On the risk of estimates for block decreasing densities

Gérard Biau & Luc Devroye

Université Paris VI & McGill University

Summary

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Lower bounds
- 3. Minimax optimal estimates
- 4. Adaptive estimation

Introduction |

Definition. A density $f = f(x_1, ..., x_d)$ on $[0, \infty)^d$ is block decreasing if, for each $j \in \{1, ..., d\}$, it is a decreasing function of x_j , when all other components are held fixed.

Examples. Burr, Pareto, beta conditional (Arnold, Castillo and Sarabia, 1999).

The problem.

$$\mathcal{R}_n(\mathcal{F}_B) = \inf_{f_n} \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}_B} \mathbf{E} \int |f_n - f|.$$

References. Birgé (1987) studies the case d = 1 and shows that lower and upper bounds for the risk are proportional to $(S/n)^{1/3}$, where $S = \log(1 + B)$.

Lower bounds

Theorem. There exist positive constants C_1 , C_2 and C_3 , functions of d, such that

$$\mathcal{R}_{n}(\mathcal{F}_{B}) \geq \frac{1}{4\left[1 + \left[1 + \left(C_{1}S^{d}/n\right)^{\frac{1}{d+2}}\right]^{1/d}\right]^{d}} \left(\frac{C_{1}S^{d}}{n}\right)^{1/(d+2)}$$

for $C_2 \le S \le C_3 \, n^{1/d}$.

Sketch of proof. Let ϵ be a positive real number and let $r \geq 1$ be an integer, both to be determined later. We partition the unit hypercube I_d into r^d cells

$$C_{\mathbf{i}} = \prod_{j=1}^{d} [x_{i_j-1}, x_{i_j}), \quad \mathbf{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_d),$$

where $x_0 = 0$ and, for j in $\{1, ..., d\}$,

$$x_{i_j} = \frac{(1+\epsilon)^{i_j}-1}{(1+\epsilon)^r-1}, \quad i_j = 1, \dots, r.$$

Birgé's multivariate histogram estimate

It is defined by

$$f_n = \sum_{\mathbf{i}} \frac{\mu_n(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{i}})}{\lambda(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{i}})} \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{i}}}.$$

Theorem. Birgé's multivariate histogram on I_d with

$$r = \lceil (R^2 n S^2)^{1/(d+2)} \rceil, \quad R = \frac{2 + 2^{d-3}(d-1)}{\sqrt{2^d - 1}},$$

and

$$\epsilon = e^{S/r} - 1$$

satisfies

$$\sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}_B} \mathbf{E} \int |f_n - f| \le C_1 \left(\frac{R^d S^d}{n}\right)^{1/(d+2)} + C_2 \left(\frac{R^d S^d}{n}\right)^{2/(d+2)}$$

for all $n \geq C_3 S^d$, where C_1 , C_2 and C_3 are positive functions of d.

A variable kernel estimate

It is defined by

$$f_n(x) = \frac{1}{n\tilde{\mathbf{h}}(x)} \sum_{i=1}^n K\left(\frac{x - X_i}{\mathbf{h}(x)}\right),$$

where

$$K = \mathbf{1}_{[-1/2, 1/2]^d}$$
 and $\tilde{\mathbf{h}}(x) = h(x_1) \dots h(x_d)$,

with

$$h(u) = \frac{\epsilon}{1 + \frac{\epsilon}{2}} \left[u + \frac{1}{(1 + \epsilon)^r - 1} \right].$$

Theorem. The variable kernel estimate on I_d with

$$r = \lceil (R^2 n S^2)^{1/(d+2)} \rceil, \qquad R = \frac{3 + 2^{d-3}(d-1)}{\sqrt{6^d}},$$

and

$$\epsilon = e^{S/r} - 1$$

satisfies

$$\sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}_B} \mathbf{E} \int |f_n - f| \le C_1 \left(\frac{R^d S^d}{n}\right)^{1/(d+2)} + C_2 \left(\frac{R^d S^d}{n}\right)^{2/(d+2)}$$

for all $n \ge C_3 \max (S^d, S^{-2})$, where C_1 , C_2 and C_3 are positive functions of d.

Adaptive estimation

Fact. The estimate f_n depends upon the unknown parameter B, and more generally upon the parameter

$$\gamma(f) = f(0) \prod_{j=1}^{d} s_j,$$

with

supp
$$f = [0, s_1] \times \ldots \times [0, s_d].$$

An automatic selection procedure for selecting the unknown parameters of a kernel estimate of the type

$$f_n(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=1}^d \frac{1}{h_j(x)} K\left(\frac{x^{(j)} - X_i^{(j)}}{h_j(x)}\right),$$

where

$$h_j(x) = \sum_{\ell=0}^k a(j,\ell) \left(x^{(j)}\right)^\ell, \quad a(j,\ell) \in \mathbb{R}.$$

 \blacktriangleright We want to select Θ in

$$\Omega = \{\theta = (a(j, \ell)), 1 \le j \le d, 0 \le \ell \le k\}.$$

Results

Theorem. There exist two constants C_1 and C_2 (functions of d and k only) such that

$$\mathbf{E} \int |f_{n,\Theta} - f| \le C_1 \inf_{\theta \in \Omega} \mathbf{E} \int |f_{n,\theta} - f| + C_2 \sqrt{\frac{\log n}{n}}.$$

Corollary. Let \mathcal{F} denote the class of all block decreasing densities on $[0,\infty)^d$. The following adaptive result holds:

$$\sup_{t>0} \sup_{\{f \in \mathcal{F}: \gamma(f) \le t\}} \frac{\mathbf{E} \int |f_{n,\Theta} - f|}{\left[\left(\log(t+1) \right)^d / n \right]^{1/(d+2)}} = \mathcal{O}(1).$$

The algorithm

- 1. Let $\Omega = \{\theta = (a(j, \ell)), 1 \le j \le d, 0 \le \ell \le k\}.$
- 2. Split the sample in two parts

$$X_1, \ldots, X_{n-m}$$
 and X_{n-m+1}, \ldots, X_n .

3. Consider the **Yatracos class** defined by

$$\mathcal{A} = \left\{ \{ f_{n-m,\theta} > f_{n-m,\theta'} \}, \theta, \theta' \in \Omega, \theta \neq \theta' \right\}.$$

4. Select Θ so as to minimize

$$\Theta = \arg\min \sup_{A \in \mathcal{A}} \left| \int_A f_{n-m,\theta} - \mu_m(A) \right| ,$$

where

$$\mu_m(A) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=n-m+1}^{m} \mathbf{1}_{[X_j \in A]}.$$

Results II

Theorem (Devroye and Lugosi, 2001). For $m \le n/2$, one has

$$\mathbf{E} \int |f_{n,\Theta} - f| \le 5 \inf_{\theta \in \Omega} \mathbf{E} \int |f_{n,\theta} - f| \left(1 + \frac{2m}{n - m} + 4\sqrt{\frac{m}{n}} \right) + 8\mathbf{E} \left\{ \sup_{A \in \mathcal{A}} \left| \int_{A} f - \mu_{m}(A) \right| \right\} + \frac{5}{n}.$$

Theorem (Vapnik and Chervonenkis, 1971). We have

$$\mathbf{E}\bigg\{\sup_{A\in\mathcal{A}}\bigg|\int_{A}f-\mu_{m}(A)\bigg|\bigg\}\leq 2\sqrt{\frac{\log 2\,\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{A}}(m)}{m}}\,.$$

Here, $S_A(m)$ is the shatter coefficient for A, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{A}}(m) = \max_{(y_1,\ldots,y_m)\in\mathbb{R}^{dm}} \operatorname{Card}\{(y_1,\ldots,y_m)\cap A, A\in\mathcal{A}\}.$$

Lemma. Set l = n - m. One has

$$\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{A}}(m) \leq 2^{(k+1)^d + 2 + 2(k+1)d} \times (d\ell)^{2(k+1)d} \times m^{2(k+1)d + (k+1)^d}.$$

Sketch of proof

- $x_1, \ldots, x_\ell \to \text{The sample from } \mathbb{R}^{dl} \text{ used in the definition of } f_{\ell,\theta}.$
- $y_1, \ldots, y_m \rightarrow \text{The test sample from } \mathbb{R}^{dm} \text{ to be employed.}$
- We begin by defining the vector

$$V(j, i, \theta) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left(K\left(\frac{y_j^{(1)} - x_i^{(1)}}{h_1(y_j)}\right), \dots, K\left(\frac{y_j^{(d)} - x_i^{(d)}}{h_d(y_j)}\right) \right)$$

and the $m \times \ell$ matrix $V(\theta)$ of vectors $V(j, i, \theta)$.

• There exists a partition of Ω^2 of size at most

$$\left(2(2dm\ell)^{(k+1)d}\right)^2$$

such that on any set of the partition, $(V(\theta), V(\theta'))$ is fixed.

• We are interested in the collection of indicators

$$\left(\mathbf{1}_{f_{\ell, heta}(y_1)>f_{\ell, heta'}(y_1)},\ldots,\mathbf{1}_{f_{\ell, heta}(y_m)>f_{\ell, heta'}(y_m)}
ight).$$

• The shatter coefficient is bounded by

$$W \times \left(2(2dm\ell)^{(k+1)d}\right)^2$$
.

 \bullet To compute W, we fix all values of

$$V(j, i, \theta) = \left(K\left(\frac{y_j^{(1)} - x_i^{(1)}}{h_1(y_j)}\right), \dots, K\left(\frac{y_j^{(d)} - x_i^{(d)}}{h_d(y_j)}\right)\right).$$

• Thus, $\{f_{\ell,\theta}(y) > f_{\ell,\theta'}(y)\}$ is a set defined by an inequality of the form

$$\prod_{s=1}^{d} h'_{s}(y) > c \prod_{s=1}^{d} h_{s}(y).$$

• This is a **polynomial** inequality with each monomial being of the form

$$\left(y^{(1)}\right)^{p_1} \times \cdots \times \left(y^{(d)}\right)^{p_d}$$
.

- ▶ The number of such monomials does not exceed $r = (k+1)^d$.
- Considered as a set in \mathbb{R}^r ,

$$\prod_{s=1}^{d} h'_{s}(y) > c \prod_{s=1}^{d} h_{s}(y)$$

is just a homogeneous linear inequality of the form $a_1w_1 + \cdots + a_rw_r > 0$.

• Therefore

$$W \le (m+1)^{(k+1)^d}$$

References

- [1] L. Birgé (1987a). Estimating a density under order restrictions: nonasymptotic minimax risk, *The Annals of Statistics*, **Vol. 15**, pp. 995–1012.
- [2] L. Birgé (1987b). On the risk of histograms for estimating decreasing densities, *The Annals of Statistics*, **Vol. 15**, pp. 1013–1022.
- [3] L. Devroye and G. Lugosi (2001). Combinatorial Methods in Density Estimation, Springer-Verlag, New York.
- [4] http://www.ccr.jussieu.fr/lsta/biau.html