ : set of parameters
- $\stackrel{r}{x}$ : random process modeling the observations (i.e. intensities)
- $\mathbf{x}$ : observation values (i.e. pixel intensities)
- Y: random variable modeling the hidden states (i.e. labels).
- y: actual states (i.e. pixel labels), with $\Omega_{y}$ as the set of all possible values
- L: likelihood
- LL: log-likelihood

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{L}(\mathbf{x}, \Theta)=\mathcal{P}(\mathrm{X} \mid \Theta)=\sum_{\Omega_{y}} \mathcal{P}(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y}=\mathbf{y} \mid \Theta) \\
& \sum_{\Omega_{y}} \mathcal{P}(\mathrm{X} \mid \mathrm{Y}=\mathbf{y}, \Theta) \mathcal{P}(\mathrm{Y}=\mathbf{y})
\end{aligned}
$$

This is an iterated algorithm and at step $t+1$, the parameter values $\Theta^{(t)}$ are available.

$$
\mathrm{L}\left(\mathbf{x}, \Theta, \Theta^{(t)}\right)=\sum_{\Omega_{y}} P\left(\mathrm{X} \mid \mathrm{Y}=\mathbf{y}, \Theta, \Theta^{(t)}\right) P\left(\mathrm{Y}=\mathbf{y} \mid \Theta^{(t)}\right)
$$

## First view-point on the Expectation-Minimization algorithm II

We consider the log-likelihood:

$$
\operatorname{LL}\left(\mathbf{x}, \Theta, \Theta^{(t)}\right)=\ln \left(\sum_{\Omega_{y}} \mathcal{P}\left(\mathrm{X} \mid \mathrm{Y}=\mathbf{y}, \Theta, \Theta^{(t)}\right) \mathscr{P}\left(\mathrm{Y}=\mathbf{y} \mid \Theta^{(t)}\right)\right)
$$

## Two caveats

$\mathcal{P}\left(\mathrm{Y}=\mathbf{y} \mid \Theta^{(t)}\right)$ uses actually the data X considered as part of $\Theta^{(t)}$. When the components of $X$ and $Y$ are modeled as given- $\Theta$ independent random variables, the summation over $\Omega_{y}$ is restricted to each components of $\mathbf{y}$ and In is inside a first summation over the components.

The expectation step is

$$
y_{n}^{(t+1)}=\operatorname{argmax}_{y_{n}} \operatorname{ML}\left(\Theta^{(t)}, \mathbf{x}, y_{0}^{(t)} \ldots y_{n} \ldots y_{N-1}^{(t)}\right)
$$

The maximization step is

$$
\Theta^{(t+1)}=\operatorname{argmax}_{\Theta} \mathrm{ML}\left(\Theta^{(t)}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}^{(t+1)}\right)
$$

## First view-point on the Expectation-Minimization algorithm III

Because the logarithm is concave, we get a lower bound called $Q\left(\Theta, \Theta^{(t)}\right)$

$$
\mathrm{LL}\left(\mathbf{x}, \Theta, \Theta^{(t)}\right) \geq \sum_{\Omega_{y}} \mathcal{P}\left(\mathrm{Y}=\mathbf{y} \mid \Theta^{(t)}\right) \ln \mathcal{P}\left(\mathrm{X} \mid \mathrm{Y}=\mathbf{y}, \Theta, \Theta^{(t)}\right)
$$

There are two steps:

- Expectation-step: computing $Q\left(\Theta, \Theta^{(t)}\right)$. That is fill in the unknown or hidden parameters with most likely possible values computed using observations and previous values of parameters, and weighing these values with their probabilities.
- Maximizition-step: finding $\Theta$ by maximizing $Q\left(\Theta, \Theta^{(t)}\right)$. We get here soft assignments.


## ML with equal standard deviation I

## Exercise 39

We consider a statistical model for a binary classification problem：
－The intensity of each pixel follows a Gaussian random variable．
－There is a unique standard deviation $\sigma$ ．
－The mean value depending on its class membership $\mu_{0}, \mu_{1}$ ．
－Conditionally to their classes，the random variables are independent． We use this model to infer the parameters＇values involved in the model and the hidden parameters by observing only the pixel values．
（1）List the variables whose values are known and those whose values are to find by maximizing the likelihood．
（2）Write the likelihood of a given pixel＇s intensity and that of all $N$ pixels， assuming we know which pixels follows which Gaussian variable．

## ML with equal standard deviation II

- We now assume the assignment of pixels with each probability distribution are $N$ Gaussian probability distributions $\mathrm{Y}_{n}$.
- The goal is to write the relationship between two successive iterations.
- All parameters have now an indication of the iteration using $t$ as an integer.

$$
y_{0}^{(t)} \ldots y_{N-1}^{(t)}, \mu_{0}^{(t)}, \mu_{1}^{(t)}
$$

We denote $q_{n}^{(t)}=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} 1\left(y_{n}=0\right)$

## ML with equal standard deviation III

## Exercise 40

We consider the statistical model of exercise 39
(1) Write the prior probability of $\mathrm{Y}_{n}$ knowing parameters of the last iteration (i.e. t-iteration).
(2) Write the posterior probability of $\mathrm{Y}_{n}$ knowing parameters of the last iteration (i.e. t-iteration) and using the pixel intensity values.
(3) Write the expectation step of the E-M algorithm, assuming $\mu_{1}>\mu_{0}$.
(9) Write the maximization step of the $E-M$ algorithm.

## Answer to exercise 39 I

(1) - The parameters whose values are known are those of the observations:

$$
N, x_{0} \ldots x_{N-1} .
$$

- The parameters whose values are to be found: $\mu_{0}, \mu_{1}, \sigma$.
- Hidden variables: $y_{0} \ldots y_{N-1}$.
(2) For a specific pixel, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{I_{n}=x \mid Y_{n}=0, \Theta^{(t)}}(x)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi} \sigma} e^{-\frac{\left(x-\mu_{0}\right)^{2}}{2 \sigma^{2}}} \\
& f_{I_{I_{n}=x \mid Y_{n}=1, \Theta^{(t)}}}(x)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi} \sigma} e^{-\frac{\left(x-\mu_{1}\right)^{2}}{2 \sigma^{2}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

The likelihood is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{L}\left(I, Y, \mu_{0}, \mu_{1}, \sigma\right)=\prod_{n=0}^{N-1}\left(1\left(y_{n}=0\right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi} \sigma} e^{-\frac{\left(x-\mu_{0}\right)^{2}}{2 \sigma^{2}}}\right. \\
& \left.+1\left(y_{n}=1\right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi} \sigma} e^{-\frac{\left(x-\mu_{1}\right)^{2}}{2 \sigma^{2}}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Answer to exercise 39 I

(1) At the last iteration, $y_{n}^{(t)}$ have received integer values, this is a prior:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{P}\left(\mathrm{Y}_{n}=0 \mid \Theta^{(t)}\right)=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} 1\left(y_{n}^{(t)}=0\right)=q_{n}^{(t)} \\
& \mathcal{P}\left(\mathrm{Y}_{n}=1 \mid \Theta^{(t)}\right)=1-\mathcal{P}\left(\mathrm{Y}_{n}=0\right)=1-q_{n}^{(t)}
\end{aligned}
$$

(2) The posterior probability is obtained with the likelihood and the prior:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{\mathrm{Y}_{n}=y_{n} \mid I_{n}, \Theta^{(t)}}\left(I_{n}\right)= \\
& \quad \frac{f_{r_{n}} \mid \mathrm{Y}_{n}=y_{n}, \Theta^{(t)}}{}\left(I_{n}\right) P\left(\mathrm{Y}_{n}=y_{n} \mid \Theta^{(t)}\right) \\
& \qquad{ }_{I_{n} \mid \mathrm{Y}_{n}=0, \ldots}\left(I_{n}\right) \mathcal{P}\left(\mathrm{Y}_{n}=0 \mid \ldots\right)+f_{r| | \mathrm{Y}_{n}=1, \ldots}\left(I_{n}\right) P\left(\mathrm{Y}_{n}=1 \mid \ldots\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
q_{n} g_{(t) \sigma \sigma}\left(I_{n}\right)
$$

Denoting $q_{n}^{\prime}(t)=\frac{q_{n} g_{\mu_{0}(t), \sigma}\left(I_{n}\right)}{q_{n} g_{\mu_{0}(t), \sigma}\left(I_{n}\right)+\left(1-q_{n}\right) g_{\mu_{1}(t), \sigma}\left(I_{n}\right)}$ we can write

$$
f_{\mathrm{Y}_{n}=y_{n} \mid \stackrel{r}{I_{n}, \Theta^{(t)}}}=q_{n}^{\prime(t)} 1\left(y_{n}=0\right)+\left(1-q_{n}^{\prime(t)}\right) 1\left(y_{n}=1\right)
$$

## Answer to exercise 39 II

(3) The objective is to find the parameters maximizing the likelihood of the data.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \ln f_{\mathrm{Y}, I, \Theta \mid \Theta(t)}(I) \\
& \quad=\ln \prod_{n=0}^{N-1}\left(f_{I_{n}, \mathrm{Y}_{n}=0, \Theta \mid \Theta(t)}\left(I_{n}\right)+f_{\mathrm{I}_{n}, \mathrm{Y}_{n}=1, \Theta \mid \Theta(t)}\left(I_{n}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

We use the posterior probabilities and the likelihood of $I_{n}$ to compute these probabilities:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{I_{n}, Y_{n}=0, \Theta \mid \Theta^{(t)}}\left(I_{n}\right)=q_{n}^{\prime(t)} f_{I_{n}, \Theta \mid \mathrm{Y}_{n}=0, \Theta^{(t)}}\left(I_{n}\right)=q_{n}^{\prime(t)} \mathrm{g}_{\mu_{0}, \sigma}\left(I_{n}\right) \\
& f_{I_{n}, y_{n}=1, \Theta \mid \Theta^{(t)}}=\left(1-q_{n}^{\prime(t)}\right) f_{I_{n}, \Theta \mid \mathrm{Y}_{n}=1, \Theta^{(t)}}\left(I_{n}\right) \\
& \quad=\left(1-q_{n}^{\prime(t)}\right) \mathrm{g}_{\mu_{1}, \sigma}\left(I_{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \ln \mathscr{P}\left(\mathrm{Y}_{n}=y_{n}, I, \Theta \mid \Theta^{(t)}\right)= \\
& \ln \prod_{n=0}^{N-1}\left(q_{n}^{\prime(t)} \mathrm{g}_{\mu_{0}, \sigma}\left(I_{n}\right)+\left(1-{q_{n}^{\prime}}^{(t)}\right) \mathrm{g}_{\mu_{1}, \sigma}\left(I_{n}\right)\right) \\
& \quad=\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \ln \left({q_{n}^{\prime}}^{(t)} \mathrm{g}_{\mu_{0}, \sigma}\left(I_{n}\right)+\left(1-{q_{n}^{\prime}}^{(t)}\right) \mathrm{g}_{\mu_{1}, \sigma}\left(I_{n}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Answer to exercise 39 III

Because of the concavity of In , we get a lower bound

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \ln f_{\mathrm{Y}_{n}=y_{n}, I_{n}, \Theta \mid \Theta^{(t)}}\left(I_{n}\right) \\
& \quad \geq \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} q_{n}^{\prime(t)} \ln \mathrm{g}_{\mu_{0}, \sigma}\left(I_{n}\right)+\left(1-q_{n}^{\prime(t)}\right) \ln \mathrm{g}_{\mu_{1}, \sigma}\left(I_{n}\right) \\
& \quad=-\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} q_{n}^{\prime}(t) \frac{\left(I_{n}-\mu_{0}\right)^{2}}{2 \sigma^{2}}+\left(1-q_{n}^{\prime(t)}\right) \frac{\left(I_{n}-\mu_{1}\right)^{2}}{2 \sigma^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

(9) To approximate $\operatorname{argmax}_{\Theta} Q\left(\Theta \mid \Theta^{(t)}\right)$ we maximize the lower bound denoted $Q\left(\Theta \mid \Theta^{(t)}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
-Q & \left.Q|\Theta| \Theta^{(t)}\right)=\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} q_{n}^{\prime}(t) \frac{\left(I_{n}-\mu_{0}\right)^{2}}{2 \sigma^{2}}+q_{n}^{\prime} \ln \sqrt{2 \pi} \sigma \\
& +\left(1-q_{n}^{\prime(t)}\right) \frac{\left(I_{n}-\mu_{1}\right)^{2}}{2 \sigma^{2}}+\left(1-q_{n}^{\prime}\right) \ln \sqrt{2 \pi} \sigma \\
& =N \ln \sqrt{2 \pi} \sigma+\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} q_{n}^{\prime}(t) \frac{\left(I_{n}-\mu_{0}\right)^{2}}{2 \sigma^{2}}+\left(1-q_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right) \frac{\left(I_{n}-\mu_{1}\right)^{2}}{2 \sigma^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

This maximization is obtained by zeroing the derivatives w.r. to $\mu_{0}$, $\mu_{1}$ and $\sigma$.

## Answer to exercise 39 IV

- Finding $\mu_{0}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0=\frac{\partial}{\partial \mu_{0}}\left[-Q\left(\Theta \mid \Theta^{(t)}\right)\right]=-\frac{1}{\sigma^{2}} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} q_{n}^{\prime}\left(I_{n}-\mu_{0}\right) \\
& \Rightarrow \mu_{0}=\frac{\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} q_{n}^{\prime} I_{n}}{\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} q_{n}^{\prime}}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Finding $\mu_{1}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0=\frac{\partial}{\partial \mu_{1}}\left[-Q\left(\Theta \mid \Theta^{(t)}\right)\right]=-\frac{1}{\sigma^{2}} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1}\left(1-q_{n}^{\prime}\right)\left(I_{n}-\mu_{1}\right) \\
& \Rightarrow \mu_{1}=\frac{\sum_{n=0}^{N-1}\left(1-q_{n}^{\prime}\right) I_{n}}{\sum_{n=0}^{N=1}\left(1-q_{n}^{\prime}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Finding $\sigma$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0=\frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma}\left[-Q\left(\Theta \mid \Theta^{(t)}\right)\right]= \\
& \frac{N}{\sigma}-\frac{1}{\sigma^{3}} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} q_{n}^{\prime}\left(I_{n}-\mu_{0}\right)^{2}-\frac{1}{\sigma^{3}} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1}\left(1-q_{n}^{\prime}\right)\left(I_{n}-\mu_{1}\right)^{2} \\
& \Rightarrow N \sigma^{2}=\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} q_{n}^{\prime}\left(I_{n}-\mu_{0}\right)^{2}+\sum_{n=0}^{N-1}\left(1-q_{n}^{\prime}\right)\left(I_{n}-\mu_{1}\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Maximizing the likelihood




Figure 8: Left: log-likelihood w.r. to T. Right: new threshold $\mathrm{T}^{\prime}$ w.r. to old threshold T .

What could explain the fact that on the left figure, the probability appears more flat than on previous experiments?

## Second viewpoint on the Expectation-Minimization algorithm I

When the optimization is

$$
\operatorname{argmax}_{\Theta} \mathcal{P}(\mathrm{X} \mid \Theta)
$$

we consider instead

$$
\operatorname{argmax}_{\Theta} Q\left(\Theta \mid \Theta^{(t)}\right)
$$

where

$$
Q\left(\Theta \mid \Theta^{(t)}\right)=-\sum_{\Omega_{y}} \mathcal{P}\left(\mathrm{Y}=\mathbf{y} \mid \mathbf{X}, \Theta^{(t)}\right) \ln \mathcal{P}\left(\mathrm{X} \mid \mathrm{Y}=\mathbf{y}, \Theta, \Theta^{(t)}\right)
$$

It is the expected value of the log likelihood function of the parameters $\Theta$, with respect to the current conditional distribution of $Y$ given $X$ and the current estimates of the parameters $\Theta^{(t)}$.

- $\Theta$ : set of parameters
- I: random process modeling the observations (i.e. intensities)
- I: observation values (i.e. pixel intensities)
- Y: random variable modeling the hidden states (i.e. labels).
- $Y$ : hidden state values (i.e. labels).
- I: matrix describing the probabilities of each values for each hidden


## Exemple of Gaussian Mixture Models (GMM)

## Exercise 41

We consider the following pseudo-code, what is the probability distribution that is being sampled.
Require: $N$
Ensure: $I_{0} \ldots I_{N-1}$
1: for $n=0: N-1$ do
2. Draw $k$ a binary integer

3: $\quad$ Draw $x$ a random value using $\mathcal{N}(0,1)$
4: if $k==0$ then
5: $\quad I_{n}=x$
6: $\quad I_{n}=x+3$

## Answer to exercise 41 I



## Answer to exercise 41 II

(1) We use the following notations

- K: binary random variable $P(\mathrm{~K}=0)=\mathcal{P}(\mathrm{K}=1)=0.5$.
- X : Mixture of Gaussian random variable

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathcal{P}(\mathrm{X}=x \mid \mathrm{K}=0)=\mathrm{g}_{0,1}(x) \text { and } \mathcal{P}(\mathrm{X}=x \mid \mathrm{K}=1)=\mathrm{g}_{3,1}(x) \\
\mathcal{P}(\mathrm{X}=x)=\mathscr{P}(\mathrm{X}=x \mid \mathrm{K}=0) \mathscr{P}(\mathrm{K}=0)+\mathcal{P}(\mathrm{X}=x \mid \mathrm{K}=1) \mathcal{P}(\mathrm{K}=1) \\
=0.5 \mathrm{~g}_{0,1}(x)+0.5 \mathrm{~g}_{3,1}(x)
\end{gathered}
$$

## Expected value of the log likelihood function $Q$

## Exercise 42

Write a pseudocode simulating $\mathcal{P}(\sigma)$ and $Q\left(\sigma \mid \sigma^{(t)}\right)$

## Answer to exercise 42 |

(1) Based on exercise 41, the probability one observation $x_{n}$ is

$$
f_{\mathrm{X}_{n}=x_{n} \mid \sigma}\left(x_{n}\right)=0.5 \mathrm{~g}_{0, \sigma}\left(x_{n}\right)+0.5 \mathrm{~g}_{3, \sigma}\left(x_{n}\right)
$$

The probability of all observations is

$$
f_{\mathbf{X} \mid \sigma}(\mathbf{X})=\prod_{n=0}^{N-1} 0.5 \mathrm{~g}_{0, \sigma}\left(x_{n}\right)+0.5 \mathrm{~g}_{3, \sigma}\left(x_{n}\right)
$$

In order to make computations within the 16 or 32 bits,

$$
\ln f_{\mathbf{X} \mid \sigma}(\mathbf{X})=\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \ln \left(0.5 \mathrm{~g}_{0, \sigma}\left(x_{n}\right)+0.5 \mathrm{~g}_{3, \sigma}\left(x_{n}\right)\right)
$$

(2) At iteration $t$, the prior is

$$
\mathcal{P}\left(\mathrm{Y}_{n}=0\right)=\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} 1\left(y_{n}^{(t)}=0\right)
$$

the posterior is

$$
f_{\mathrm{Y}_{n}=0 \mid \mathrm{x}_{n}, \sigma^{(t)}}\left(x_{n}\right)=\frac{\mathcal{P}\left(\mathrm{Y}_{n}=0\right) g_{0, \sigma^{(t)}}}{\mathcal{P}\left(\mathrm{Y}_{n}=0\right) g_{0, \sigma^{(t)}}\left(x_{n}\right)+\mathcal{P}\left(\mathrm{Y}_{n}=1\right) g_{3, \sigma^{(t)}}\left(x_{n}\right)}
$$

## Answer to exercise 42 II

The log-likelihood given the hidden $y_{n}$

$$
\ln f_{\mathrm{r} \mid Y_{n}, \sigma^{(t)}}(X)=-\ln \left(\sqrt{2 \pi} \sigma^{(t)}\right)- \begin{cases}\frac{x^{2}}{2 \sigma^{(t)^{2}}} & \text { if } y_{n}=0 \\ \frac{(x-3)^{2}}{\left.2 \sigma^{2}\right)^{2}} & \text { if } y_{n}=1\end{cases}
$$

The expectation of this log-likelihood using the posterior is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q_{n}=f_{\mathrm{Y}_{n}=0 \mid \mathbf{x}_{n}, \sigma^{(t)}}\left(x_{n}\right) \ln f_{\mathrm{X}=x_{n} \mid \mathbf{Y}_{n}=0, \sigma^{(t)}}\left(x_{n}\right) \\
& \quad+f_{\mathrm{Y}_{n}=1 \mid x_{n}, \sigma^{(t)}} \ln f_{\mathrm{X} \mid \mathrm{Y}_{n}=1, \sigma^{(t)}}\left(x_{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The function to be maximized is

$$
Q\left(\sigma \mid \sigma^{(t)}, Y^{(t)}\right)=\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} Q_{n}
$$

The error rate w.r. to the best possible prediction is

$$
\mathrm{E}=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} 1\left(y_{n}=0 \Leftrightarrow x_{n}<1.5\right)
$$

## Answer to exercise 42 III

## Require: $X$

## Ensure: $\sigma$

1: Choose randomly $Y, \sigma$,
2: Store $Y^{\text {old }}:=0$
3: while $\exists y_{n}^{\text {old }} \neq y_{n}$ do
4: $\quad$ Store $Y^{\text {old }}:=Y$
5: $\quad$ Compute $\mathcal{P}\left(\mathrm{Y}_{n}=0\right)$
6: $\quad$ Compute $\mathcal{P}\left(\mathrm{Y}_{n} \mid x_{n}, \sigma^{(t)}\right)$ with $\sigma^{(t)}=\sigma$
7: $\quad$ Find $\sigma$ maximizing $Q\left(\sigma \mid \sigma^{(t)}, Y^{(t)}\right)$ with $Y^{(t)}=Y$
8: $\quad$ Find $y_{n}=1\left(\mathcal{P}\left(\mathrm{Y}_{n} \mid x_{n}, \sigma^{(t)}\right)<0.5\right)$
9: Compute $Q\left(\sigma \mid \sigma^{(t)}, Y^{(t)}\right)$ with $\sigma^{(t)}=\sigma$ and $Y^{(t)}=Y$

## Experimental results





In blue:
$\ln \mathcal{P}(\mathrm{X}=X \mid \sigma)$
In red:
$Q\left(\sigma \mid X, \sigma^{(t)}, y_{n}^{(t)}\right)$

$$
\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} 1\left(y_{n}=0 \Leftrightarrow x_{n}<1.5\right) \quad \sigma^{(t)}
$$

- Horizontal axis indicates the value of $\sigma$.
- The thick lines in red and green are those obtained with many iterations.
- The thin lines in magenta and cyan are obtained at the first iteration.
- The initialization uses random values $(\sigma \mapsto \mathcal{U}(0.5,4.5))$


## Third viewpoint on the Expectation-Minimization algorithm I

We need not use the correct probability distribution of the parameters. Instead of $\mathcal{P}\left(\mathrm{Y}_{n} \mid \mathbf{X}, \Theta^{(t)}\right)$, we may use any probability distribution $q\left(y_{n}\right)$ (or family of distributions).

- $q\left(y_{n}\right)=P_{\mathcal{Q}}\left(\mathrm{Y}_{n} \mid x_{n}, \Theta^{(t)}\right)$ is an inference model, its probability law is here denoted $\mathcal{Q}$.
- $p\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)=\mathscr{P}\left(\mathrm{Y}_{n}, \mathrm{X}_{n}, \Theta^{(t)}\right)$ is the joint distribution.
- $p^{\prime}\left(y_{n}\right)=\mathcal{P}\left(\mathrm{Y}_{n} \mid \mathrm{X}_{n}, \Theta^{(t)}\right)$ is the posterior distribution.
- The evidence lower bound (ELBO) is

$$
\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{Q}}\left(\ln \frac{p\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)}{q\left(y_{n}\right)}\right)
$$

- The Kullback-Leibler Divergence used here is

$$
\left.0 \leq \mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{KL}}\left(q \| p^{\prime}\right)\right)=\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{Q}}\left(q\left(y_{n}\right) \ln \left(\frac{q\left(y_{n}\right)}{p^{\prime}\left(y_{n}\right)}\right)\right)
$$

## Third viewpoint on the Expectation-Minimization algorithm II

Instead of maximizing

$$
Q\left(\Theta \mid \Theta^{(t)}\right)=-\sum_{\Omega_{y}} \mathcal{P}\left(\mathrm{Y}=\mathbf{y} \mid \mathbf{X}, \Theta^{(t)}\right) \ln \mathscr{P}\left(\mathrm{X} \mid \mathrm{Y}=\mathbf{y}, \Theta, \Theta^{(t)}\right)
$$

We maximize ELBO - $\mathcal{H}(q)$

$$
-\sum_{\Omega_{y}} q\left(y_{n}\right) \ln \mathcal{P}\left(\mathrm{X} \mid \mathrm{Y}=\mathbf{y}, \Theta, \Theta^{(t)}\right)=\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{Q}}\left(\ln \frac{p\left(y_{n}\right)}{q\left(y_{n}\right)}\right)-\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{Q}}
$$

because $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{Q}}$ does not depend on $\Theta$ and because

$$
\mathrm{ELBO}=\mathcal{P}\left(\mathrm{X}_{n}\right)-\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{KL}} \leq \mathcal{P}\left(\mathrm{X}_{n}\right)
$$

This is a small proof:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \ln \mathcal{P}\left(\mathrm{X}_{n}, \Theta\right)=\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{Q}} \ln \mathcal{P}\left(\mathrm{X}_{n}, \Theta\right)=\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{Q}} \ln \frac{\mathcal{P}\left(\mathrm{X}_{n}, \mathrm{Y}_{n}, \Theta\right)}{\mathcal{P}\left(\mathrm{Y}_{n} \mid \mathrm{X}_{n}, \Theta\right)} \\
& =\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{Q}} \ln \frac{P\left(\mathrm{X}_{n}, \mathrm{Y}_{n}, \Theta\right)}{q\left(y_{n}\right)}+\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{Q}} \ln \frac{q\left(\mathrm{y}_{n}\right)}{\mathcal{P}\left(\mathrm{Y}_{n} \mid \mathrm{X}_{n}, \Theta\right)}=\mathrm{ELBO}+D_{\mathrm{KL}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Using the E-M algorithm to make crisp choices I

## Exercise 43

We consider again the statistical model of exercise 39. We consider a discrete probability distribution depending on a parameter $T$ for a given sample $n$, denoting here $x_{n}$ and $y_{n}$ as $x$ and $y$.

$$
q(y)= \begin{cases}1(y=0) & \text { if } \quad x \leq T \\ 1(y=1) & \text { if } \quad x>T\end{cases}
$$

(1) Show that $q$ is indeed a probability distribution whose entropy is zero.
(2) Given the posterior of the probability distribution computed in exercise 40 ,

$$
p^{\prime}(y=0)=\frac{\alpha g_{0, \sigma}(x)}{\alpha g_{0, \sigma}(x)+g_{3, \sigma}(x)} \text { and } p^{\prime}(y=1)=1-p^{\prime}(y=0)
$$

where $\sigma$ denotes the estimated value $\sigma^{(t)}$. Compute the $K L$-divergence between the $q$ and $p^{\prime}$.

## Using the E-M algorithm to make crisp choices II

## Exercise

(3) Show that

$$
p^{\prime}(y=0)>\frac{1}{2} \Leftrightarrow x<\frac{3}{2}+\frac{\sigma^{2} \ln (\alpha)}{3}
$$

(9) Show that the $K L$-divergence is minimized when $T=\frac{3}{2}+\frac{\sigma^{2} \ln (\alpha)}{3}$.

We now consider the whole dataset.
(5) Compute ELBO - $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{Q}}$
(- Compute $\sigma^{(t+1)}$ maximizing ELBO $-\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{Q}}$ as a function of $\mathcal{N}_{0}^{(t)}$ and $\mathcal{N}_{1}^{(t)}$ which are the set containing the samples $y_{n}^{(t)}=0$ and $y_{n}^{(t)}=1$. Show that

$$
\sigma^{(t+1)}=\sqrt{\frac{1}{N}\left(\sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}_{0}^{(t)}} x_{n}^{2}+\sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}_{1}^{(t)}}\left(x_{n}-3\right)^{2}\right)}
$$

## Answer to exercise 43 I

(1) $q$ is a discrete probability distribution with two possible values $y \in\{0,1\}$ because

$$
q(y) \geq 0 \text { and } q(y=0)+q(y=1)=1
$$

The Entropy is

$$
\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{Q}}=q(y=0) \ln \frac{1}{q(y=0)}+q(y=1) \ln \frac{1}{q(y=1)}
$$

with the notation that $0 \times \ln 0=0 . \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{Q}}=0$ because first, $1 \times \ln 1=0$ and second, either $q(y=0)=0$ and $q(y=1)=1$ or $q(y=0)=1$ and $q(y=1)=0$.
(2) The KL-divergence between $q$ and $p^{\prime}$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{KL}}\left(q \| p^{\prime}\right)=q(y=0) \ln \frac{q(y)=0}{p^{\prime}(y=0)}+q(y=1) \ln \frac{q(y)=1}{p^{\prime}(y=1)} \\
& =1(x \leq \mathrm{T}) \ln \frac{1}{p^{\prime}(y=0)}+1(x>\mathrm{T}) \ln \frac{1}{1-p^{\prime}(y=0)} \\
& =-1(x \leq \mathrm{T}) \ln \frac{\alpha \mathrm{g}_{0, \sigma}(x)}{\alpha \mathrm{g}_{0, \sigma}(x)+\mathrm{g}_{3, \sigma}(x)}-1(x>\mathrm{T}) \ln \frac{\mathrm{g}_{3, \sigma}(x)}{\alpha \mathrm{g}_{0, \sigma}(x)+\mathrm{g}_{3, \sigma}(x)}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Answer to exercise 43 II

3

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p^{\prime}(y=0)>\frac{1}{2} \Leftrightarrow \alpha g_{0, \sigma}(x)>\frac{\alpha}{2} g_{0, \sigma}(x)+\frac{1}{2} g_{3, \sigma}(x) \\
& \quad \Leftrightarrow \frac{\alpha}{2} g_{0, \sigma}(x)>\frac{1}{2} g_{3, \sigma}(x) \Leftrightarrow \frac{g_{0, \sigma}(x)}{g_{3, \sigma}(x)}>\frac{1}{\alpha} \\
& \quad \Leftrightarrow-\frac{x^{2}}{2 \sigma^{2}}+\frac{(x-3)^{2}}{2 \sigma^{2}}>-\ln (\alpha) \Leftrightarrow-6 x+9>-2 \sigma^{2} \ln (\alpha) \\
& \quad \Leftrightarrow x<\frac{3}{2}+\frac{\sigma^{2}}{3} \ln (\alpha)
\end{aligned}
$$

(9) We first prove that

$$
\ln \frac{1}{p^{\prime}(y=0)}<\ln \frac{1}{1-p^{\prime}(y=0)} \Leftrightarrow x<\frac{3}{2}+\frac{\sigma^{2}}{3} \ln (\alpha)
$$

The left statement is true iff

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1<\frac{\frac{1-p^{\prime}(y=0)}{1}}{\frac{p^{\prime}(y=0)}{1}}=\frac{p^{\prime}(y=0)}{1-p^{\prime}(y=0)} \Leftrightarrow 1-p^{\prime}(y=0)<p^{\prime}(y=0) \\
& \Leftrightarrow \frac{1}{2}<p^{\prime}(y=0)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Answer to exercise 43 III

Let us assume $x<\frac{3}{2}+\frac{\sigma^{2}}{3} \ln (\alpha)$, the former property proves that

$$
\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{KL}}^{(\mathrm{T} \leq x)}\left(q \| p^{\prime}\right) \geq \mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{KL}}^{(\mathrm{T}>x)}\left(q \| p^{\prime}\right)
$$

Therefore $\mathrm{T} \geq \frac{3}{2}+\frac{\sigma^{2}}{3} \ln (\alpha)$
Let us assume $x>\frac{3}{2}+\frac{\sigma^{2}}{3} \ln (\alpha)$, the former property proves that

$$
\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{KL}}^{(\mathrm{T} \leq x)}\left(q \| p^{\prime}\right) \leq \mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{KL}}^{(\mathrm{T}>x)}\left(q \| p^{\prime}\right)
$$

Therefore $\mathrm{T} \leq \frac{3}{2}+\frac{\sigma^{2}}{3} \ln (\alpha)$
This finally proves that the equality.
(0) We set $\mathrm{T}=\frac{3}{2}+\frac{\sigma^{2}}{3} \ln (\alpha)$, however, $\sigma$ is actually $\sigma^{(t)}$. Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{T}^{(t)}=\frac{3}{2}+\frac{\left(\sigma^{(t)}\right)^{2}}{3} \ln (\alpha) \\
& \quad q\left(y_{n}=0\right)=1\left(x \leq \mathrm{T}^{(t)}\right) \text { and } q\left(y_{n}=1\right)=1\left(x>\mathrm{T}^{(t)}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Whereas $f_{\mathrm{X}_{n}=x_{n} \mid \sigma, y_{n}=0}\left(x_{n}\right)$ depends on $\sigma$ :

$$
-\ln f_{\mathrm{x}_{n}=x_{n} \mid \sigma, y_{n}=0}\left(x_{n}\right)=-\ln g_{0, \sigma}\left(x_{n}\right)=\ln (\sqrt{2 \pi} \sigma)+\frac{x_{n}^{2}}{2 \sigma^{2}}
$$

## Answer to exercise 43 IV

And

$$
-\ln f_{\mathrm{X}_{n}=x_{n} \mid \sigma, y_{n}=1}\left(x_{n}\right)=-\ln g_{3, \sigma}\left(x_{n}\right)=\ln (\sqrt{2 \pi} \sigma)+\frac{\left(x_{n}-3\right)^{2}}{2 \sigma^{2}}
$$

So $\mathrm{J}=\mathrm{ELBO}-\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{Q}}$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{J}= & \sum_{n=0}^{N-1}\left(-1\left(x \leq \mathrm{T}^{(t)}\right) \ln f_{\mathrm{X}_{n}=x_{n} \mid \sigma, y_{n}=0}\left(x_{n}\right)\right. \\
& \left.-1\left(x>\mathrm{T}^{(t)}\right) \ln f_{\mathrm{x}_{n}=x_{n} \mid \sigma, y_{n}=1}\left(x_{n}\right)\right) \\
& =N \ln (\sqrt{2 \pi} \sigma)+\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} 1\left(x_{n} \leq \mathrm{T}^{(t)}\right) \frac{x_{n}^{2}}{\sigma^{2}} \\
& +\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} 1\left(x_{n}>\mathrm{T}^{(t)}\right) \frac{\left(x_{n}-3\right)^{2}}{\sigma^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

(0) To find the value of $\sigma$ minimizing J , we compute

$$
\frac{\partial \mathrm{J}}{\partial \sigma}=\frac{N}{\sigma}-\frac{2}{\sigma^{3}} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} 1\left(x_{n} \leq \mathrm{T}^{(t)}\right) \frac{x_{n}^{2}}{2}+1\left(x_{n}>\mathrm{T}^{(t)}\right) \frac{\left(x_{n}-3\right)^{2}}{2}
$$

Canceling this derivative yields a value of $\sigma$ now called $\sigma^{(t+1)}$

$$
\left(\sigma^{(t+1)}\right)^{2}=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1}\left(1\left(x_{n} \leq \mathrm{T}^{(t)}\right) x_{n}^{2}+1\left(x_{n}>\mathrm{T}^{(t)}\right)\left(x_{n}-3\right)^{2}\right)
$$

## Answer to exercise 43 V

Note that because $q$ is actually modeling a deterministic function $1\left(x_{n} \leq \mathrm{T}^{(t)}\right) \Leftrightarrow y_{n}^{(t)}=0 \Leftrightarrow n \in \mathcal{N}_{0}^{(t)}$

## New notations

- Entropy: $\mathcal{H}(p)=-\sum_{x_{i}} p\left(x_{i}\right) \ln p\left(x_{i}\right) \geq 0$ There exists also a differential definition of $\mathcal{H}$.
- KL-divergence: $\mathcal{D}_{K L}(q \| p)=\sum_{x_{i}} q\left(x_{i}\right) \ln \frac{q\left(x_{i}\right)}{p\left(x_{i}\right)} \geq 0$ There exists also a differential definition of $\mathcal{D}_{K L}$.
- $\mathrm{ELBO}=\ln \left(\frac{p(x, y)}{q(y)}\right)$


## Conclusion of subsection 3, Probabilities as a loss function designer

- Difference between $\mathscr{P}$ and $f$
- Expectation-maximization $=$ soft assignment with a probabilistic interpretation
- Simplifies only the local probabilistic expression
- First viewpoint: Concavity based lower-bound
- Second viewpoint: Average value of the log-likelihood with weights equal to the probability of a hidden parameters given previous iteration.
- Third viewpoint: Average value of the log-likelihood with weights equal to probabilities chosen so as to minimize a distance with the probability of a hidden parameter given previous iteration.


## Table of Contents I

## 1. Classification of hyperspectral images

2. Image processing
3. Learning regarded as an optimization problem
4. Predicting the learning performances and probabilistic framework
5. More in depth with probabilities
6. Curse of dimensionality, regularization and sparsity

## 7. Spatial context

## Table of Contents II

## 8. Supplementary material regarding matrices

## Content of section 6, Curse of dimensionality, regularization and sparsity I

6.1 Data preparation
6.2 Feature construction
6.3 Kernel trick
6.4 Curse of dimensionality and feature extraction
6.5 Principal Component Analysis
6.6 Supervised feature extraction
6.7 Regularization
6.8 Feature selection

## Centering the feature matrix




A centered feature matrix fulfills

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{N} x_{n, f}=0
$$

## Exercise 44

Let $\mathbf{X}$ be a feature matrix. Show that there exists $\beta_{f}$ such that $\mathbf{X}^{\prime}=\mathbf{X}-\left[\beta_{1} \ldots \beta_{F}\right]$ is centered.

## Answer to exercise 44

Let $\beta_{f}$ be defined as

$$
\beta_{f}=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} X_{n f}
$$

We then get for any $f \in\{1 \ldots F\}$

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{N} X_{n f}^{\prime}=\sum_{n=1}^{N}\left(X_{n f}-\beta_{f}\right)=\sum_{n=1}^{N} X_{n f}-\beta_{f}=0
$$

## Normalizing features

Normalizing means
$x_{n f} \mapsto x_{n f}^{\prime}=\alpha_{f} x_{n f}$
such that $\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} x^{\prime 2}{ }_{n f}=1$


## Exercise 45

Given a data set $X=\left[x_{n f}\right]$, compute a value $\alpha_{f}$ such that

$$
\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} x_{n f}^{\prime 2}=1
$$

where $x_{n f}^{\prime}=\alpha_{f} x_{n f}$

## Answer to exercise 45

$$
\alpha_{f}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} x_{n f}^{2}}}
$$

we get

$$
\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N}\left(x_{n f}^{\prime}\right)^{2}=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \alpha_{f}^{2} x_{n f}^{2}=\alpha_{f}^{2} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} x_{n f}^{2}=\frac{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} x_{n f}^{2}}{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} x_{n f}^{2}}=1
$$

## Analysis

## Synthesis



## Matrix notations

## Exercise 46

The exercises 44 and 45 provided formulas to center and normalize the samples in the feature space. The goal here is to express these transformations with matrices. An interesting side-effect is the simplification of the implementation.
We consider here a dataset described with a matrix $\mathbf{X}$ of size $N \times F$ and a column vector $Y$ of size $N \times 1$.
(1) Define a matrix $\mathbf{H}$ of size $N \times N$ such that $\mathbf{H X}$ is centered (i.e. the sums of each column of $\mathbf{H X}$ are null).
(2) Show that $\mathbf{H X}\left(\operatorname{diag}\left(\mathbf{X}^{T} \mathbf{H}^{2} \mathbf{X}\right)\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ is centered and normalized.
(3) Write the Matlab/Octave implementation of $\mathbf{H X}\left(\operatorname{diag}\left(\mathbf{X}^{T} \mathbf{H}^{2} \mathbf{X}\right)\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$

$$
(\operatorname{diag}(A))_{i j}=a_{i j} 1(j=i) \text { and }\left((\operatorname{diag}(A))_{i j}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{a_{i i}}} 1(j=i)
$$

## Matrix formulas

- Column number $j$ of a matrix $\mathbf{A}$ :

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
a_{1 j} \\
\vdots \\
a_{l j}
\end{array}\right]
$$

- Row number $i$ of a matrix $\mathbf{A}$ :

$$
\left[a_{i 1}, \ldots, a_{i j}\right]
$$

- Left-multiplication of $\mathbf{A}$ by a diagonal matrix $\mathbf{D}=\left[d_{i} 1(j=i)\right]_{i j}$ :
$(D A)_{i j}=d_{i} a_{i j}$
- Right-multiplication of $\mathbf{A}$ by a diagonal matrix $\mathbf{D}=\left[d_{i} 1(j=i)\right]_{i j}$ :

$$
(\mathbf{A D})_{i j}=a_{i j} d_{j}
$$

- Multiplication of two matrices

$$
(\mathbf{A B})_{i j}=\sum_{k} a_{i k} b_{k j}
$$

- Left-multiplication of $\mathbf{B}$ by $\mathbf{A}^{T}$

$$
\left(\mathbf{A}^{T} \mathbf{B}\right)_{i j}=\sum_{k} a_{k i} b_{k j}
$$

## Answer to exercise 46 I

(1) Let $\mathbf{H}$ be a $N \times N$ matrix defined as the identity matrix subtracted to a constant matrix equal to $\frac{1}{N}$ $\mathbf{H}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}1 & \ldots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ldots & \vdots \\ 0 & \ldots & 1\end{array}\right]-\frac{1}{N}\left[\begin{array}{ccc}1 & \ldots & 1 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & \ldots & 1\end{array}\right]$ Components of HX are

$$
(\mathbf{H X})_{i j}=x_{i j}-\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} x_{n j}
$$

The column number $j$ is

$$
\left(x_{1 j}-\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} x_{n j}\right), \ldots,\left(x_{F j}-\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} x_{n j}\right)
$$

## Answer to exercise 46 II

(2) Let $\mathbf{X}^{\prime}=\mathbf{H X}$. $\mathbf{X}^{\prime}$ is centered.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime T} \mathbf{X}^{\prime}\right)_{i j}=\sum_{n=1}^{N} x_{n i}^{\prime} x_{n j}^{\prime} \\
& \left(\operatorname{diag}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\top T} \mathbf{X}^{\prime}\right)\right)_{i j}=\sum_{n=1}^{N}\left(x_{n i}^{\prime}\right)^{2} 1(j=i) \\
& \left(\operatorname{diag}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime T} \mathbf{X}^{\prime}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)_{i j}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\sum_{n=1}^{N}\left(x_{n i}^{\prime}\right)^{2}}} 1(j=i) \\
& \left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \operatorname{diag}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime T} \mathbf{X}^{\prime}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)_{i j}=\frac{x_{i j}^{\prime}}{\sqrt{\sum_{n=1}^{N}\left(x_{n j}^{\prime}\right)^{2}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore $\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \operatorname{diag}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime T} \mathbf{X}^{\prime}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ is the centered and normalized matrix.
And applying the transposing rules, we get

$$
\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \operatorname{diag}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime T} \mathbf{X}^{\prime}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}=\mathbf{H} \mathbf{X} \operatorname{diag}\left(\mathbf{X}^{T} \mathbf{H}^{2} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}
$$

(3) H=eye (N) $-1 / \mathrm{N}$ *ones ( N ) ;

$$
\mathrm{Xp}=\mathrm{H} * \mathrm{X} * \operatorname{diag}\left(\quad \operatorname{diag}(\mathrm{X}, * H * H * X) .^{\wedge}(-1 / 2) \quad\right) ;
$$

## New notations

- $\operatorname{diag}(\mathbf{A})$ is a diagonal matrix composed of the diagonal components of A.
- $\operatorname{diag}(\mathbf{A})^{\alpha}$ when the diagonal components are positive is equal to $A_{i i}^{\alpha}$.


## Conclusion of subsection 1, Data preparation

Normalization gives equal importance to all features regardless of their variance.

## Should we do centering and normalization?

Centering and normalization is generally considered a good practice. However, mean and standard deviation are not kept, it erases some information, this should be done considering the specific experiment.

- If a feature variable has great variance (high value of $\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} x_{n f}$ ), without normalization there is a high risk that only this variable is taken into account.
- If a feature variable contains only noise and has therefore little variance, normalization will give it more importance and data analysis could be compromised.

The given features can provide more information
Polynomial expansions

## Content of section 6, Curse of dimensionality, regularization and sparsity I
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## Can we classify the following datasets with a linear classifier?




## Yes

With $\frac{F(F+1)}{2}$ new features:

$$
\left\{x_{f_{1}} x_{f_{2}} \mid f_{1} \leq f_{2}\right\} \text { here numbered with the lexicographic order }
$$

## Notations

- $\mathbf{x}$ is a feature vector in the feature space $\mathscr{F}$.
- $\stackrel{\omega}{\mathbf{x}}$ is any feature vector in the augmented feature space denoted $\stackrel{\omega}{\mathscr{F}}$.


## Examples of linear classifiers I

## Exercise 47

The goal is to write linear classifiers corresponding to these domains in the feature space composed of two dimensions.


(1) Write equations delimiting the area of the left figure.
(2) Write equations delimiting the area of the right figure.
(3) Define the added features.

## Examples of linear classifiers II

## Exercise

(1) Define two linear classifiers bounding the left area using also the added features.

$$
f(\stackrel{\omega}{\mathbf{x}})=1\left(b_{1}-\mathbf{a}_{1} \cdot \stackrel{\omega}{\mathbf{x}}\right) 1\left(b_{2}-\mathbf{a}_{2} \cdot \stackrel{\omega}{\mathbf{x}}\right)
$$

with $f(\underset{\mathbf{x}}{\omega})=1$ iff $\mathbf{x}$ is inside the domain.
(5) Define two linear classifiers bounding the right area using also the added features.

$$
f(\stackrel{\omega}{\mathbf{x}})=1\left(b_{1}-\mathbf{a}_{1} \cdot \stackrel{\omega}{\mathbf{x}}\right) 1\left(b_{2}-\mathbf{a}_{2} \cdot \stackrel{\omega}{\mathbf{x}}\right)
$$

with $f(\underset{\mathbf{x}}{\mathbf{x}})=1$ iff $\mathbf{x}$ is inside the domain.

## Answer to exercise 47 I

(1)

$$
x_{2} \leq x_{1}+\frac{1}{5} \text { and } x_{2} \geq x_{1}^{2}
$$

(2)

$$
x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2} \geq 0.7^{2} \text { and } x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2} \leq 1
$$

(3) $F=2$ and there are $\frac{F(F+1)}{2}=3$ new features.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \stackrel{\omega}{x}_{3}=x_{1}^{2} \\
& \stackrel{\omega}{x}_{4}=x_{1} x_{2} \\
& \stackrel{\omega}{x}_{5}=x_{2}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Answer to exercise 47 II

(9) The delimiting equations can be written as

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{1}{5}+\stackrel{\omega}{x}_{1}-\stackrel{\omega}{x}_{2} \geq 0 \\
0+\stackrel{\omega}{x}_{2}-\stackrel{\omega}{x}_{3} \geq 0 \\
b_{1}=\frac{1}{5} \quad \mathbf{a}_{1}=[1,-1,0,0,0] \\
b_{2}=0
\end{gathered} \mathbf{a}_{2}=[0,1,-1,0,0] ~ \$
$$

The delimiting equations can be written as

$$
\begin{gathered}
-0.7^{2}+\stackrel{\stackrel{\omega}{x}_{3}}{ }+\stackrel{\omega}{x_{5}} \geq 0 \\
1-\stackrel{\omega}{x}_{3}-\stackrel{\omega}{x}_{5} \geq 0 \\
b_{1}=-0.7^{2} \quad \mathbf{a}_{1}=[0,0,1,0,1] \\
b_{2}=1 \quad \mathbf{a}_{2}=[0,0,-1,0,-1]
\end{gathered}
$$

We introduce some new notations

- $\omega(\mathbf{x})$ is the constructed feature vector.
- $\omega$ is a mapping of $\mathscr{F}$ into $\stackrel{\omega}{\mathscr{F}}$
(i.e. injective or one-to-one but not surjective or onto and clearly not bijective or one-to-one correspondance).
- It is false to claim that $\forall \stackrel{\omega}{\mathbf{x}}, \exists \mathbf{x}, \stackrel{\omega}{\mathbf{x}}=\omega(\mathbf{x})$.
- \|| \| is the Euclidean norm of $\mathscr{F}$ and $\left\|\|_{\omega}\right.$ is the Euclidean norm of $\stackrel{\omega}{\mathscr{F}}$.


## Contradiction between $\mathscr{F}$ and $\omega(\mathscr{F})$

The samples in the dataset is inside $\omega(\mathscr{F})$. However they are considered as members of the 5D-space denoted $\mathscr{F}$.

## Growth of the distances

Generally when norms are compared we have some bounding properties: $\kappa_{1} \leq \frac{\text { norm1 } 1(x)}{\operatorname{norm} 2(x)} \leq \kappa_{2}$ Here we do not have this bounding property.

$$
\|\mathbf{x}\| \sqrt{1+\frac{3}{4}\|\mathbf{x}\|^{2}} \leq\|\omega(\mathbf{x})\|_{\omega} \leq\|\mathbf{x}\| \sqrt{1+\|\mathbf{x}\|^{2}}
$$

fig norm feature construction4


## Most points in $\mathscr{F}$ are far from $\omega(\mathscr{F})$

Average distance between points in $\stackrel{\omega}{\mathscr{F}}$ and points that can be mapped from $\mathscr{F}$ with $\omega$.

$$
d(t)=\mathcal{E}\left[\min _{\mathbf{x}^{\prime} \in \mathscr{F}}\left\{\left\|\omega\left(\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right)-\stackrel{\omega}{\mathbf{x}}\right\|_{\omega} \mid\|\mathbf{x}\|_{\omega}=t\right\}\right]
$$

where $\mathcal{E}$ is expected value when following here the uniform law.


## The closest point are close to where we expect them

We are considering a segment line in $\stackrel{\omega}{\mathscr{F}}$ joining two points in $\omega(\mathscr{F}), \omega\left(\mathbf{x}_{1}\right)$ and $\omega\left(\mathbf{x}_{2}\right)$. And we look for points $\mathbf{x}^{\prime}$ in $\mathscr{F}$ which are mapped into the closest points of the segment line.

$$
\mathbf{x}_{\alpha}=\underset{\mathbf{x}^{\prime} \in \mathscr{F}}{\arg \min }\left\|\alpha \omega\left(\mathbf{x}_{1}\right)+(1-\alpha) \omega\left(\mathbf{x}_{2}\right)-\omega\left(\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right)\right\|_{\omega}
$$

fig geodesic

with $\alpha \in[0,1]$

## New notations

- $\mathcal{F}$ and $\stackrel{\omega}{\mathcal{F}}$
- $\mathbf{x}$ and $\stackrel{\omega}{\mathbf{x}}$
- \|\| and $\left\|\|_{\omega}\right.$
- $\omega$


## Conclusion of subsection 2, Feature construction

- Nonlinear transformations on features can transform a linear classifier into a more complex and possibly more appropriate classifier.
- We have studied the example of quadratic classifier.
- The extended feature space is embedded into a vector space but
- || $\|_{\omega}$ is different in nature from || ||
- \|| || is different in value from $\| \omega\left(\|_{\omega}\right.$
- Most points in the embedded feature space are far from the extended feature space
- The projected points from the embedded space are not exactly where one might expect.


## Reducing dimensions?

## Content of section 6, Curse of dimensionality, regularization and sparsity I
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## An example with $\omega(\mathscr{F})$ I

## Exercise 48

We consider a small dataset

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{x}_{1}=[1,0] \\
& \mathbf{x}_{2}=[0,1] \\
& \mathbf{x}_{3}=[1,1]
\end{aligned}
$$

We consider three new features $x_{1}^{2}, x_{1} x_{2}$ and $x_{2}^{2}$ and its corresponding mapping $\omega$. We consider a first kernel $K$

$$
K\left(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right)=\omega(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \omega\left(\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right)
$$

(1) Express $K$ as function of $\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right]$ and $\left[x_{1}^{\prime}, x_{2}^{\prime}\right]$. Is it left-linear, right-linear?
(2) Compute $\mathbf{K}=\left[K\left(\mathbf{x}_{m}, \mathbf{x}_{n}\right)\right]_{m, n}$
(3) Show that the inverse of $\mathbf{K}$ is defined?

## An example with $\omega(\mathscr{F})$ II

## Exercise

The inverse of $\mathbf{K}$ is

$$
K^{-1}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1.5 & 1 & -1 \\
1 & 1.5 & -1 \\
-1 & -1 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

We define

$$
K(\mathbf{x})=\left[K\left(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}_{1}\right), K\left(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}_{2}\right), K\left(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}_{3}\right)\right] \mathbf{K}^{-1}\left[\begin{array}{l}
\mathbf{x}_{1} \\
\mathbf{x}_{2} \\
\mathbf{x}_{3}
\end{array}\right]
$$

(9) Compute $K\left(\mathbf{x}_{1}\right), K\left(\mathbf{x}_{2}\right)$ and $K\left(\mathbf{x}_{3}\right)$.
(0) Show that there exists $\mathbf{x}$ such that $\omega(\mathbf{x}) \notin \operatorname{span}\left(\omega\left(\mathbf{x}_{1}\right), \omega\left(\mathbf{x}_{2}\right), \omega\left(\mathbf{x}_{3}\right)\right)$. Explain how we could manage to avoid this problem?
(0) Compute $K\left(\mathbf{x}_{1}-\mathbf{x}_{2}\right)$.

## Answer to exercise 48 I

(1)

$$
\mathrm{K}\left(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right)=x_{1} x_{1}^{\prime}+x_{2} x_{2}^{\prime}+x_{1}^{2} x_{1}^{\prime 2}+x_{1} x_{1}^{\prime} x_{2} x_{2}^{\prime}+x_{2}^{2} x_{2}^{\prime 2}
$$

It is not left-linear (nor right-linear for the same reasons). If it were then for $\mathbf{x}^{\prime}=[10]$, the mapping $x_{1} \mapsto x_{1}+x_{1}^{2}$ would be linear.
(2) $(K)_{11}=\mathrm{K}([10],[10])=1 \times 1+0+1^{2} \times 1^{2}+0+0$
$(K)_{12}=K([10],[01])=1 \times 0+0 \times 1+1^{2} \times 0^{2}+1 \times 0 \times 0 \times 1+0^{2} \times 1^{2}$

$$
\mathbf{K}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
2 & 0 & 2 \\
0 & 2 & 2 \\
2 & 2 & 5
\end{array}\right]
$$

(3) $\mathbf{K}$ is invertible because $\operatorname{det}(\mathbf{K}) \neq 0$.

$$
\operatorname{det}(\mathbf{K})=2\left|\begin{array}{ll}
2 & 2 \\
2 & 5
\end{array}\right|+2\left|\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 2 \\
2 & 2
\end{array}\right|=12-8=4
$$

## Answer to exercise 48 II

(9) $\left[K\left(\mathbf{x}_{1}, \mathbf{x}_{m}\right)\right]_{m}$ is the first line of $\mathbf{K},[2,0,2]$ so $\mathrm{K}\left(\mathbf{x}_{1}\right)=\left[K\left(\mathbf{x}_{1}, \mathbf{x}_{m}\right)\right]_{m} \mathbf{K}^{-1}=[1,0,0]$ and $\mathrm{K}\left(\mathbf{x}_{1}\right) \omega(\mathbf{X})=[1,0,1,0,0]$ [ $\left.K\left(\mathbf{x}_{2}, \mathbf{x}_{m}\right)\right]_{m}$ is the first line of $\mathbf{K},[0,2,2]$ so $\mathrm{K}\left(\mathbf{x}_{2}\right)=\left[K\left(\mathbf{x}_{2}, \mathbf{x}_{m}\right)\right]_{m} \mathbf{K}^{-1}=[0,1,0]$ and $\mathrm{K}\left(\mathbf{x}_{2}\right) \omega(\mathbf{X})=[0,1,0,0,1]$ [ $\left.K\left(\mathbf{x}_{3}, \mathbf{x}_{m}\right)\right]_{m}$ is the first line of $\mathbf{K},[2,2,5]$ so $\mathrm{K}\left(\mathbf{x}_{3}\right)=\left[K\left(\mathbf{x}_{2}, \mathbf{x}_{m}\right)\right]_{m} \mathbf{K}^{-1}=[0,0,1]$ and $\mathrm{K}\left(\mathbf{x}_{3}\right) \omega(\mathbf{X})=[1,1,1,1,1]$
(3) Let us consider $\mathbf{x}^{\prime}=[1,-1]$.

$$
\omega\left(\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right)=[1,-1,1,-1,1]
$$

(0) To see if $\omega(\mathbf{x}) \notin \operatorname{span}\left(\omega\left(\mathbf{x}_{1}\right), \omega\left(\mathbf{x}_{2}\right), \omega\left(\mathbf{x}_{3}\right)\right)$, we set $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$ such that

$$
\alpha \omega\left(\mathbf{x}_{1}\right)+\beta \omega\left(\mathbf{x}_{2}\right)+\gamma \omega\left(\mathbf{x}_{3}\right)+\delta \omega\left(\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right)=0
$$

and we try to show that they are necessarily equal to 0 .

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\alpha+\gamma+\delta=0 \\
\beta+\gamma-\delta=0 \\
\alpha+\gamma+\delta=0 \\
\gamma-\delta=0 \\
\beta+\gamma+\delta=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

## Answer to exercise 48 III

And indeed. When we add samples, we quickly get to span the whole constructed feature space.
( 1

$$
K\left(\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right)=\left[\omega\left(\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right) \cdot \omega(\mathbf{X})\right]_{n} \mathbf{K}^{-1}=[2,0,-1] \mathbf{K}^{-1}=[2,1,-1]
$$

and $K\left(\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right) \omega(\mathbf{X})=[1,0,1,-1,0]$ Now we want to show that

$$
\mathrm{K}\left(\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right) \omega(\mathbf{X}) \notin \omega(\mathscr{F})
$$

If this was wrong then there would exists $x_{1}^{\prime \prime}, x_{2}^{\prime \prime}$ such that

$$
x_{1}^{\prime \prime}=1, \quad x_{2}^{\prime \prime}=0, \quad x_{1}^{\prime \prime 2}=1, \quad x_{1}^{\prime \prime} x_{2}^{\prime \prime}=-1, \quad x_{2}^{\prime \prime 2}=0
$$

This is not possible.

## Kernel trick

## Basic idea

$$
\mathrm{x} . \mathrm{x}^{\prime} \text { is replaced by } \mathrm{K}\left(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{x}^{\prime}\right)
$$

- K is called a kernel.
- We only need to have $K\left(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right)=K\left(\mathbf{x}^{\prime}, \mathbf{x}\right)$.
- We do not need left or right linearity.


## Samples act as a basis

Not an orthogonal basis, but a generally overcomplete basis.

## Representing theorem

This theorem states that all samples in the induced feature space can be represented using the data samples using the kernel. It is based on the minimization of a loss function

## General scheme



## Definitions

- Kernel matrix

$$
\mathbf{K}=\left[\mathrm{K}\left(\mathbf{x}_{m}, \mathbf{x}_{n}\right)\right]_{n m}
$$

- Kernel values on the dataset as a row vector

$$
\left[\mathrm{K}\left(\mathbf{x},(\mathbf{X})_{n}\right)\right]_{n}
$$

- Mapping in the kernel-induced space

$$
K(\mathbf{x})
$$

- Back to the feature space

$$
K(x) X
$$

## Nonlinearity remains an issue

This is more adapted to SVM (support vector machine) that uses a dual expression.

## Testing the representation theorem

- The X -axis is $N$
- The Y -axis is

$$
\operatorname{mean}_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathscr{F}}\left(\left\|\omega\left(\frac{\mathbf{x}}{\|\mathbf{x}\|}\right)-\mathrm{K}(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{X}\right\|\right)
$$

- Samples are drawn with $\stackrel{r}{\mathbf{x}} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(0, \operatorname{diag}\left(\left[\begin{array}{ll}1 & 1\end{array}\right]\right)\right)$
- The average is computed 10000 experiments.



## Exercise 49

Write an algorithm to test the representation theorem on the kernel derived from $\mathbf{x} \mapsto \omega(\mathbf{x})$.

## Answer to exercise 49 |

Require: N,I
Ensure: d
1: $d=0$
2: for $i=1$ : $/$ do
3: $\quad$ Draw the $N$ samples to get $\mathbf{X}$
4: $\quad$ Compute $\omega(\mathbf{X})$
5: $\quad$ Compute K
6: $\quad$ Set $\mathbf{K}:=\mathbf{K}+10^{-5} \mathbf{I}$
7: $\quad$ Compute $\mathbf{K}^{-1}$
8: $\quad$ Draw $\mathbf{x}$ and normalize it.
9: $\quad$ Compute $\mathbf{x}^{\prime}=[\omega(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \omega(\mathbf{X}(1,:)) \ldots] \mathbf{K}^{-1}$
10: $\quad$ Update $d$ with $d:=d+\left\|\mathbf{x}^{\prime} \omega(\mathbf{X})-\omega(\mathbf{x})\right\|_{\mathscr{F}}$.
11: $d:=\frac{d}{l}$

## Conclusion of subsection 3, Kernel trick

- To represent samples in a feature space, it is custom to use an orthonormal basis, with which we have

$$
\mathbf{x}=\sum_{n=1}^{N}\left(\mathbf{e}_{n} \cdot \mathbf{x}_{n}\right) \mathbf{e}_{n}
$$

- Here we have a more general representing technique. Instead of using orthogonality we inverse a matrix.
- And when that matrix is singular we add a diagonal matrix. This is regularization.


## Why could this be a problem to add features?

We have seen technique to increase the number of features. We are going to see that this could be an issue.

## Content of section 6, Curse of dimensionality, regularization and sparsity I

```
6.1 Data preparation
6.2 Feature construction
6.3 Kernel trick
6.4 Curse of dimensionality and feature extraction
6.5 Principal Component Analysis
6.6 Supervised feature extraction
6.7 Regularization
6.8 Feature selection
```


## Reasons to do feature extraction?

## Numerical complexity

As of now, time is generally not the main issue. However numerical complexity can increase exponentially. We might choose to use the increase numerical complexity for other task.

## Hughes phenomenon

This is also called the curse of dimensionality.
If when inverting a matrix, you see the following warning, it could be an indication to reduce the dimensionality.

```
warning: matrix singular to machine precision, rcond = 1.5664
warning: called from
```


## Example of this phenomenon

The training set contains 10 samples. We use the $L_{2}$-solver.

$$
\dot{y} \sim \mathcal{U}(\{0,1\}) \text { and }\left.\left.\stackrel{r}{\mathbf{x}}\right|_{y=0} \sim \mathcal{N}(-1,1) \quad \stackrel{r}{\mathbf{x}}\right|_{y=1} \sim \mathcal{N}(1,1)
$$

Require: $F$ dimension of feature space
Ensure: $\mathrm{A}_{1}, \mathrm{~A}_{2}, \mathrm{~A}_{3}$
1: for 500 experiments do
2: $\quad$ Draw $Y$ and $\mathbf{X}$
3: $\quad$ Learn $\mathbf{w}_{1}$ from $\mathbf{X}$ and $Y$
4: Learn $\mathbf{w}_{2}$ from $\mathbf{X} 1_{F}^{T}$ and $Y$
5: Draw $Y_{t}$ and $\mathbf{X}_{t}$
6: $\quad$ Compute $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ with $Y_{t}$ and $\mathbf{w}_{1}$-predictions.
7: $\quad$ Compute $\mathrm{A}_{2}$ with $Y_{t}$ and $\mathbf{w}_{2}$-predictions.
8: $\quad$ Draw $x_{1}$ and $x_{0}$
9: Draw noisy copies of $X_{1}$ and $x_{0}$ into $X_{3}, Y_{3}$
10: Learn $w_{3}$ from $X_{3}$ and $Y_{3}$
11: Compute $A_{3}$ with $Y_{t}$ and $w_{2}$-predictions.
12: Average $\mathrm{A}_{1}, \mathrm{~A}_{2}, \mathrm{~A}_{3}$.

## Simulations

## average accuracy fig hughes



## Feature extraction <br> feature selection

- Experiment

With feature extraction, we try to find linear combinations of existing features that captures most information.

- Experiment

With feature selection, we try to keep only the most informative features.

## Is a dataset of high dimension?

It is tempting to read this issue from the number of features in a given dataset. However this may not be relevant.

## Goal

- Have a reduced number of features. It is also called dimensionality reduction.
- extraction as opposed to selection, it means that all features changed.



## Feature values are changed?

- Stored features values are modified.
- The original feature values can be recovered with the inverse transform (if we do not reduce the number of components).
- Geometric interpretation: same points but different axis and different coordinates.


## Conclusion of subsection 4, Curse of dimensionality and feature extraction

To illustrate the need for feature extraction, we made three experiments.

- $x$ are drawn with respect to $y$
- The obtained $\mathbf{x}$ are replaced by the mean.
- $x_{1}$ and $x_{0}$ are drawn and the remaining features are copies.

The first experiment shows the need for feature extraction. The third experiment shows the need for feature selection.

A popular feature extraction technique
We will see in detail PCA (principal component analysis), an unsupervised technique.

## Content of section 6, Curse of dimensionality, regularization and sparsity I

```
6.1 Data preparation
6.2 Feature construction
6.3 Kernel trick
6.4 Curse of dimensionality and feature extraction
6.5 Principal Component Analysis
6.6 Supervised feature extraction
6.7 Regularization
6.8 Feature selection
```


## Principal Component Analysis

- Unsupervised technique
- In 2D and 3D, features are rotated.
- New features are ordered by order of importance.
- We may keep only the most important.


## PCA: getting the transformation matrix $\mathbf{P}$

## Require: X centered

Ensure: P and D
1: Compute covariance matrix $\mathbf{X}^{T} \mathbf{X}$
2: Compute the eigenvalue decomposition yielding $\mathbf{V}_{1}$ and $\mathbf{D}_{1}$
3: Find a permutation order to have decreasing eigenvalues
4: Apply the permutation order to transform $\mathbf{V}_{1}$ and $\mathbf{D}_{1}$ into $\mathbf{P}$ and $\mathbf{D}$
$[\mathrm{V} 1, \mathrm{D} 1]=\operatorname{eig}\left(\mathrm{X}{ }^{\prime} * \mathrm{X}\right)$;
[~, ind] $=\operatorname{sort}(\mathrm{D} 1)$;
$\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{V} 1 *$ eye (size(D)) (ind, :) ;
D=D1*eye(size(D))(ind,:);

## PCA in a nutshell

Linear algebra

$$
\mathbf{X} \quad \rightarrow \quad P, D
$$

Matrix computations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P \quad \rightarrow \quad \mathrm{PCA}_{\mathcal{P}} \\
& P, F_{1} \quad \rightarrow \quad \mathrm{PCA}_{\mathcal{T P}}, \mathrm{PCA}_{\mathcal{T}} \\
& D, F_{1} \quad \rightarrow \quad \mathrm{~A}_{\mathcal{T P C A}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Analysis and synthesis

$$
\mathbf{x} \rightarrow \overbrace{\operatorname{PCA}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbf{x})}^{\in \mathcal{P}} \rightarrow \mathbf{x}
$$

Approximation

$$
\mathbf{x} \rightarrow \overbrace{\operatorname{PCA}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbf{x})}^{\in \mathcal{P}} \quad{ }_{F} \overbrace{\operatorname{PCA}_{\mathcal{T} \mathcal{P}}(\mathbf{x})}^{\in \mathcal{P} \text { or } \in \mathcal{P}_{F_{1}}} \rightarrow \operatorname{PCA}_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathbf{x})
$$

Accuracy of approximation

$$
\frac{\left\|\mathbf{x}-\mathrm{PCA}_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathbf{x})\right\|}{\|\mathbf{x}\|} \text { is on average equal to } 1-\mathrm{A}_{\mathcal{T P C A}}
$$

## What we get with PCA I

## Analysis

Given $\mathbf{x}$, we transform into

$$
\operatorname{PCA}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbf{x})=\mathbf{x} \mathbf{P}
$$

Components are statistically independent from each others

$$
f \neq f^{\prime} \Rightarrow \quad \sum_{n=1}^{N}\left(\operatorname{PCA}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbf{x})\right)_{n f}\left(\operatorname{PCA}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbf{x})\right)_{n f^{\prime}}=0
$$

We can construct approximations by truncating the vector.

$$
\operatorname{PCA}_{\mathcal{T P}}(\mathbf{x})=\quad \mathbf{x P d i a g}\left(\left[{ }^{<-F_{1}->} 1,0 \ldots 0\right]\right)
$$

## What we get with PCA: II

## Synthesis

Given $\mathrm{PCA}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbf{x})$, we get $\mathbf{x}$

$$
\mathbf{x}=\mathrm{PCA}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{P}^{T}
$$

Given the truncated vector $\operatorname{PCA}_{\mathcal{T} \mathcal{P}}(\mathbf{x})$, we get a good approximation of $\mathbf{x}$, denoted $\mathrm{PCA}_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathbf{x})$

$$
\operatorname{PCA}_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathbf{x})=\operatorname{PCA}_{\mathcal{T} \mathcal{P}}(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{P}^{T}
$$

We also have an orthogonality property

$$
\operatorname{PCA}_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathbf{x}) \cdot\left(\mathbf{x}-\operatorname{PCA}_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathbf{x})\right)=0
$$

The accuracy of the approximation is

$$
\mathrm{A}_{\mathcal{T P C A}}=1-\operatorname{mean}_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{X}} \frac{\left\|\mathbf{x}-\operatorname{PCA}_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathbf{x})\right\|^{2}}{\|\mathbf{x}\|^{2}}
$$

where $\|\mathbf{x}\|^{2}=\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{x}=\mathbf{x x}^{T}$
Perhaps in terms of accuracy, it would have made more sense to consider

$$
1-\frac{\left\|\mathbf{x}-\operatorname{PCA}_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathbf{x})\right\|}{\|\mathbf{x}\|}
$$

But then we loose an easy connection with variance.

## Accuracy as a function of $F_{1}$ and $D$

- PCA yields a diagonal matrix $D$

$$
D=\operatorname{diag}\left(\lambda_{1} \ldots \lambda_{F}\right)
$$

with $\lambda_{1} \geq \lambda_{2} \geq \ldots \geq \lambda_{F}$

- $F_{1}$ is the number of components not canceled in $\mathcal{P}$
- The accuracy is

$$
\mathrm{A}_{\mathcal{T P C A}}=\frac{\sum_{f=1}^{F_{1}} \lambda_{f}}{\sum_{f=1}^{F} \lambda_{f}}=\frac{1}{\operatorname{tr}(D)} \sum_{f=1}^{F_{1}} \lambda_{f}
$$

## Illustrating the notations in a toy example I

## Exercise 50

We consider a tiny dataset with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{x}_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{2}{3} & \frac{1}{3}
\end{array}\right] \\
& \mathbf{x}_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{1}{3} & \frac{2}{3}
\end{array}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

(1) Compute $\mathbf{X}$ and $\mathbf{X}^{T} \mathbf{X}$

We assume that using a $P C A$-algorithm we found $\mathbf{P}$ and $\mathbf{D}$

$$
\mathbf{P}=\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 1 \\
1 & -1
\end{array}\right] \text { and } \mathbf{D}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & \frac{1}{9}
\end{array}\right]
$$

(2) Write the analysis and synthesis equations and check that we have a perfect reconstruction.

## Illustrating the notations in a toy example II

## Exercise

(3) Considering that we keep only one component, write the approximation scheme.
(9) Check the orthogonality property.
(5) Compute $\|\mathbf{x}\|^{2}, \| \mathbf{x}-$ PCA $\mathcal{T}(\mathbf{x}) \|^{2}$
(0) Compute $A_{\text {TPCA }}$
(1) Check the $\mathbf{X}$-signification of $A_{\text {TPCA }}$

## Answer to exercise 50 I

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{x}_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{2}{3} & \frac{1}{3}
\end{array}\right] \\
& \mathbf{x}_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{1}{3} & \frac{2}{3}
\end{array}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

(1)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{X}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{2}{3} & \frac{1}{3} \\
\frac{1}{3} & \frac{2}{3}
\end{array}\right]=\mathbf{X}^{T} \\
& \mathbf{X}^{T} \mathbf{X}=\frac{1}{9}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
5 & 4 \\
4 & 5
\end{array}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

## Answer to exercise 50 II

(2)

$$
\mathbf{x} \rightarrow \overbrace{\operatorname{PCA}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbf{x})}^{\in \mathcal{P}} \rightarrow \mathbf{x}
$$

We denote $\mathbf{e}_{1}^{T}, \mathbf{e}_{2}^{T}$ the column vectors of $P$

$$
\mathbf{P}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\mathbf{e}_{1}^{T} \mathbf{e}_{2}^{T}
\end{array}\right] \text { with } \mathbf{e}_{1}=\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 1
\end{array}\right], \quad \mathbf{e}_{2}=\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & -1
\end{array}\right]
$$

For the analysis we get

$$
\operatorname{PCA}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbf{x})=\mathbf{x P}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\mathbf{x e}_{1}^{T} & b x \mathbf{e}_{2}^{T}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\left(x_{1}+x_{2}\right) & \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\left(x_{1}-x_{2}\right)
\end{array}\right]
$$

Denoting the component of $\operatorname{PCA}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbf{x})$ as $x_{1}^{\prime}, x_{2}^{\prime}$, we get for the synthesis

$$
\operatorname{PCA}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{P}^{T}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
x_{1}^{\prime} & x_{2}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
\mathbf{e}_{1} \\
\mathbf{e}_{2}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\left(x_{1}^{\prime}+x_{2}^{\prime}\right) & \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\left(x_{1}^{\prime}-x_{2}^{\prime}\right)
\end{array}\right]
$$

## Answer to exercise 50 III

To check $\operatorname{PCA}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{P}^{T}=\mathbf{x}$, we check the first component

$$
\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\left(x_{1}^{\prime}+x_{2}^{\prime}\right)=\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\left(\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\left(x_{1}+x_{2}\right)+\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\left(x_{1}-x_{2}\right)\right)=x_{1}
$$

then the second component

$$
\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\left(x_{1}^{\prime}-x_{2}^{\prime}\right)=\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\left(\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\left(x_{1}+x_{2}\right)-\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\left(x_{1}-x_{2}\right)\right)=x_{2}
$$

(3)

$$
\mathbf{x} \rightarrow \overbrace{\operatorname{PCA}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbf{x})}^{\in \mathcal{P}} \quad{ }_{\rightarrow} \overbrace{\operatorname{PCA}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbf{x})}^{\in \mathcal{P} \text { or } \in \mathcal{P}_{F_{1}}} \rightarrow \operatorname{PCA}_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathbf{x})
$$

We have shown previously

$$
\operatorname{PCA}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbf{x})=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\left(x_{1}+x_{2}\right) & \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\left(x_{1}-x_{2}\right)
\end{array}\right]
$$

As we keep only the first component,

$$
\operatorname{PCA}_{\mathcal{T} \mathcal{P}}(\mathbf{x})=\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\left(x_{1}+x_{2}\right)
$$

## Answer to exercise 50 IV

After synthesis, we get

$$
\operatorname{PCA}_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathbf{x})=\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\left(x_{1}+x_{2}\right) \mathbf{e}_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{x_{1}+x_{2}}{2} & \frac{x_{1}+x_{2}}{2}
\end{array}\right]
$$

(9) The difference between $\mathbf{x}$ and its approximation $\operatorname{PCA}_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathbf{x})$ is

$$
\mathbf{x}-\operatorname{PCA}_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathbf{x})=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{x_{1}-x_{2}}{2} & \frac{x_{2}-x_{1}}{2}
\end{array}\right]
$$

The orthogonality property claims that $\left(\mathbf{x}-\operatorname{PCA}_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathbf{x})\right) \cdot \operatorname{PCA}_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathbf{x})=0$

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{x_{1}-x_{2}}{2} & \frac{x_{2}-x_{1}}{2}
\end{array}\right] \cdot\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{x_{1}+x_{2}}{2} & \frac{x_{1}+x_{2}}{2}
\end{array}\right]=0
$$

(5) The square norm of $\mathbf{x}$ is

$$
\|\mathbf{x}\|^{2}=\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{x}=x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}
$$

The square norm of $\mathbf{x}-\operatorname{PCA}_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathbf{x})$ is

$$
\left\|\mathbf{x}-\operatorname{PCA}_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathbf{x})\right\|^{2}=\frac{\left(x_{1}-x_{2}\right)^{2}}{2}
$$

## Answer to exercise 50 V

(0) Since $\mathbf{D}=\operatorname{diag}\left(\left[\begin{array}{ll}1 & \frac{1}{9}\end{array}\right]\right)$,

$$
\mathrm{A}_{\text {TPCA }}=\frac{1}{1+\frac{1}{9}}=\frac{9}{10}
$$

(1) The signification of $\mathrm{A}_{\text {TPCA }}$ for $\mathbf{x}$

$$
1-\frac{\left\|\mathbf{x}-\mathrm{PCA}_{\tau}(\mathbf{x})\right\|^{2}}{\|\mathbf{x}\|^{2}}=1-\frac{\frac{\left(x_{1}-x_{2}\right)^{2}}{2}}{x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}}=1-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\left(x_{1}-x_{2}\right)^{2}}{x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}}
$$

When $\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{x}_{1}$, we get

$$
1-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\left(\frac{2}{3}-\frac{1}{3}\right)^{2}}{\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^{2}}=1-\frac{1}{10}
$$

When $\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{x}_{2}$, we get

$$
1-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{3}-\frac{2}{3}\right)^{2}}{\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{2}}=1-\frac{1}{10}
$$

Hence

$$
\operatorname{mean}_{\mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{X}}\left(1-\frac{\| \mathrm{x}-\mathrm{PCA}\left(\mathbf{x}(\mathrm{x}) \|^{2}\right.}{\|\mathbf{x}\|^{2}}\right)=1-\frac{1}{10}=\mathrm{A}_{\mathcal{T P C A}}
$$

## Insight into the use of $\mathbf{X}^{\top} \mathbf{X}$

A $F$-multivariate distribution is defined with a mean $\mu$ and a covariance matrix $\Sigma$

$$
f_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{x})=\frac{1}{(2 \pi|\operatorname{det}(\Sigma)|)^{F / 2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu}) \Sigma^{-1}\left(\mathbf{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu}^{T}\right)}
$$

$$
\Sigma=\mathcal{E}\left[\left(\mathbf{x}^{r}-\boldsymbol{\mu}\right)^{T}(\stackrel{r}{\mathbf{x}}-\boldsymbol{\mu})\right]
$$

## Notation

$\stackrel{r}{x}$ denotes a random row vector.

## Variance computations

## Exercise 51

We consider two independant Gaussian random variable $\stackrel{r}{z}$ and $\stackrel{r}{z_{2}}$ centered and normalised.

$$
\stackrel{r}{z}_{1} \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1) \text { and } \stackrel{r}{z_{2}} \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1)
$$

We define a random vector

$$
\stackrel{r}{\mathbf{x}}=\left[\frac{2}{3} z_{1}+\frac{1}{3} z_{2}, \quad \frac{1}{3} z_{1}+\frac{2}{3} z_{2}\right]
$$

(1) Compute the covariance matrix using $\Sigma=\mathcal{E}\left[(\underset{x}{r}-\boldsymbol{\mu})^{T}\left(\mathbf{x}^{r}-\boldsymbol{\mu}\right)\right]$

## Answer to exercise 51 I

$$
\stackrel{r}{\mathrm{x}}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{2}{3} z_{1}+\frac{1}{3} z_{2}, & \frac{1}{3} z_{1}+\frac{2}{3} z_{2}
\end{array}\right]
$$

(1) Here $\boldsymbol{\mu}=0$ so the covariance matrix is $\mathbb{E}\left[\mathbf{x}^{T} \mathbf{x}\right]$.

$$
\stackrel{r}{r}^{T} \mathbf{r}=\left[\begin{array}{cl}
\frac{4}{9}\left(z_{1}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{9}\left(z_{2}\right)^{2}+\frac{4}{9} z_{1} r_{2} & \frac{2}{9}\left(z_{1}\right)^{2}+\frac{2}{9}\left(r_{2}\right)^{2}+\frac{5}{9} z_{1}^{r} z_{2} \\
\frac{2}{9}\left(z_{1}\right)^{2}+\frac{2}{9}\left(z_{2}\right)^{2}+\frac{5}{9} z_{1} z_{1} z_{2} & \frac{4}{9}\left(z_{1}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{9}\left(z_{2}\right)^{2}+\frac{4}{9} z_{1} z_{2}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Because these are independant Gaussian distributions, we have

$$
\mathcal{E}\left[\left(z_{l}\right)^{2}\right]=\mathcal{E}\left[\left(z_{2}^{r}\right)^{2}\right]=1 \text { and } \mathcal{E}\left[\begin{array}{r}
r \\
z_{l} z_{2}
\end{array}\right]=0
$$

So we get

$$
\mathcal{E}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
r^{T} & r \\
\mathbf{X} & \mathbf{X}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{5}{9} & \frac{4}{9} \\
\frac{4}{9} & \frac{5}{9}
\end{array}\right]
$$

## Contours I

## Exercise 52

We consider a centered multivariate normal distribution

$$
\mathbf{x} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \Sigma) \text { and } \Sigma=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{5}{9} & \frac{4}{9} \\
4 & \frac{5}{9}
\end{array}\right]
$$

We want to find the locus of equal density probability of $\mathbf{x}$.
(1) Show that this locus fullfills

$$
J=\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{x} \Sigma^{-1} \mathbf{x}^{T}
$$

with a probability density of $\frac{9}{2 \pi} e^{-J}$
(2) Check that

$$
\Sigma^{-1}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
5 & -4 \\
-4 & 5
\end{array}\right]
$$

(3) Defining $\mathbf{x}$ with coordinates: $\mathbf{x}=\left[x_{1} x_{2}\right]$, show that they fullfill

$$
2 J=5 x_{1}^{2}-8 x_{1} x_{2}+5 x_{2}^{2}
$$

## Contours II

## Exercise

(1) We now use polar coordinates $x_{1}=r \cos (\theta)$ and $x_{2}=r \sin (\theta)$. Show that

$$
r(\theta)=\frac{\sqrt{2 J}}{\sqrt{5-4 \sin (2 \theta)}}
$$

and hence that a parametric description of the contour is

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x(\theta)=r(\theta) \cos (\theta) \\
y(\theta)=r(\theta) \sin (\theta)
\end{array}\right.
$$

(6) Describe the contour and find its closest and farthest points.
(0) Find a unit vector along the farthest point's direction. We will see that this is the first eigenvector and hence the first column of the $P$-matrix.

## Simulations

Using the theoretical equations, fig ex25


By drawing 1000 points of $\underset{z_{1}}{\underset{z}{r}} \underset{z_{2}}{r}$, and computing $\mathbf{x}$,
fig ex25


## Answer to exercise 521

$$
f_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{x})=\frac{1}{2 \pi|\operatorname{det}(\Sigma)|} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{x} \Sigma^{-1} \mathbf{x}^{T}}
$$

(1) By defining $J=\frac{1}{2} \times \Sigma^{-1} \mathbf{x}^{T}$, we get

$$
\begin{gathered}
f_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{x})=\frac{1}{2 \pi|\operatorname{det}(\Sigma)|} e^{-J} \\
2 J=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
x_{1} & x_{2}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{cc}
5 & -4 \\
-4 & 5
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
x_{1} \\
x_{2}
\end{array}\right] \\
2 J=\begin{array}{c}
5 x_{1} x_{1}+ \\
-4 x_{1} x_{2}+ \\
-4 x_{2} x_{1}+5 x_{2} x_{2} \\
2 J=5 x_{1}^{2}-8 x_{1} x_{2}+5 x_{2}^{2}
\end{array}
\end{gathered}
$$

(2) Because $\sin (2 \theta)=2 \sin (\theta) \cos (\theta)$, and

$$
\operatorname{det}(\Sigma)=\operatorname{det}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{5}{9} & \frac{4}{9} \\
\frac{4}{9} & \frac{5}{9}
\end{array}\right]=\frac{25-16}{81}=\frac{1}{9}
$$

## Answer to exercise 52 II

- 

$$
\Sigma * \Sigma^{-1}=\frac{1}{9}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
25-16 & -20+20 \\
-20+20 & 25-16
\end{array}\right]
$$

(9)

$$
\begin{gathered}
2 J=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
x_{1} & x_{2}
\end{array}\right] \Sigma^{-1}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
5 & -4 \\
-4 & 5
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
x_{1} \\
x_{2}
\end{array}\right] \\
2 J=\left[5 x_{1}-4 x_{2}-4 x_{1}+5 x_{2}\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

we get

$$
2 J=r^{2}(5-4 \sin (2 \theta))
$$

And finally

$$
r=\sqrt{\frac{2 J}{5-4 \sin (2 \theta)}}
$$

## Answer to exercise 52 III

(5) When $\theta \in\left[-\frac{\pi}{4}, \frac{\pi}{4}\right], \theta \mapsto \sin (2 \theta)$ is an increasing function, $\theta \mapsto-\sin (2 \theta)$ is decreasing and $r=\sqrt{\frac{2 J}{5-4 \sin (2 \theta)}}$ is increasing. The closest point is when $\sin (2 \theta)$ is minimal that is $\theta=-\frac{\pi}{4}$ or $\theta=\frac{3 \pi}{4}$. The farthest point is when $\sin (2 \theta)$ is maximal that is $\theta=\frac{\pi}{4}$ or $\theta=-\frac{3 \pi}{4} . \theta \mapsto r(\theta)$ ranges between those two extreme points.
(0) The farthest point is obtained with $\theta=\frac{\pi}{4}$, that is with $x=\cos \left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right)=\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}$ and $y=\sin \left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right)=\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}$. The corresponding unit vector is $\left[\begin{array}{ll}\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} & \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\end{array}\right]$.

## Trace and variance

Let $\mathbf{x} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \Sigma)$

## An experiment

1: for $i=1: 10^{5}$ do
2: $\quad$ Draw randomly $\Sigma$ of size $5 \times 5$.
3: $\quad$ Rescale $\Sigma$ so that $\operatorname{tr}(\Sigma)=1$.
4: $\quad$ Draw $\mathbf{x}$ of size $1 \times 5$ following $\mathcal{N}(0, \Sigma)$.
5: $\quad$ Store $\mathbf{x x}^{T}$
6: Plot histogram of the stored values
The simulation shows:
$\mathbf{x x}^{T}$ is very unlikely to be equal to $\operatorname{tr}(\Sigma)$, the average of $\mathbf{x x ^ { T }}$ is $\operatorname{tr}(\Sigma)$.

## Variance

## fig explain variance



## Mean adds to the variance

- In the previous experience $\boldsymbol{\mu}=0$. If not we have to replace $\mathbf{x}$ with $\mathbf{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu}$.
- The mean's square adds to the variance

$$
\mathcal{E}\left[\mathbf{x}(\underline{\mathbf{x}})^{T}\right]=\operatorname{var}(\underline{\mathbf{x}})+\mathcal{E}[\mathbf{x}] \mathcal{E}[\mathbf{x}]^{T}=\operatorname{tr}(\Sigma)+\boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\mu}^{T}
$$

- In the previous experiment, when we draw $\mathbf{x}$, its mean is non-zero. This non-zero mean is a significant contribution to the measured $\mathbf{x x}^{T}$ as $(\mathbf{x}-\operatorname{mean}(\mathbf{x}))(\mathbf{x}-\operatorname{mean}(\mathbf{x}))^{T}$ would be on average much smaller!


## Accuracy of the approximation

Let $\stackrel{r}{\mathbf{x}} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \Sigma)$ and $\mathrm{PCA}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(\begin{array}{r}\mathrm{r}\end{array}\right)$ its $F_{1}$-component PCA-approximation.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{E}\left[\left\|r \mathbf{r}-\mathrm{PCA}_{\mathcal{T}}\left({ }^{r}\right)\right\|^{2}\right]=\left(1-\mathrm{A}_{\mathcal{T}, \mathrm{PCA}}\left(\Sigma, F_{1}\right)\right) \operatorname{tr}(\Sigma) \\
& \mathcal{E}\left[\frac{\left\|\dot{x}-\mathrm{PCA}\left(\mathrm{x}^{( }\right)\right\|^{2}}{\|\mathbf{x}\|^{2}}\right]=1-\mathrm{A}_{\mathcal{T}, \mathrm{PCA}}\left(\Sigma, F_{1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## An experiment

1: for $i=1: 10^{5}$ do
2: $\quad$ Draw randomly $\Sigma$ of size $5 \times 5$.
3: $\quad$ Rescale $\Sigma$ so that $\operatorname{tr}(\Sigma)=1$.
4: $\quad$ With $F_{1}=1$, compute $A(i):=\mathrm{A}_{\tau, \text { PCA }}$
5: $\quad$ Draw $\mathbf{x}$ of size $1 \times 5$ following $\mathcal{N}(0, \Sigma)$.
6: $\quad$ Compute and store $a(i):=\| \mathbf{x}-$ PCA $_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathbf{x}) \|^{2}$
7: $\quad$ Compute and store $b(i):=\left(\| \mathbf{x}-\right.$ PCAT $\left._{\mathcal{T}}(\mathbf{x}) \|^{2}\right) /\|\mathbf{x}\|^{2}$
8: Plot histogram of $\frac{a(i)}{1-\mathrm{A}(i)}$ and of $\frac{b(i)}{1-\mathrm{A}(i)}$

## Variance 2

fig explain variance2


$$
\frac{\left\|\mathbf{x}-\operatorname{PCA}_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathbf{x})\right\|^{2}}{1-\mathrm{A}_{\mathcal{T}, \mathrm{PCA}}}
$$

fig explain variance2


$$
\frac{\left\|\mathbf{x}-\operatorname{PCA}_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathbf{x})\right\|^{2}}{\|\mathbf{x}\|^{2}\left(1-\mathrm{A}_{\mathcal{T}, \mathrm{PCA}}\right)}
$$

## Probability distribution of the square root of the relative error

fig explain variance 2


## Frobenius norm and variance

- $\left\|\|_{\mathcal{F}}^{2}\right.$ has a definition using trace.

$$
\|\mathbf{X}\|^{2}=\operatorname{tr}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\top} \mathbf{X}\right)
$$

- $\left\|\|_{\mathcal{F}}\right.$ is a matrix norm (one among many).

$$
\|\mathbf{X}\|_{\mathcal{F}}=\sqrt{\sum_{n, f} x_{n f}^{2}}
$$

- It has a link with the eigenvalue decomposition problem of $\mathbf{X}^{T} \mathbf{X}$

$$
\|\mathbf{X}\|_{\mathcal{F}}^{2}=\operatorname{tr}(D)=\sum_{f=1}^{F} \lambda_{f}
$$

- It has a link with $\Sigma$ and variance.


## $\mathbf{X}^{\top} \mathbf{X}$ and $\mathbf{x} \mathbf{x}^{\top}$ why?

## Here $\mathbf{X}$ is obtained by stacking row vectors $\mathbf{X}_{n}$

$\mathbf{X}$ is also the concatenation of column vectors $X_{f}$.

- $\mathbf{X}^{T} \mathbf{X}$ is also a $F \times F$ matrix.

$$
\mathbf{X}^{T} \mathbf{X}=\sum_{n=1}^{N} \mathbf{x}_{n}^{T} \mathbf{x}_{n}
$$

- $\mathbf{x x}^{T}$ is a scalar $\left(\|\mathbf{x}\|^{2}\right)$.
- $\mathrm{x}^{T} \mathbf{x}$ is a $F \times F$ matrix.
- $\frac{1}{\mathbf{x x}^{T}} \mathbf{x}^{T} \mathbf{x}$ is a projector along $\mathbf{x}$.
- $\mathbf{X}^{T} \mathbf{X}$ is an estimate of the covariance matrix.

$$
\mathbf{X}^{T} \mathbf{X}=\left[X_{f} X_{f^{\prime}}\right]_{f, f^{\prime}}
$$

- $\mathrm{XX}^{T}$ is a $N \times N$ matrix with components $\left[\mathbf{x}_{n} \mathbf{x}_{n^{\prime}}^{T}\right]_{n, n^{\prime}}$.

$$
\|\mathbf{X}\|_{\mathcal{F}}^{2}=\operatorname{tr}\left(\mathbf{X}^{T} \mathbf{X}\right)=\operatorname{tr}\left(\mathbf{X} \mathbf{X}^{T}\right)
$$

## A PCA algorithm I

## Projector along axis e

$$
\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{x})=\mathbf{x e}^{T} \mathbf{e}
$$

When applied to a matrix it renders a matrix whos rows are the projected rows

$$
\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{X})=\mathbf{X} \mathbf{e}^{T} \mathbf{e}
$$

## Direction explaining best the variance

We look for $\mathbf{e}$ such that $\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{X})$ is maximal in some sense.

$$
\widehat{\mathbf{e}}=\underset{\mathbf{e},\|\mathbf{e}\|=1}{\arg \max }\|\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{X})\|_{\mathcal{F}}=\underset{\mathbf{e},\|\mathbf{e}\|=1}{\arg \max } \mathbf{e X}^{T} \mathbf{X} \mathbf{e}^{T}
$$

This could be obtained for instance with simulated_annealing.m $\mathrm{X}=[2 / 31 / 3 ; 1 / 32 / 3]$;
$\mathrm{J}=@(\mathrm{e})(-\mathrm{e} * \mathrm{X}$ '*X*e')/(e*e');
e=simulated_annealing(J,size(X,2),'silent');
e=(e(:)./sqrt(e(:)'*e(:)))';

## A PCA algorithm II

```
Require: X
Ensure: \(\mathbf{P}\) and \(\mathbf{D}\)
    1: \(\mathbf{X}^{\prime}:=\mathbf{X}\)
    2: for \(f=1: F\) do
    3: \(\quad\) Compute \(\mathbf{e}_{f}\)
    4: \(\quad\) Project \(\mathbf{X}^{\prime}:=\mathbf{X}^{\prime}-\mathcal{P}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime}\right)\)
    5: \(\quad\) Update \(\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime}\right)^{T} \mathbf{X}^{\prime}\)
    6: \(\mathbf{P}:=\left[\mathbf{e}_{1}^{T} \ldots \mathbf{e}_{F}^{T}\right]^{T}\)
    7: \(\mathbf{D}:=\mathbf{P}^{T} \mathbf{X}^{\top} \mathbf{X P}\)
```

Note that with exercise 52 we used this idea to find $\mathbf{e}_{1}$.

## PCA and eigenvalue decomposition

PCA can be regarded as the eigenvalue decomposition of $\mathbf{X}^{T} \mathbf{X}$.

$$
\mathbf{X}^{T} \mathbf{X}=\mathbf{P D P}^{T}
$$

with $\mathbf{P}^{\top} \mathbf{P}=\mathbf{I}_{F}$ and $\mathbf{D}$ is a $F \times F$ diagonal matrix. This is the idea used in the proposed Matlab/Octave implementation in frame 359.

## Eigenvalue decomposition of $\mathbf{X}^{T} \mathbf{X}$

Because $\mathbf{X}^{T} \mathbf{X}$ is symmetric, it exists.

- $\mathbf{D}=\operatorname{diag}\left(\left[\lambda_{1} \ldots \lambda_{F}\right]\right)$ with $\lambda_{f}$ as eigen values.
- $\lambda_{f}$ are solutions of the polynomial of degree $F$

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(\mathbf{X}^{T} \mathbf{X}-\lambda \mathbf{I}_{F}\right)=0
$$

- $\mathbf{P}=\left[\mathbf{e}_{1}^{T} \ldots \mathbf{e}_{F}^{T}\right]$ with $\mathbf{e}_{f}$ as eigen vectors.
- $\mathbf{X}^{T} \mathbf{X} \mathbf{e}_{f}^{T}=\lambda_{f} \mathbf{e}_{f}^{T}$ with $\mathbf{e}_{f} \mathbf{e}_{f}^{T}=1$.

We only need to sort in decreasing order the eigenvalues.

## Example of eigenvalued decomposition

## Exercise 53

We consider a covariance matrix

$$
\Sigma=\frac{1}{9}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
5 & 4 \\
4 & 5
\end{array}\right]
$$

We are trying to solve the eigenvalue problem.
(1) Write the second order polynomial yielding the eigenvalues and find them.
(2) Find the eigenvectors and write the equation.

## Answer to exercise 53 I

(1)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f(\lambda)=\operatorname{det}\left(\Sigma-\lambda \mathbf{I}_{2}\right)=\left|\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{5}{9}-\lambda & \frac{4}{9} \\
\frac{4}{9} & \frac{5}{9}-\lambda
\end{array}\right|=\left(\frac{5}{9}-\lambda\right)^{2}-\left(\frac{4}{9}\right)^{2} \\
& f(\lambda)=\left(\frac{5}{9}-\lambda-\frac{4}{9}\right)\left(\frac{5}{9}-\lambda+\frac{4}{9}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $f(\lambda)=0 \Leftrightarrow \lambda=1$ or $\lambda=\frac{1}{9}$
(2) We see that if $\mathbf{x}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}1 & 1\end{array}\right]$,

$$
\mathbf{x} \Sigma=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 1
\end{array}\right]=\mathbf{x}
$$

So $\mathbf{e}_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}1 & 1\end{array}\right] \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}$ is the first eigenvector.
We see that if $\mathbf{x}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}1 & -1\end{array}\right]$,

$$
x \Sigma=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{1}{9} & \frac{1}{9}
\end{array}\right]=x
$$

So $\mathbf{e}_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}1 & -1] \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \text { is the second eigenvector. }\end{array}\right.$

$$
\Sigma \mathbf{P}=\Sigma\left[\mathbf{e}_{1}^{T} \mathbf{e}_{2}^{T}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\mathbf{e}_{1}^{T} & \frac{1}{9} \mathbf{e}_{2}^{T}
\end{array}\right]=\mathbf{P D}
$$

## PCA and singular value decomposition

## Definition of SVD

$$
\mathbf{X}=\mathbf{U} \Sigma_{D} \mathbf{V}^{\top}
$$

where $\Sigma_{D}$ is $N \times F$ and diagonal, $\mathbf{U U ^ { T }}=\mathbf{I}_{N}, \mathbf{V V}^{\top}=\mathbf{I}_{F}$

$$
\mathbf{X}^{T} \mathbf{X}=\mathbf{P D P} \mathbf{P}^{T}=\mathbf{V} \Sigma_{D}^{T} \mathbf{U}^{T} \mathbf{U} \Sigma_{D} \mathbf{V}^{T}=\mathbf{V} \Sigma_{D}^{T} \Sigma_{D} \mathbf{V}^{T}
$$

So we have

$$
\mathbf{V}=\mathbf{P} \text { and }\left(\Sigma_{D}\right)_{f f}=\sqrt{(\mathbf{D})_{f f}}
$$

## Whitening the process

## Deterministic

## Statistic

We assume $\mathbf{X}=\left[\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{1}}^{T} \ldots \mathbf{x}_{N}^{T}\right]^{T}$

$$
\mathbf{X}^{T} \mathbf{X}=\mathbf{P D P}^{T}
$$

with $\mathbf{P P}^{\top}=I$ and $\mathbf{D}$ diagonal.
The whitened vector is

$$
\mathrm{x} \mapsto \mathrm{z}=\mathrm{xPD}^{-1 / 2}
$$

The covariance matrix of

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{Z}=\left[\mathbf{z}_{1}^{T} \ldots \mathbf{Z}_{N}^{\top}\right]^{T} \text { is } \\
& \mathbf{Z}^{T} \mathbf{Z}=\mathbf{D}^{-1 / 2} \mathbf{P}^{T} \mathbf{X}^{T} \mathbf{X P D}^{-1} \\
&=\mathbf{D}^{-1 / 2} \mathbf{P}^{T}\left(\mathbf{P D P}^{T}\right) \mathbf{P D}^{-1 / 2} \\
&=\mathbf{I}_{F}
\end{aligned}
$$

We assume $\mathbf{X}=\left[\mathbf{x}_{1}^{T} \ldots \mathbf{x}_{N}^{T}\right]^{T}$

$$
\Sigma_{D}=\mathbf{P D P}^{T}
$$

with $\mathbf{P P}^{T}=\mathbf{I}$ and $\mathbf{D}$ diagonal.
The whitened vector is

$$
z=x \mathrm{PD}^{-1 / 2}
$$

Components of $\mathbf{z}, z_{f}$ are independent centered normalized Gaussians.

$$
\mathbf{x} \mapsto \mathbf{z}=\mathbf{x} \mathbf{P D}^{-1 / 2}
$$

with

$$
\mathbf{X}^{T} \mathbf{X}=\mathbf{P D P}^{T}
$$

We get independent normalized Gaussian random variables

$$
\mathbf{z}_{f}^{r} \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1)
$$

and a white covariance matrix

$$
\mathbf{Z}^{T} \mathbf{Z}=\mathbf{1}
$$

$$
\mathbf{x} \mapsto \mathbf{x}^{\prime}=\mathbf{x} \operatorname{diag}\left(\mathbf{X}^{T} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1 / 2}
$$

We get unitary random components

$$
\forall f, \quad \operatorname{var}\left(x_{f}^{\prime}\right)=1
$$

And unitary column vectors

$$
\left\|X_{f}^{\prime}\right\|=1
$$

The diagonal of the covariance matrix is equal to one.

$$
\forall f, \quad\left(\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime}\right)^{T} \mathbf{X}^{\prime}\right)_{f f}=1
$$

## Solving the eigenvalue problem on a toy example

## Exercise 54

We consider the same centered multivariate normal distribution as defined in exercise 52.

$$
\dot{\mathbf{x}} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \Sigma) \text { and } \Sigma=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{5}{9} & \frac{4}{9} \\
\frac{4}{9} & \frac{5}{9}
\end{array}\right]
$$

We assume that using a $P C A$-algorithm we found $P$ and $D$

$$
\mathbf{P}=\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 1 \\
1 & -1
\end{array}\right] \text { and } \mathbf{D}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & \frac{1}{9}
\end{array}\right]
$$

(1) Write the equations of the whitening process transforming $\stackrel{r}{x}$ into $\mathbf{z}^{\prime}$. We now assume as in exercise 51 that actually $\dot{x}$ comes from two centered normalized Gaussian random variable $z_{1}$ and $r_{2}$.

$$
\stackrel{r}{x_{1}}=\frac{2}{3} r_{1}+\frac{1}{3} r_{2} \text { and } \stackrel{r}{x_{2}}=\frac{1}{3} r_{1}+\frac{2}{3} r_{2}
$$

(2) Check that $\mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{z}}$ is indeed white.

## Answer to exercise 54 I

$$
\mathbf{P}=\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 1 \\
1 & -1
\end{array}\right] \text { and } \mathbf{D}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & \frac{1}{9}
\end{array}\right]
$$

(1) Whitening means that $\mathbf{z}^{\prime}=\mathbf{x P D}{ }^{-1 / 2}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& z_{1}^{\prime}=\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\left(x_{1}+x_{2}\right) \\
& z_{2}^{\prime}=\frac{3 \sqrt{2}}{2}\left(x_{1}-x_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

(2) We now combine these equations with

$$
x_{1}=\frac{2}{3} z_{1}+\frac{1}{3} z_{2} \text { and } x_{2}=\frac{1}{3} z_{1}+\frac{2}{3} z_{2}
$$

And we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& z_{1}^{\prime}=\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\left(z_{1}+z_{2}\right) \\
& z_{2}^{\prime}=\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\left(z_{1}-z_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

which is clearly white as

$$
\operatorname{var}\left(z_{1}^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{var}\left(z_{2}^{\prime}\right)=1 \text { and } E\left[z_{1}^{\prime} z_{2}^{\prime}\right]=0
$$

## Correlation matrix

We get correlations when we first normalize then compute covariances. with norm $\mathbf{X}=\mathbf{X} \operatorname{diag}\left(\mathbf{X}^{T} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1 / 2}$

$$
\operatorname{corr} \mathbf{X}=\operatorname{cov} \text { norm } \mathbf{X}
$$

Its components are estimated with and $\operatorname{cov} \mathbf{X}=\mathbf{X}^{T} \mathbf{X}$

$$
\operatorname{corr} \mathbf{X}=\left[\frac{\sum_{n=1}^{N} x_{n f} x_{n f^{\prime}}}{\sqrt{\sum_{n=1}^{N} x_{n f}^{2}} \sqrt{\sum_{n=1}^{N} x_{n f^{\prime}}^{2}}}\right]_{f f^{\prime}}=\frac{(\operatorname{cov} \mathbf{X})_{f f^{\prime}}}{\sqrt{(\operatorname{cov} \mathbf{X})_{f f}} \sqrt{(\operatorname{cov} \mathbf{X})_{f^{\prime} f^{\prime}}}}
$$

Its components are between -1 and 1

$$
-1 \leq(\operatorname{corr}(\mathbf{X}))_{f f^{\prime}} \leq 1
$$

Its diagonal is equal to one.

## Here correlation is not concerned with neighboring pixels

It may have to do with neighboring bandwidths.

## Example of correlation matrix

## Exercise 55

We consider the tiny dataset of exercise 50with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{x}_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{2}{3} & \frac{1}{3}
\end{array}\right] \\
& \mathbf{x}_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{1}{3} & \frac{2}{3}
\end{array}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

(1) Compute the correlation matrix.

## Answer to exercise 55 I

$$
\mathbf{X}=\frac{1}{3}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
2 & 1 \\
1 & 2
\end{array}\right]
$$

(1)

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{cov}(\mathbf{X})=\mathbf{X}^{T} \mathbf{X}=\frac{1}{9}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
5 & 4 \\
4 & 5
\end{array}\right] \\
\operatorname{corr}(\mathbf{X})=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & \frac{4}{5} \\
\frac{4}{5} & 1
\end{array}\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

because

$$
\frac{4}{5}=\frac{\frac{4}{9}}{\sqrt{\frac{5}{9}} \sqrt{\frac{5}{9}}}
$$

## Conclusion of subsection 5, Principal Component Analysis

PCA is very popular.

- Linear algebra: Eigenvalue decomposition problem and singular value decomposition problem.
- Transformations: analysis/synthesis and whitening
- Uncorrelated and variance explanation
- Trace of the covariance matrix, Frobenius norm and approximation


## PCA is unsupervised

The important information may not be obvious. A supervised technique?

## Content of section 6, Curse of dimensionality, regularization and sparsity I

```
6.1 Data preparation
6.2 Feature construction
6.3 Kernel trick
6.4 Curse of dimensionality and feature extraction
6.5 Principal Component Analysis
6.6 Supervised feature extraction
6.7 Regularization
6.8 Feature selection
```


## Transforming PCA into a supervised feature extraction technique

To have zero mean, we consider $\tilde{y}$ instead of $y$. We are going to rotate $\mathbf{x}$ into $\mathbf{x}^{\prime}$ and the question is what for?

## Not the cross-covariance matrix

We want to maximize the covariance between $\stackrel{r}{x}$ and $\stackrel{r}{y}$. It is tempting to consider

$$
\operatorname{cov}\left(r_{\mathbf{x}}^{\stackrel{r}{y}}\right)=\left[\mathcal{E}\left(r^{r} \stackrel{r}{1}_{\tilde{y}}^{)}\right) \ldots \mathcal{E}\left(x^{r}{ }_{F} \stackrel{r}{\tilde{y}}\right)\right]
$$

We have seen before in some conditions that $\mathcal{E}\left[\|\stackrel{r}{\mathbf{x}}\|^{2}\right]=\operatorname{tr}\left(\mathbf{X}^{T} \mathbf{X}\right)$
PCA with a modification on the covariance matrix
Let $\widetilde{\mathbf{Y}}=\operatorname{diag}(\widetilde{Y})$

$$
\mathcal{E}\left[\|\mathbf{x} \tilde{r}\|^{2}\right]=\operatorname{tr}\left((\widetilde{\mathbf{Y}} \mathbf{X})^{T}(\widetilde{\mathbf{Y}} \mathbf{X})\right)=\operatorname{tr}\left(\mathbf{X}^{T} \widetilde{\mathbf{Y}}^{T} \widetilde{\mathbf{Y}} \mathbf{X}\right)
$$

## How to find the eigenvectors?

The first eigenvector $\mathbf{e}$ defines a projector on $\mathbf{X}$

$$
\mathbf{X}^{\prime}=\mathbf{X} \mathbf{e}^{T} \mathbf{e}
$$

We get the optimization problem

$$
\mathbf{e}=\underset{\mathbf{e}}{\arg \max } \operatorname{tr}\left(\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime}\right)^{T} \widetilde{\mathbf{Y}}^{T} \widetilde{\mathbf{Y}} \mathbf{X}^{\prime}\right)=\underset{\mathbf{e}}{\arg \max } \mathbf{e} \mathbf{X}^{T} \tilde{\mathbf{Y}}^{T} \widetilde{\mathbf{Y}} \mathbf{X} \mathbf{e}^{T}
$$

subjected to $\|\mathbf{e}\|=1$.
The new PCA supervised-methodology
We replace $\mathbf{X}^{\top} \mathbf{X}$ with $\mathbf{X}^{\top} \widetilde{\mathbf{Y}} \widetilde{\mathbf{Y}} \mathbf{X}$.

## Conclusion of subsection 6, Supervised feature extraction

(1) PCA is the most popular dimensional reduction technique.
(2) PCA can be adapted by computing the covariance matrix using $\operatorname{diag}(\widetilde{Y}) \mathbf{X}$ instead of $\mathbf{X}$.
(3) We have also seen in frame 221 that using LDA we get a new supervised feature.
(9) Other techniques make use of labels to select the appropriate number of features.

## A different linear classifier

The probabilistic framework yields a different linear classifier. It yields a new feature: the linear hyperplane separating predictions.

## Content of section 6, Curse of dimensionality, regularization and sparsity I

```
6.1 Data preparation
6.2 Feature construction
6.3 Kernel trick
6.4 Curse of dimensionality and feature extraction
6.5 Principal Component Analysis
6.6 Supervised feature extraction
6.7 Regularization
6.8 Feature selection
```


## What it is

## About the previous examples of regularization

We had to inverse an ill-conditioned matrix and to achieve this we add $\lambda \boldsymbol{I}$ with $\lambda$ could be very small.

## Definition of the condition of a matrix

Given a square matrix $A$ we call the condition number of a matrix

$$
\kappa(A)=\frac{\max (\sigma(A))}{\min (\sigma(A))}
$$

where $\sigma(A)$ is the set diagonal components of $D$ in a singular value decomposion.

$$
A=U D V^{\prime}
$$

## Smoothing and conditioning?

## Exercise 56

(1) What is doing this code?

```
function fig_cond()
    N=10; F=10; cd=zeros(3); X=randn(N,F);
    for m=1:4
    Xn=X; X=smooth(X')';
    for n=1:3
        Xn=smooth(Xn); cd(m,n)=cond(Xn);
    end
    end
    disp(num2str(round(cd))),
end
function X2=smooth(X1)
    N=size(X1,2); X2=[X1(:,1) (X1(:,1:N-1)+X1(:,2:N))/2];
end
```


## Output of an experiment

smoothing along features
$\downarrow$ smoothing along samples

| 109 | 1001 | 7055 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 240 | 1651 | 9359 |
| 2257 | 13293 | 59172 |
| 17129 | 96773 | 395674 |

## Answer to exercise 56 I

Random vectors stacked in $\mathbf{X}$.

$$
\stackrel{r}{\mathbf{x}} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(0, \operatorname{diag}\left(1_{F}\right)\right)
$$

When drawn, the condition number is okay because,

$$
\left(\mathbf{X}^{T} \mathbf{X}\right)_{m n} \approx N 1(m=n)
$$

The smoothing along the features

$$
\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{X})=\left[\mathbf{X}_{n 1}, \frac{\mathbf{X}_{n 1}+\mathbf{X}_{n 2}}{2}, \ldots \frac{\mathbf{X}_{n, F-1}+\mathbf{X}_{n F}}{2}\right]
$$

The smoothing along the samples

$$
\mathcal{S}\left(\mathbf{X}^{T}\right)^{T}
$$

## Border effect

When there are $N$ columns, we can output only $N-1$ values depending each on two values, if the operations are the same.

## Answer to exercise 56 II

## Coding the smoothing effect

The computation is operations on a sliding window.

$$
(\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{X}))_{n f}=\mathbf{X}_{n f} w_{0}+\mathbf{X}_{n f+1} w_{1}
$$

with $w_{0}=w_{1}=0.5$.

## How can we compute the composition?

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{n}^{\prime}=x_{n} w_{0}+x_{n+1} w_{1} \\
& x_{n}^{\prime \prime}=x_{n}^{\prime} w_{0}+x_{n+1}^{\prime} w_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

The important property is invariance with respect to a right shift. We see that

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ll}
w_{0} & w_{1}
\end{array}\right] *\left[\begin{array}{ll}
w_{0} & w_{1}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
w_{0}^{2} & 2 w_{0} w_{1} & w_{1}^{2}
\end{array}\right]
$$

This is actually the same as polynomial multiplication.
$\left(w_{0}+x w_{1}\right)\left(w_{0}+x w_{1}\right)=w_{0}^{2}+2 w_{0} w_{1} x+w_{1}^{2} x^{2}$

## What are the practical consequences?

Because of sensitivity to correlations

- The training set consists of samples drawn randomly in the hyperspectral image. They are not close to each others.
- It is generally a good idea to do dimensionality reduction to reduce correlations among bandwiths.
- However using test samples very close to training samples is an issue.


## Modified loss function

$L_{2}$-regularization consists in adding

$$
\mathcal{L}_{r 2}\left(\mathscr{S}, f^{v}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N}\left(b-\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{x}_{n}-\widetilde{y}_{n}\right)^{2}+\lambda\left(b^{2}+\|\mathbf{a}\|^{2}\right)
$$

with $\lambda>0$ a cost parameter.
This is called the ridge OLS.
OLS stands for Ordinary Least Square.

## Exercise 57

Solve analytically the new optimization problem with the regularized $L_{2}$-loss function.

## Answer to exercise 57 I

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2 \mathcal{L}_{r}\left(\mathscr{S}, f^{v}\right)=\left(\stackrel{\Delta}{\mathbf{X}}^{T}\right)^{T}\left(\stackrel{\Delta}{\mathbf{X}}^{T}\right)-\left(\dot{\mathbf{X}}_{\mathbf{w}}{ }^{T}\right)^{T} \widetilde{Y} \\
& -\widetilde{Y}^{T}\left(\stackrel{\mathbf{X}}{\mathbf{w}}^{T}\right)+\widetilde{Y}^{T} \widetilde{Y}+\lambda \mathbf{w} \mathbf{w}^{T} \\
& 2 \mathcal{L}\left(\mathscr{S}, f^{v}\right)=\mathbf{w}\left(\Delta_{\mathbf{X}}{ }^{T} \stackrel{\Delta}{\mathbf{X}}+\lambda \mathbf{I}\right) \mathbf{w}^{T}-2 \mathbf{w} \dot{\mathbf{X}}^{T} \widetilde{Y}+\widetilde{Y}^{T} \widetilde{Y}
\end{aligned}
$$

And after derivation with respect to $\mathbf{w}$, we get

$$
\mathbf{w}^{T}=\left(\stackrel{\Delta}{\mathbf{X}}^{T} \stackrel{\Delta}{\mathbf{X}}+\lambda \mathbf{I}\right)^{-1} \stackrel{\Delta}{\mathbf{X}}^{T} \widetilde{Y}
$$

## An experiment showing increased performance with $L_{2}$-regularization

## Require: $\lambda$

Ensure: mean(A)
1: for 100 experiments do
2: Draw a probabilistic problem
3: Draw 10 labeled samples
4: Compute w (ridge OLS)
5: Draw 10 labeled samples
6: Predict 10 labels
7: Measure accuracy
8: Compute average accuracy

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \stackrel{r}{\mu}_{0}, \stackrel{r}{\mu}_{1} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(0,4 \mathbf{I}_{10}\right) \text { and } \stackrel{r}{\Sigma}_{1} \sim \mathcal{U}\left([0,1]^{10}\right), \quad \stackrel{r}{\Sigma}_{2}=0.5\left({ }^{r}{ }^{r}+\left({ }^{r}\right)^{T}\right) \\
& \stackrel{r}{X}_{\mid r=0} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\mu_{0}, \Sigma_{2}\right) \text { and } \stackrel{r}{X}_{\mid r=1} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\boldsymbol{\mu}_{1}, \Sigma_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Regularization regarded as the choice of an increased prior I

## Exercise 58

We consider a regression problem, that is we want to predict values instead of labels. The values are represented by $Y$. For the sake of simplicity, we consider here only one feature, so the data matrix $\mathbf{X}$ is here a column vector $X$. a is a scalar, a.

$$
Y=a X+\eta
$$

a and $\eta$ are here regarded as a random variable and vector.

$$
\stackrel{r}{a} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(0, \sigma_{a}\right) \text { and } \stackrel{r}{\eta} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(0, \sigma_{\eta} \mathbf{l}_{N}\right)
$$

(1) Write the likelihood of $Y$ given $X$ and a.
(2) Write the posterior probability a given $X$ and $Y$ as a function of the likelihood and a prior.

## Regularization regarded as the choice of an increased prior II

## Exercise

(3) Show that a maximizing the posterior probability is defined as

$$
\widehat{a}=\underset{a}{\arg \min }(Y-a X)^{T}(Y-a X)+\frac{\sigma_{\eta}^{2}}{\sigma_{a}^{2}} a^{2}
$$

## Answer to exercise 58 I

(1) Denoting the likelihood of $Y$ given $X$ and $a$

$$
f_{Y \mid X, a}(X, Y, a)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}^{N}\left|\operatorname{det}\left(\sigma_{\eta}^{2} \mathbf{I}_{N}\right)\right|^{N / 2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(Y-a X)^{T}\left(\sigma_{\eta}^{2} \mathbf{I}_{N}\right)^{-1}(Y-a X)}
$$

$\sigma_{\eta}^{2} \mathbf{I}_{N}$ is a diagonal matrix whose inverse and determinant are

$$
\frac{1}{\sigma_{\eta}^{2}} \mathbf{I}_{N} \text { and } \sigma_{\eta}^{2 N}
$$

This covariance matrix being diagonal we also get the independence among the different components.

$$
f_{Y \mid X, a}(X, Y, a)=\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{N / 2} \sigma_{\eta}^{N}} e^{-\frac{1}{2 \sigma_{\eta}^{N}}(Y-a X)^{T}(Y-a X)}
$$

## Answer to exercise 58 II

(2) The Bayesian formula is sometimes written as

$$
P(A \mid B)=\frac{P(B \mid A) P(A)}{P(B \mid A) P(A)+P(B \mid \neg A) P(\neg A)}
$$

Here this actually means

$$
f_{a \mid Y, X}^{f_{r}^{r}}(X, Y, a)=\frac{f_{Y \mid a, X}^{r}(X, Y, a) f_{a}(a)}{\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f_{Y \mid a, X}^{r}}(X, Y, a) f_{a}(a) d a \quad
$$

(3) Because the denominator depends only of $X$ and $Y$, it is possible to denote its logarithm $-Z(X, Y)$ and hence to get

$$
\ln f_{r}^{r} \mid Y, X, X, ~(X, Y, a)=Z(X, Y)+\ln f_{Y \mid a, X}^{r}(X, Y, a)+\ln f_{r}(a)
$$

There exists a quantity $\kappa$ not depending on $X$ and $Y$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \ln f_{r}^{r} r_{Y, X}(X, Y, a)=Z(X, Y)+\kappa-\frac{1}{2 \sigma_{\eta}^{2}}(Y-a X)^{T}(Y-a X)-\frac{1}{2 \sigma_{a}^{2}} a^{2} \\
& \quad=Z(X, Y)+\kappa-\frac{1}{\sigma_{\eta}^{2}}\left((Y-a X)^{T}(Y-a X)+\frac{\sigma_{\eta}^{2}}{\sigma_{a}^{2}} a^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Probability distribution of the learned parameters Prior modeling Choice of the prior

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\mathbf{w}^{T}=\left(\stackrel{\Delta}{\mathbf{X}}^{T} \stackrel{\Delta}{\mathbf{X}}\right)^{-1} \stackrel{\Delta}{\mathbf{X}}^{T} Y \\
\Rightarrow \mathbf{w}_{f} \sim \mathcal{L}(0,0.2) \text { or } \mathbf{w}_{f} \sim \mathcal{N}(0,0.4)
\end{array}
$$




## Probability distributions of noise

## Modeling the noise <br> Choice of likelihood

(btw, I did not use here $\widetilde{Y}$ )

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\eta=Y-\mathbf{X} \mathbf{w} \quad \text { with } \quad \mathbf{w}^{T}=\left(\stackrel{\Delta}{\mathbf{X}}^{T} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1} \stackrel{\Delta}{\mathbf{X}}^{T} Y \\
\Rightarrow\|\eta\| \sim \mathcal{N}(0,0.04) \text { and } \lambda \sim \frac{\sigma_{\eta}^{2}}{\sigma_{\mathbf{w}}^{2}} \approx 10^{-2}
\end{array}
$$




## Two kinds of regularization for OLS

## LASSO

Least absolute shrinkage and selection operator
It is a Laplacian approximation of the parameter prior.

$$
\mathcal{L}_{r 1}\left(\mathscr{S}, f^{\vee}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N}\left(b-\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{x}_{n}-\widetilde{y}_{n}\right)^{2}+\lambda(|b|+\|\mathbf{a}\|)
$$

## Ridge OLS

It is a Gaussian approximation of the parameter prior. This regularization is also called the Tikhonov regularization.

$$
\mathcal{L}_{r 2}\left(\mathscr{S}, f^{v}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N}\left(b-\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{x}_{n}-\widetilde{y}_{n}\right)^{2}+\lambda\left(b^{2}+\|\mathbf{a}\|^{2}\right)
$$

## Laplace function



Figure 9:

## Conclusion of subsection 7, Regularization

(1) We first saw a practical classification ill-posed.
(2) In the example it results from correlated samples.
(3) In an image, training sets and training sets are generally drawn from randomly sampled pixels to avoid such correlations. But practically, this could be an issue for a given application.
(9) A Bayesian interpretation of this regularization is given.
(0) On an experimental example, it yields two regularization techniques Ridge and LASSO.

## Feature selection technique

These regularization techniques yield two feature selection technique.

## Content of section 6, Curse of dimensionality, regularization and sparsity I

```
6.1 Data preparation
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```

6.8 Feature selection

## Feature selection

## Exercise 59

We consider again exercise 25 and the proposed solution in exercise 27 where

$$
\stackrel{\Delta}{\mathbf{X}}=[\mathbf{X} 1], \quad \mathbf{w}=[-\mathbf{a} b] \text { and } \mathbf{w}^{T}=\left(\stackrel{\Delta}{\mathbf{X}}^{T} \stackrel{\Delta}{\mathbf{X}}\right)^{-1} \stackrel{\Delta}{\mathbf{X}}^{T} \widetilde{Y}
$$

with

$$
f_{\mathbf{a}, b}(\mathbf{x})=1(\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{x} \leq b)
$$

(1) Let us suppose that the first component of all samples in $\mathscr{S}_{2}$ is constant, why would this be a problem in these equations. Suggest an experiment studying this question.
(2) What should we think of this situation?
(3) What could we do?

## Answer to exercise 59 |

(1) When first components of all samples have roughly the same value, the first column and the last column of $\stackrel{\Delta}{\mathbf{x}}$ are proportional and the matrix $\stackrel{\Delta}{\mathbf{X}}^{T} \stackrel{\Delta}{\mathbf{X}}$ becomes more and more ill-conditioned.

In this experiment, the first col-
fig ill conditioned
 umn of $\hat{X}$ is replaced with ones added to a random number drawn from a centered Gaussian distribution with $\sigma$ as standard deviation. Each point in this graph indicates vertically the maximum value of the $\left(\stackrel{\Delta}{\mathbf{X}}^{T}{ }^{\Delta} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1}$ and horizontally $\frac{1}{\sigma}$.
Require: $\sigma$

## Answer to exercise 59 II

Ensure: $c$ value of the greatest component
1: Define $\mathbf{X}$ and $\stackrel{\Delta}{\mathbf{X}}$
2: Draw 3 random values from a Gaussian distribution with mean 1 and standard deviation $\sigma$.
3: Replace in $\stackrel{\Delta}{\mathbf{X}}$ the first column with these values.
4: Compute $\left(\stackrel{\Delta}{\mathbf{X}}^{T} \stackrel{\Delta}{\mathbf{X}}\right)^{-1}$.
5: Let $c$ be the greatest value of $\left(\Delta_{\mathbf{X}^{T}}^{\mathbf{X}}\right)^{-1}$.

## Answer to exercise 59 III

(2) If first components of all samples have exactly the same value, say 2 , then

$$
\begin{aligned}
1\left(b-\left[a_{1}, a_{2}\right] \cdot x \geq 0\right) & =1\left(0-\left[a_{1}-\frac{b}{2}, a_{2}\right] \cdot x \geq 0\right) \\
& =1\left(b-2 a_{1}-\left[0, a_{2}\right] \cdot x \geq 0\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

This identity adds to the general property when $b>0$, $1\left(b-\left[a_{1}, a_{2}\right] \cdot \mathbf{x} \geq 0\right)=1\left(1-\left[\frac{a_{1}}{b}, \frac{a_{2}}{b}\right] \cdot \mathbf{x} \geq 0\right)$
(3) To cope with this problem, we can just remove this non-informative first component. This is feature selection. (Other ideas could be used too).

## Goal in selecting features

Given a dataset (and some information), we would like to select a subset of features.

## What for?

Less features decreases the numerical complexity and we may get increased accuracy for a given algorithm. This could be a way to test the efficiency of selecting features.
Another important reason is to yield more understandable predictive models.

## Why wouldn't we prefer feature selection rather than feature extraction

To get a more understandable model.
Features could be independent and feature extraction introduces dependency.

## Image published by Thenkabail in 2013



Fig. 8. Optimal hyperspectral narrowbands (HNBs). Current state of knowledge on hyperspectral narrowbands (HNBs) for agricultural and vegetation studies (inferred from [8]). The whole spectral analysis (WSA) using contiguous bands allow for accurate retrieval of plant biophysical and biochemical quantities using methods like continuum removal. In contrast, studies on wide array of biophysical and biochemical variables, species types, crop types have established: (a) optimal HNBs band centers and band widths for vegetation/crop characterization, (b) targeted HVIs for specific modeling, mapping, and classifying vegetation/crop types or species and parameters such as biomass, LAI, plant water, plant stress, nitrogen, lignin, and pigments, and (c) redundant bands, leading to overcoming the Hughes Phenomenon. These studies support hyperspectral data characterization and applications from missions such as Hyperspectral Infrared Imager (HyspIRI) and Advanced Responsive Tactically Effective Military Imaging Spectrometer (ARTEMIS). Note: sample sizes shown within brackets of the figure legend refer to data used in this study.

## Image published by Thenkabail in 2013



Fig. 3. Hyperion data of crops illustrated for typical growth stages in the Uzbekistan study area. The Hyperion data cube shown here is from a small portion of one of the two Hyperion images. The Hyperion spectra of crops are gathered from different farm fields in the two images and their average spectra illustrated here along with the sample sizes indicated within the bracket. The field data was collected within two days of the image acquisition.

## General methodology

## There are many feature subsets

$$
\operatorname{prod}(1: 10) / \operatorname{prod}(1: 5) / \operatorname{prod}(1: 5)
$$

$$
F=10, F_{1}=5 \quad \Rightarrow \quad\binom{10}{5}=252
$$

- Starting point
$F_{i t=1}=F$ (Backward selection, more popular) or $F=0$ (Forward selection)
- Which feature to select
- Stopping criteria Use of validation set.

Require: $\mathscr{F}$
Ensure: $\mathscr{F}^{\prime}$
1: repeat
2: Apply a 1-feature selection technique.
3: $\quad$ Update $\mathscr{F}$
4: until Stopping criteria

## Two 1-feature selection techniques

Assuming we have decided to remove a feature, which one are we choosing?
The less decrease in accuracy
Ridge:

$$
\widehat{f}=\arg \min \left|w_{f}\right|
$$

for a given $\lambda$.
LASSO:

$$
\widehat{\lambda}=\underset{\lambda}{\arg \min }\left\{\lambda|\exists f| \widehat{w}_{f}=0\right\}
$$

$$
\lambda
$$

## Lasso experiment



## L1 minimization $\Rightarrow$ features are cancelled



## Conclusion of subsection 8, Feature selection

(1) Classifying is not only a question of having the best accuracy. Explaining what happens if interesting too.
(2) And for hyperspectral images, there is a literature and some specific indexes (NDVI) and many other vegetation indexes.
(3) We have discussed the backward and forward feature selection in combination with Ridge regression.
(4) We have seen the LASSO feature selection technique.

## Spatial context

How these techniques can be applied in a more general context.

## Table of Contents I

## 1. Classification of hyperspectral images

2. Image processing
3. Learning regarded as an optimization problem
4. Predicting the learning performances and probabilistic framework
5. More in depth with probabilities
6. Curse of dimensionality, regularization and sparsity
7. Spatial context

## Table of Contents II

## 8. Supplementary material regarding matrices

## Content of section 7, Spatial context I

7.1 Spatial context
7.2 Texture descriptors
7.3 Noise estimation
7.4 Spatial prior

## Different goals

## Xie 2015

(1) Texture (=preprocessing)
(2) Measuring the noise (=preprocessing)
(3) Prior on the classification map (=post-processing)
(9) Mixture of end-vectors
(0) Use of Digital Elevation Map (DEM)


## Content of section 7, Spatial context I

### 7.1 Spatial context

7.2 Texture descriptors
7.3 Noise estimation
7.4 Spatial prior

## Rich literature from image processing

## What is a texture?

There is no absolute definition. It rather means that we understand the content as a texture.


## No perfect tool How to group the texture descriptors?

Is the technique sensitive to

- a global increase in intensity?
- an image rotation?
- a rescaling of the image?
- a quantification of the image?

Is the technique equivalent to?

- Nonlinear processing, filtering and nonlinear processing?
- Histogram and a diversity index on the histogram?


## Proposed techniques I

Let $\mathscr{V}_{m n}$ be the neighborhood of $m, n$ and $\mathscr{V}_{m n}^{\prime}$ the same neighborhood without the last column.

$$
\mathscr{V}_{m n}=\left\{m^{\prime}, n^{\prime} \mid \max \left(\left|m^{\prime}-m\right|,\left|n^{\prime}-n\right|\right) \leq 2\right\}
$$

(1) Horizontal filter

$$
f_{m n}^{\prime}=\frac{1}{5} \sum_{m^{\prime}=m-2}^{m+2} f_{m^{\prime} n}
$$

(2) Variance

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{m n}^{\prime}=\sum_{\mathscr{V}_{m n}}\left(f_{m^{\prime} n^{\prime}}-\mu_{m n}\right)^{2} \\
& \text { with } \mu_{m n}=\frac{1}{25} \sum_{\mathscr{V}_{m n}} f_{m^{\prime} n^{\prime}}
\end{aligned}
$$

(3) Diversity index

$$
f_{m n}^{\prime}=\sum_{g} h(g)^{2} \text { where } h(g) \text { is the estimated probability distribution }
$$

## Proposed techniques II

(9) Correlation

$$
f_{m n}^{\prime}=\frac{\sum_{\mathscr{Y}_{m n}^{\prime}} f_{m^{\prime} n^{\prime}} f_{m^{\prime}-1 n^{\prime}}}{\sqrt{\sum_{\mathscr{Y}_{m n}^{\prime}} f_{m^{\prime} n^{\prime}}^{2}} \sqrt{\sum_{\mathscr{V}_{m n}} f_{m^{\prime} n^{\prime}}^{2}}}
$$

(3) Mean

$$
f_{m n}^{\prime}=\frac{1}{25} \sum_{\mathscr{V}_{m n}} f_{m^{\prime} n^{\prime}}
$$

## Exercise 60

Considering a noisy image of a chessboard with only one feature.
(1) Which technique has which property?

## Application to a chessboard



## Feature used in the kmeans algorithm in the next slide



- Pixel value
- Diversity
- Horizontal filtering
- Correlation
- Variance
- Mean


## Content of section 7, Spatial context I

7.1 Spatial context<br>7.2 Texture descriptors<br>7.3 Noise estimation<br>7.4 Spatial prior

## An example



## Explaining the experiment

## $y_{m n}=$ Chess Board

$\stackrel{r}{x}_{m n} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(y_{m n}, 0.2+y_{m n}\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { noise }_{m n}=\sqrt{\sum_{\mathscr{V}_{m n}}\left(f_{m^{\prime} n^{\prime}}-\mu_{m n}\right)^{2}} \\
& \mu_{m n}=\frac{1}{25} \sum_{\mathscr{V}_{m n}} f_{m^{\prime} n^{\prime}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## An application of noise estimation

The noise measurement is here a measurement specific to the feature. Let us denote these measurements as $\mathbf{z}$ and $\mathbf{Z}$ for the corresponding dataset. $\mathbf{z}$ and $\mathbf{Z}$ are of the same size than $\mathbf{X}$ and $\mathbf{X}$.

## A noise-aware PCA algorithm

$$
\widehat{\mathbf{e}}=\underset{\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{e Z}^{T} \mathbf{Z} \mathbf{e}^{T}=1}{\arg \max } \mathbf{e} \mathbf{X}^{T} \mathbf{X} \mathbf{e}^{T}
$$

This is actually a linear algebra problem called generalized eigenvalue problem. An algorithm is to find

$$
\widehat{\mathbf{e}}=\underset{\mathbf{e},}{\arg \max } \mathbf{e X}^{T} \mathbf{X} \mathbf{e}^{T}-\lambda \mathbf{e} \mathbf{Z}^{T} \mathbf{Z} \mathbf{e}^{T}
$$

with $\lambda$ chosen to fit the constraint.

## Content of section 7, Spatial context I

7.1 Spatial context<br>7.2 Texture descriptors<br>7.3 Noise estimation<br>7.4 Spatial prior

## Markov Random Field

## Assumption

It is likely that the neighboring pixels belong to the same class.


- Neighborhood $=$ four closest pixels (generally). Here it is denoted $\mathscr{V}_{m n}^{\prime \prime}$.
- Conditional probability with respect to neighbors is a Gaussian of the difference.
- Markov property $=$ independence with respect to non-neighbors


## An example

Problem at stake

$$
y_{m n}=\text { chess Board and } \stackrel{r}{x}_{m n} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(y_{m n}, 2\right)
$$

Equations

$$
P(Y \mid X) \propto \prod_{m n} f_{1}\left(x_{m n} \mid y_{m n}\right) f_{2}\left(y_{m n} \mid y_{V^{\prime \prime}(m n)}\right)
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{1}\left(x_{m n} \mid y_{m n}\right) \propto e^{-\frac{1}{2 \sigma_{1}^{2}}\left(x_{m n}-\mu_{0}\right)^{2} \delta\left(y_{n}=0\right)-\frac{1}{2 \sigma_{1}^{2}}\left(x_{m n}-\mu_{1}\right)^{2} \delta\left(y_{n}=1\right)} \\
& f_{2}\left(y_{m n} \mid y_{V_{m n}^{\prime \prime}}\right) \propto e^{-\frac{1}{2 \sigma_{2}^{2}} \sum_{m^{\prime} n^{\prime} \in \mathscr{V}^{\prime \prime}}\left(y_{m n}-y_{m^{\prime} n^{\prime}}\right)^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally we get a new global function to minimize

$$
J=\sum_{m n}\left(x_{m n}-\mu_{0}\right)^{2} \delta\left(y_{n}=0\right)+\left(x_{m n}-\mu_{1}\right)^{2} \delta\left(y_{n}=1\right)+
$$

And this time the simulated annealing is clearly not powerful enough.
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## Reordering a dataset

## Exercise 61

Considering a binary dataset $(\mathbf{X}, Y)$ composed of $N=3$ samples belonging to a feature space of size $F$, and considering a matrix $T$ of size $3 \times 3$ defined as

$$
T=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

show that $(T \mathbf{X}, T Y)$ is the same dataset.

## Left multiplication

Left multiplication acts on the samples, whereas right multiplication acts on the features.

## Answer to exercise 61

$$
T=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

Denoting $\mathbf{x}_{n}=\left[x_{n 1}, x_{n 2}, x_{n 3}\right]$ the rows of $\mathbf{x}$ and $y_{n}$ the components of $Y$, we see that

$$
T \mathbf{x}=\left[\begin{array}{l}
\mathbf{x}_{2} \\
\mathbf{x}_{3} \\
\mathbf{x}_{1}
\end{array}\right] \text { and } T Y=\left[\begin{array}{l}
y_{2} \\
y_{3} \\
y_{1}
\end{array}\right]
$$

There is a one-to-one relation between $(\mathbf{x}, Y)$ and $(T \mathbf{x}, T Y)$.
How do we know if $(T \mathbf{x})^{T}$ is $\left[\mathbf{x}_{2}^{T}, \mathbf{x}_{3}^{T}, \mathbf{x}_{1}^{T}\right]$ or $\left[\mathbf{x}_{3}^{T}, \mathbf{x}_{1}^{T}, \mathbf{x}_{2}^{T}\right]$

$$
T\left[\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
2 \\
3
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \times 1+\mathbf{1} \times 2+0 \times 3 \\
0 \times 1+0 \times 2+\mathbf{1} \times 3 \\
1 \times 1+0 \times 2+0 \times 3
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
2 \\
3 \\
1
\end{array}\right]
$$

## Content of section 8, Supplementary material regarding matrices I

8.1 Proving that kmeans is related to an optimization problem

## Star-triangle identity

We consider a set of $N$ samples $\mathbf{x}_{n}$

$$
2 N \sum_{n=1}^{N}\left\|\mathbf{x}_{n}-\boldsymbol{\mu}\right\|^{2}=\sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{n^{\prime}=1}^{N}\left\|\mathbf{x}_{n}-\mathbf{x}_{n^{\prime}}\right\|^{2}
$$

where the mean is given by

$$
\mu=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \mathbf{x}_{n}
$$



$$
2 \times \mathbf{3}\left(\alpha^{2}+\beta^{2}+\gamma^{2}\right)=2\left(a^{2}+b^{2}+c^{2}\right)
$$

## Adding-a-sample identity

We consider a set of $N$ samples $\mathbf{x}_{n}$ and an extra sample $\mathbf{x}$ denoted also $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{N}+1}$.
$\sum_{n=1}^{N+1} \sum_{n=1}^{N+1}\left\|\mathbf{x}_{n}-\mathbf{x}_{n^{\prime}}\right\|^{2}=\left(1+\frac{1}{N}\right) \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N}\left\|\mathbf{x}_{n}-\mathbf{x}_{n^{\prime}}\right\|^{2}+2 N\|\boldsymbol{\mu}-\mathbf{x}\|^{2}$


## Optimization problem I

## Exercise 62

We consider a dataset $(\mathbf{X}, Y)$ and denote $N_{0}, N_{1}, \mu_{0}, \boldsymbol{\mu}_{1}$ the number of 0 -labeled samples, 1-labeled samples, the geometric center of the 0 -labeled samples and that of the 1-labeled samples.
(1) Prove that

$$
N_{0} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{0}+N_{1} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{1}=N \boldsymbol{\mu}=\sum_{n=1}^{N} \mathbf{x}_{n}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ is the geometric center of the samples in the feature space.
(2) Let $Y^{\prime}=Y$ except for $n=n_{0}$ where $y_{n_{0}}=0$ and $y_{n_{0}}^{\prime}=1$. Show that

$$
N_{0}\left(Y^{\prime}\right)=N_{0}(Y)-1, \quad N_{1}\left(Y^{\prime}\right)=N_{1}(Y)+1
$$

## Optimization problem II

## Exercise

(3) Let $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{0}, \boldsymbol{\mu}_{1}$ be the means of the 0 and 1 -labeled samples before the modification. Let $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{0}^{\prime}, \boldsymbol{\mu}_{1}^{\prime}$ be the corresponding means after the modification. Show that

$$
\boldsymbol{\mu}_{0}^{\prime}-\mathbf{x}=\frac{N_{0}}{N_{0}-1}\left(\boldsymbol{\mu}_{0}-\mathbf{x}\right)
$$

(9) We denote by $J$ and $J^{\prime}$ the values of loss function for $(\mathbf{X}, Y)$ and $\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime}, Y^{\prime}\right)$. Using the adding-a-sample identity, show that

$$
J^{\prime}-J=\frac{N_{1}}{N_{1}+1}\left\|\boldsymbol{\mu}_{1}-\mathbf{x}\right\|^{2}-\frac{N_{0}}{N_{0}-1}\left\|\boldsymbol{\mu}_{0}-\mathbf{x}\right\|^{2}
$$

(5) Show that $J^{\prime} \leq J$, when $Y^{\prime}$ is modified according to kmeans, still assuming that here only one component changes.

## Answer to exercise 621

(1)

$$
N_{0} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{0}+N_{1} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{1}=\left(\sum_{n=1}^{N}\left(1-y_{n}\right) \mathbf{x}_{n}\right)+\left(\sum_{n=1}^{N} y_{n} \mathbf{x}_{n}\right)=\sum_{n=1}^{N} \mathbf{x}_{n}
$$

(2) Observing that $y_{n}^{\prime}=y_{n}+\delta\left(n=n_{0}\right)$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N_{0}\left(Y^{\prime}\right)=\sum_{n=1}^{N} 1-y_{n}^{\prime}=\left(\sum_{n=1}^{N} 1-y_{n}\right)-1=N_{0}(Y)-1 \\
& N_{1}\left(Y^{\prime}\right)=\sum_{n=1}^{N} y_{n}^{\prime}=\left(\sum_{n=1}^{N} y_{n}\right)+1=N_{1}(Y)+1
\end{aligned}
$$

(3) When a new element is removed from the geometric-center computation, we have

$$
\left(N_{0}-1\right) \boldsymbol{\mu}_{0}^{\prime}=N_{0}\left(Y^{\prime}\right) \boldsymbol{\mu}_{0}^{\prime}=N_{0}(Y) \boldsymbol{\mu}_{0}-\mathbf{x}=N_{0} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{0}-\mathbf{x}
$$

We then get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(N_{0}-1\right)\left(\boldsymbol{\mu}_{0}^{\prime}-\mathbf{x}\right)=\left(N_{0}-1\right) \boldsymbol{\mu}_{0}^{\prime}-\left(N_{0}-1\right) \mathbf{x} \\
& =N_{0} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{0}-\mathbf{x}-\left(N_{0}-1\right) \mathbf{x}=N_{0}(\boldsymbol{\mu}-\mathbf{x})
\end{aligned}
$$

and hence that $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{0}^{\prime}-\mathbf{x}=\frac{N_{0}}{N_{0}-1}(\boldsymbol{\mu}-\mathbf{x})$

## Answer to exercise 62 II

(9) We consider two sets of samples: $\mathscr{X}_{0}^{\prime}$ is the set of 0 -labeled samples after modification, and $\mathscr{X}_{1}$ is the set of 1-labeled samples before modification. We denote $\mathcal{V}(\mathscr{X})$ the sum of all distinct one-to-one square distances in $\mathscr{X}$.

$$
\mathcal{V}(\mathscr{X})=\sum_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathscr{X}} \sum_{\mathbf{x}^{\prime} \in \mathscr{X}}\left\|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right\|^{2}
$$

Thanks to the adding-one-sample identity, we have

$$
\mathcal{V}\left(\mathscr{X}_{0}^{\prime} \bigcup \mathbf{x}\right)=\frac{N_{0}}{N_{0}-1} \mathcal{V}\left(\mathscr{X}_{0}^{\prime}\right)+2\left(N_{0}-1\right)\left\|\mathbf{x}-\mu_{0}^{\prime}\right\|^{2}
$$

and

$$
\mathcal{V}\left(\mathscr{X}_{1} \bigcup \mathbf{x}\right)=\frac{N_{1}+1}{N_{1}} \mathcal{V}\left(\mathscr{X}_{1}\right)+2 N_{1}\left\|\mathbf{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_{1}\right\|^{2}
$$

The last question makes it possible to rewrite the first identity.

$$
\mathcal{V}\left(\mathscr{X}_{0}^{\prime} \bigcup \mathbf{x}\right)=\frac{N_{0}}{N_{0}-1} \mathcal{V}\left(\mathscr{X}_{0}^{\prime}\right)+2 N_{0}\left\|\mathbf{x}-\mu_{0}\right\|^{2}
$$

## Answer to exercise 62 III

The definition of $J$ and $J^{\prime}$ tells us

$$
\begin{aligned}
& J=\frac{1}{2 N_{0}} \mathcal{V}\left(\mathscr{X}_{0}^{\prime} \bigcup \mathbf{x}\right)+\frac{1}{2 N_{1}} \mathcal{V}\left(\mathscr{X}_{1}\right) \\
& J^{\prime}=\frac{1}{2\left(N_{0}-1\right)} \mathcal{V}\left(\mathscr{X}_{0}^{\prime}\right)+\frac{1}{2\left(N_{1}+1\right)} \mathcal{V}\left(\mathscr{X}_{1} \cup \mathbf{x}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

We finally get

$$
J^{\prime}-J=\frac{N_{1}}{N_{1}+1}\left\|\mathbf{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_{1}\right\|^{2}-\frac{N_{0}}{N_{0}-1}\left\|\mathbf{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_{0}\right\|^{2}
$$

(6) The label of $\mathbf{x}$ is changed from 0 to 1 in the kmeans-algorithm because $\left\|\mathbf{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_{0}\right\|$ is greater than $\left\|\mathbf{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_{1}\right\|$. So here we have

$$
\left\|\mathbf{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_{1}\right\|^{2} \leq\left\|\mathbf{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_{0}\right\|^{2}
$$

We can then prove that $J^{\prime} \leq J$ if we show that $\frac{N_{1}}{N_{1}+1} \leq \frac{N_{0}}{N_{0}-1}$ And the latter is true as

$$
\frac{N_{1}}{N_{1}+1}-\frac{N_{0}}{N_{0}-1}=\frac{N_{1}\left(N_{0}-1\right)-\left(N_{1}+1\right) N_{0}}{\left(N_{1}+1\right)\left(N_{0}-1\right)}<0
$$

This proves also that if a 1 -sampled label was replaced with a 0 -sampled label for a similar reason, we would also have $J^{\prime} \leq J$. To really complete the proof we would need to consider the case where

## Answer to exercise 62 IV

multiple samples are relabeled and this is out of the focus of this lecture. In simulations it appears that $J$ is also non-increasing.

